

1 forth below.

2 **Claim 2--Tortious Interference With Contract**
3 **(Against All Defendants)**

4 25. Paragraphs 1-24 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by
5 reference.

6 26. Mr. Margolin was a party to a valid contract with OTG for the payment of
7 royalties based on the license of the '073 and '724 Patents.

8 27. Defendants were aware of Mr. Margolin's contract with OTG.

9 28. Defendants committed intentional acts intended and designed to disrupt and
10 interfere with the contractual relationship between Mr. Margolin and OTG.

11 29. As a result of the acts of Defendants, Mr. Margolin's contract with OTG was
12 actually interfered with and disrupted.

13 30. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' tortious interference with
14 contract, Mr. Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000),
15 entitling him to the relief set forth below.

16 **Claim 3--Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage**
17 **(Against All Defendants)**

18 31. Paragraphs 1-30 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by
19 reference.

20 32. Defendants were aware of Mr. Margolin's prospective business relations with
21 licensees of the Patents.

22 33. Defendants purposely, willfully and improperly attempted to induce Mr.
23 Margolin's prospective licensees to refrain from engaging in business with Mr. Margolin.

24 34. The foregoing actions by Defendants interfered with the business relationships of
25 Mr. Margolin, and were done intentionally and occurred without consent or authority of Mr.
26 Margolin.

27 35. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' tortious interference, Mr.
28 Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), entitling him to the
relief set forth below.