IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNIVERSAL AVIONICS SYSTEMS CORPORATION, Plaintiff, vs. OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC., OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, ROBERT ADAMS and JED MARGOLIN, Defendants.	No. CV 07-588-TUC-RCC **ORDER**
OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY INC. a/k/a OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC., a corporation, Counterclaimant, vs. UNIVERSAL AVIONICS SYSTEMS CORPORATION, an Arizona corporation, Counterdefendant,	
OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY INC. a/k/a OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC., Cross-Claimant, vs. OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, Cross-Defendant.	

1   This Court, having considered the Defendants' Application for Entry of Default

2 Judgment against Cross-Defendant Optima Technology Corporation, finds no just reason to

3 delay entry of final judgment.

4   Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

5   Final Judgment is entered against Cross-Defendants Optima Technology Corporation,

6 a California corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, as

7 follows:

8   1. Optima Technology Corporation has no interest in U.S. Patents Nos. 5,566,073 and

9 5,904,724 ("the Patents") or the Durable Power of Attorney from Jed Margolin dated July

10 20, 2004 ("the Power of Attorney");

11   2. The Assignment Optima Technology Corporation filed with the USPTO is forged,

12 invalid, void, of no force and effect, and is hereby struck from the records of the USPTO;

13   3. The USPTO is to correct its records with respect to any claim by Optima

14 Technology Corporation to the Patents and/or the Power of Attorney; and

15   4. OTC is hereby enjoined from asserting further rights or interests in the Patents

16 and/or Power of Attorney; and

17   5. There is no just reason to delay entry of final judgment as to Optima Technology

18 Corporation under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b).

19 DATED this 18th day of August, 2008.

20

21

22

23   Raner C. Collins
                            United States District Judge

24

25

26

27

28

- 2 -