IN THE SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA

REZA ZANDIAN A/K/A GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI A/K/A GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN A/K/A REZA JAZI A/K/A J. REZA JAZI A/K/A G. REZA JAZI A/K/A GHONOREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Appellant,

US.

JED MARGOLIN, AN INDIVIDUAL,

Respondent.

Nevada Supreme Court Case No. 65205

APPEAL

from the First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada IN AND FOR CARSON CITY THE HONORABLE JAMES T. RUSSELL, District Judge

JOINT APPENDIX

VOLUME I

JASON WOODBURY Nevada Bar No. 6870 KAEMPFER CROWELL 510 West Fourth Street Las Vegas, Nevada 89703 Telephone: (775) 884-8300

Attorneys for Appellant, Reza Zandian

ALPHABETICAL INDEX TO JOINT APPENDIX ("J.A.")

REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZI aka GHONOREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, Appellant,

vs.

JED MARGOLIN, an individual, Respondent. Nevada Supreme Court Case Number: 65205

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	<u>PAGES</u> (J.A.)
Additional Summons on Amended Complaint	Nov. 7, 2011	I	182-186
Additional Summons on Amended Complaint	Nov. 7, 2011	I	187-191
Affidavit of Service	Nov. 14, 2012	II	382
Amended Certificate of Service	Nov. 8, 2011	I	192-193
Amended Complaint	Aug. 11, 2011	I	169-176
Amended Notice of Entry of Default	Apr. 5, 2013	III	458-462
Application for Default Judgment; Memorandum of Points and Authorities in Support Thereof	Apr. 17, 2013	III	463-475
Application for Entry of Default	Sept. 14, 2012	II	346-353
Complaint	Dec. 11, 2009	Ι	1-10

<u>DOCUMENT</u>	DATE	VOL.	PAGES (J.A.)
Declaration of Adam P. McMillen in Support of Application for Default Judgment	Apr. 17, 2013	III	476-493
Declaration of Adam P. McMillen in Support of Plaintiff's Application for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Feb. 20, 2013	III	434-441
Declaration of Adam P. McMillen in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions Under NRCP 37	Dec. 14, 2012	II	390-420
Declaration of Jed Margolin in Support of Application for Default Judgment	Apr. 17, 2013	III	494-539
Declaration of Mailing	Mar. 4, 2013	III	442-443
Default	Sept. 24, 2012	II	354-360
Default	Mar. 28, 2013	III	444
Default Judgment	Oct. 31, 2012	II	372-374
Default Judgment	June 24, 2013	III	540-542
Defendant Reza Zandian's Reply in Support of Motion for Stay of Proceedings to Enforce Judgment Pursuant to NRC 62(B)	Feb. 3, 2014	IV	665-671
Defendant Zandian's Motion for Stay of Proceedings to Enforce Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 62(B)	Jan. 2, 2014	III	563-569

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	<u>PAGES</u>
			(J.A.)
Defendant Zandian's Motion to Set Aside Default Judgment	Dec. 20, 2013	III	546-562
Defendant Zandian's Reply in Support of Motion to Set Aside Default Judgment	Jan. 23, 2014	IV	648-661
General Denial	Mar. 6, 2012 (Stricken per Order filed Jan. 15, 2013)	II	303-305
General Denial	Mar. 14, 2012	II	314-316
John Peter Lee's Amended Motion to Withdraw from Representation of Defendants Optima Technology Corporations and Zandian	Mar. 14, 2012	II	317-322
John Peter Lee's Motion to Withdraw from Representation of Defendant Zandian	Mar. 7, 2012	.II	306-310
Motion to Dismiss Amended Complaint on Special Appearance	Nov. 17, 2011	II	194-293
Motion to Dismiss on a Special Appearance	June 9, 2011	I	15-42
Notice of Appeal	Mar. 12, 2014	IV	696-756
Notice of Entry of Default	Sept. 27, 2012	II	361-371
Notice of Entry of Default	Apr. 3, 2013	III	447-451
Notice of Entry of Default Judgment	June 27, 2013	III	543-545

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	<u>PAGES</u> (J.A.)
Notice of Entry of Judgment	Nov. 6, 2012	II	375-381
Notice of Entry of Order Granting John Peter Lee's Amended Motion to Withdraw from Representation of Defendants Optima Technology Corporations and Reza Zandian	May 9, 2012	II	325-328
Notice of Entry of Order (granting plaintiff's motion to compel)	July 2, 2012	II	338-345
Notice of Entry of Order (granting motion for sanctions)	Jan. 17, 2013	II	423-428
Notice of Entry of Order (granting application for attorney fees/costs)	Apr. 3, 2013	III	452-457
Notice of Entry of Order (denying defendant's motion to set aside default judgment)	Feb. 10, 2014	IV	682-695
Notice of Intent to Take Default	Mar. 9, 2012	II	311-313
Opposition to Motion for Stay of Proceedings to Enforce Judgment Pursuant to NRCP 62(b)	Jan. 17, 2014	IV	644-647
Opposition to Motion to Dismiss and Countermotions to Strike and for Leave to Amend Complaint	June 22, 2011	Ι	43-160
Opposition to Motion to Set Aside Default Judgment	Jan. 9, 2014	III	570-643

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	<u>PAGES</u> (J.A.)
Order Denying Defendant's Motion to Dismiss	Feb. 21, 2012	II	294-302
Order Denying Defendant Zandian's Motion to Set Aside Default Judgment	Feb. 6, 2014	IV	672-681
Order Granting John Peter Lee's Amended Motion to Withdraw from Representation of Defendants Optima Technology Corporations and Reza Zandian	Apr. 26, 2012	II	323-324
Order Granting Plaintiff's Application for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Mar. 29, 2013	III	445-446
Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions Under NRCP 37	Jan. 15, 2013	II	421-422
Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Appearance of Counsel for Optima Technology Corporations or in the alternative, Motion to Strike General Denial of Optima Technology Corporations	June 28, 2012	П	334-337
Order Setting Aside Default, Denying Motion to Dismiss and Granting Extension of Time for Service	Aug. 3, 2011	I	165-168
Plaintiff's Application for Attorney's Fees and Costs	Feb. 20, 2013	III	429-433

on communication of the Character of the Communication of the Communicat

DOCUMENT	DATE	VOL.	PAGES (J.A.)
Plaintiff's Motion for Sanctions Under NRCP 37	Dec. 14, 2012	II	383-389
Plaintiff's Motion to Compel Appearance of Counsel for Optima Technology or in the alternative, Motion to Strike General Denial of Optima Technology Corporations	May 15, 2012	И	329-333
Reply to Opposition to Motion to Dismiss on a Special Appearance	July 5, 2011	I	161-164
Request for Submission and Hearing on Defendant Reza Zandian's Motion to Set Aside Default Judgment	Jan. 23, 2014	IV	662-664
Summons	Mar. 9, 2010	I	11-14
Summons on Amended Complaint	Nov. 7, 2011	I	177-181

REC'D & FILED -Case No.: 09 OC 00579 1B 1 2 2009 DEC 11 PM 4: 07 Dept. No.: 3 4 5 6 IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 7 IN AND FOR CARSON CITY 8 JED MARGOLIN, an individual, 9 Plaintiff, 10 VS. 11 12 **OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY** CORPORATION, a California corporation, 13 OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka 14 GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA 15 JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA 16 JAZI aka GHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE 17 Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30, 18 Defendants. 19 20 COMPLAINT 21 (Exemption From Arbitration Requested) 22 Plaintiff, JED MARGOLIN ("Mr. Margolin"), by and through his counsel of record, 23 WATSON ROUNDS, and for his Complaint against Defendants, hereby alleges and complains 24 as follows: 25 The Parties 26 1. Plaintiff Mr. Margolin is an individual residing in Storey County, Nevada. 27 On information and belief, Defendant Optima Technology Corporation is a 28 2.

California corporation with its principal place of business in Irvine, California.

- 3. On information and belief, Defendant Optima Technology Corporation is a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 4. On information and belief, Defendant Reza Zandian, aka Golamreza Zandianjazi, aka Golamreza Zandianjazi, aka Gholam Reza Zandian, aka Reza Jazi, aka J. Reza Jazi, aka G. Reza Jazi, aka Ghononreza Zandian Jazi (collectively "Zandian"), is an individual who at all relevant times resided in San Diego, California or Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 5. On information and belief, Defendant Optima Technology Corporation, the Nevada corporation ("OTC—Nevada") is a wholly owned subsidiary of Optima Technology Corporation, the California corporation ("OTC—California"), and Defendant Zandian at all relevant times served as officers of the OTC—California and OTC—Nevada.
- 6. Mr. Margolin believes, and therefore alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, each of the Defendants was the agent, servant or employee of each of the other Defendant and at all times was acting within the course and scope of said agency and/or employment and that each Defendant is liable to Mr. Margolin for the reasons and the facts herein alleged. Relief is sought herein against each and all of the Defendants jointly and severally, as well as its or their agents, assistants, successors, employees and all persons acting in concert or cooperation with them or at their direction. Mr. Margolin will amend his Complaint when such additional persons acting in concert or cooperation are ascertained.

Jurisdiction and Venue

7. Pursuant to the Nevada Constitution, Article 6, Section 6, the district courts of the State of Nevada have original jurisdiction in all cases excluded by law from the original jurisdiction of the justice courts. This case involves tort claims in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limitation of the justice courts and, accordingly, jurisdiction is proper in the district court.

8. Venue is based upon the provisions of N.R.S. § 13.010, et seq., inasmuch as the Defendants at all times herein mentioned has been and/or is residing or currently doing business in and/or are responsible for the actions complained of herein in Storey County.

Facts

- 9. Plaintiff Mr. Margolin is the named inventor on numerous patents and patent applications, including United States Patent No. 5,566,073 ("the '073 Patent"), United States Patent No. 5,904,724 ("the '724 Patent"), United States Patent No. 5,978,488 ("the '488 Patent") and United States Patent No. 6,377,436 ("the '436 Patent") (collectively "the Patents").
- 10. Mr. Margolin is the legal owner and owner of record for the '488 and '436 Patents, and has never assigned those patents.
- 11. In July 2004, Mr. Margolin granted to Optima Technology Group ("OTG"), a Cayman Islands Corporation specializing in aerospace technology, a Power of Attorney regarding the '073 and '724 Patents. In exchange for the Power of Attorney, OTG agreed to pay Mr. Margolin royalties based on OTG's licensing of the '073 and '724 Patents.
- 12. In May 2006, OTG and Mr. Margolin licensed the '073 and '724 Patents to Geneva Aerospace, Inc., and Mr. Margolin received a royalty payment pursuant to the royalty agreement between Mr. Margolin and OTG.
- 13. On about July 20, 2004, Mr. Margolin assigned the '073 and '724 Patents to OTG.
- 14. In about November 2007, OTG licensed the '073 Patent to Honeywell International, Inc., and Mr. Margolin received a royalty payment pursuant to the royalty agreement between Mr. Margolin and OTG.
- 15. In December 2007, Defendant Zandian filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") fraudulent assignment documents allegedly assigning all four of the Patents to Optima Technology Corporation.

- 16. Upon discovery of the fraudulent filing, Mr. Margolin: (a) filed a report with the Storey County Sheriff's Department; (b) took action to regain record title to the '488 and '436 Patents that he legally owned; and (c) assisted OTG in regaining record title of the '073 and '724 Patents that it legally owned and upon which it contracted with Mr. Margolin for royalties.
- 17. Soon thereafter, Mr. Margolin and OTG were named as defendants in an action for declaratory relief regarding non-infringement of the '073 and '724 Patents in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, in a case titled: *Universal Avionics Systems Corporation v. Optima Technology Group, Inc.*, No. CV 07-588-TUC-RCC (the "Arizona Action"). In the Arizona Action, Mr. Margolin and OTG filed a cross-claim for declaratory relief against Zandian in order to obtain legal title to their respective patents.
- 18. On August 18, 2008, the United States District Court for the District of Arizona entered a final judgment in favor of Mr. Margolin and OTG on their declaratory relief action, and ordered that OTC had no interest in the '073 or '724 Patents, and that the assignment documents filed with the USPTO were "forged, invalid, void, of no force and effect." Attached as Exhibit A is a copy of the Order from the United States District Court in the Arizona Action.
- 19. Due to Defendants' fraudulent acts, title to the Patents was clouded and interfered with Plaintiff's and OTG's ability to license the Patents.
- 20. During the period of time Mr. Margolin worked to correct record title of the Patents in the Arizona Action and with the USPTO, he incurred significant litigation and other costs associated with those efforts.

<u>Claim 1--Conversion</u> (Against All Defendants)

- 21. Paragraphs 1-20 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.
- 22. Through the fraudulent acts described above, Defendants wrongfully exerted dominion over the Patents, thereby depriving Mr. Margolin of the use of such property.

- 23. The Patents and the royalties due Mr. Margolin under the Patents were the personal property of Mr. Margolin.
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' conversion, Mr. Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), entitling him to the relief set forth below.

<u>Claim 2--Tortious Interference With Contract</u> (Against All Defendants)

- 25. Paragraphs 1-24 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.
- 26. Mr. Margolin was a party to a valid contract with OTG for the payment of royalties based on the license of the '073 and '724 Patents.
 - 27. Defendants were aware of Mr. Margolin's contract with OTG.
- 28. Defendants committed intentional acts intended and designed to disrupt and interfere with the contractual relationship between Mr. Margolin and OTG.
- 29. As a result of the acts of Defendants, Mr. Margolin's contract with OTG was actually interfered with and disrupted.
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' tortious interference with contract, Mr. Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), entitling him to the relief set forth below.

<u>Claim 3—Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage</u> (Against All Defendants)

- 31. Paragraphs 1-30 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.
- 32. Defendants were aware of Mr. Margolin's prospective business relations with licensees of the Patents.
- 33. Defendants purposely, willfully and improperly attempted to induce Mr. Margolin's prospective licensees to refrain from engaging in business with Mr. Margolin.

- 34. The foregoing actions by Defendants interfered with the business relationships of Mr. Margolin, and were done intentionally and occurred without consent or authority of Mr. Margolin.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' tortious interference, Mr. Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), entitling him to the relief set forth below.

<u>Claim 4—Unjust Enrichment</u> (Against All Defendants)

- 36. Paragraphs 1-35 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.
 - 37. Defendants wrongfully obtained record title to the Patents.
- 38. Defendants were aware that record title to the Patents was valuable, and were aware of the benefit derived from having record title.
- 39. Defendants unjustly benefitted from the use of Mr. Margolin's property without compensation to Mr. Margolin.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' aforementioned acts, Mr. Margolin is entitled to equitable relief.

Claim 5—Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices (Against All Defendants)

- 41. Paragraphs 1-40 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.
- 42. The Defendants, engaging in the acts and conduct described above, have knowingly and willfully committed unfair and deceptive trace practices under NRS 598.0915 by making false representations.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' unfair and deceptive trade practices, Mr. Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), entitling him to the relief set forth below.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Jed Margolin, prays for judgment against the Defendants as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff be awarded damages for Defendants' tortious conduct;
- 2. That Plaintiff be awarded damages for Defendants' unjust enrichment;
- 3. That Plaintiff be awarded damages for Defendants' commission of unfair and deceptive trade practices, in an amount to be proven at trial, with said damages being trebled pursuant to NRS 598.0999;
- 4. That Plaintiff be awarded actual, consequential, future, and punitive damages of whatever type or nature;
 - 5. That the Court award all such further relief that it deems just and proper.

AFFIRMATION

Pursuant to NRS 239B.030, the undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document, filed in District Court, does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED: December 10, 2009

WATSON ROUNDS

Matthew D. Francis (6978) Cassandra P. Joseph (9845)

WATSON ROUNDS 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511

Telephone: 775-324-4100 Facsimile: 775-333-8171

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin

1 2 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 5 6 UNIVERSAL AVIONICS SYSTEMS) No. CV 07-588-TUC-RCC 7 CORPORATION, ORDER 8 Plaintiff, 9 vs. 10 OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC., OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY 11 CORPORATION, ROBERT ADAMS and) 12 JED MARGOLIN, 13 Defendants. 14 OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY INC. a/k/a) OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.,) 15 a corporation, 16 Counterclaimant, 17 18 VS. 19 UNIVERSAL AVIONICS SYSTEMS) CORPORATION, an Arizona corporation, 20 Counterdefendant, 21 OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY INC. a/k/a) 22 OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC., 23 Cross-Claimant, 24 vs. 25 TECHNOLOGY OPTIMA 26 CORPORATION. 27 Cross-Defendant. 28

Document 131

dase 4:07-cv-00588-RCC

Filed 08/18/2008

Page 1 of 2

This Court, having considered the Defendants' Application for Entry of Default Judgment against Cross-Defendant Optima Technology Corporation, finds no just reason to delay entry of final judgment.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

Final Judgment is entered against Cross-Defendants Optima Technology Corporation, a California corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, as follows:

- 1. Optima Technology Corporation has no interest in U.S. Patents Nos. 5,566,073 and 5,904,724 ("the Patents") or the Durable Power of Attorney from Jed Margolin dated July 20, 2004 ("the Power of Attorney");
- The Assignment Optima Technology Corporation filed with the USPTO is forged, invalid, void, of no force and effect, and is hereby struck from the records of the USPTO;
- 3. The USPTO is to correct its records with respect to any claim by Optima Technology Corporation to the Patents and/or the Power of Attorney; and
- 4. OTC is hereby enjoined from asserting further rights or interests in the Patents and/or Power of Attorney; and
- 5. There is no just reason to delay entry of final judgment as to Optima Technology Corporation under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b).

DATED this 18th day of August, 2008.

Pull

Raner C. Collins United States District Judge



No. 090000579 1B

REC'D & FILED

2010 MAR -9 PM 2: 15

ALAH GLOVER

BY

DEPTHARKEEROAD

In the First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for Carson City

SUMMONS

JED MARGOLIN, an individual

Plaintiff,

Optima Technology Corporation, a Galifornia corporation, Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, Reza Zandian aka Golamreza Zandianjazi aka Gholam Reza Zandian aka Reza Jazi aka J. RezaDefendant Jazi aka G. Reza Jazi aka Chonomeza Zandian Jazi, an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30 DEFENDANTS

THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT:

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil Complaint has been filed by the plaintiff against you.

- 1. If you wish to defend this lawsuit, you must, within 20 days after this Summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, file with this Court a written pleading in response to this Complaint.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the plaintiff, and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or the relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
- 4. You are required to serve your response upon plaintiff's attorney, whose address is

By Deputy Clerk

*Note - When service by publication, insert a brief statement of the object of the action. See Rule 4.

RETURN OF SERVICE ON REVERSE SIDE



THE CONTRACTOR AND THE PARTY OF	(For General Use)
STATE OF <u>CALIFORNIA</u>	es
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO	
ROBERT TOTH	, declares under penalty of perjury:
That affiant is, and was on the day when he served	the within Summons, over 18 years of age, and not a party to, nor interested
	ummons on the 22 NB day of TANUARY, 20 10,
and personally served the same upon Reza	ZANDIAN
the within named defendant, on the	day of FERRUARY , 20 10, by delivering to the said defendant
personally, in <u>FAIR OAKS</u>	, County of SALIRAMENTO , State of CALIFORNIA
a copy of the Summons attached to a copy of the C	•
declare under penalty of perjury under the law of	the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed this 12 TH day of PEBRUAN	ex 2010 Polet Tota
executed this day of	Signature of person making service
	NEVADA SHERIFF'S RETURN
STATE OF NEVADA : SS.	(For Use of Sheriff of Carson City)
CARSON CITY	(for ode of official of ourself and)
_	
hereby certify and return that I received the within	Summons on theday of, 20;
and personally served the same upon	, the within named defendant,
	_, 20, by delivering to the said defendant, personally, in Carson City,
State of Nevada, a copy of the Summons attached	I to a copy of the Complaint.
	Sheriff of Carson City, Nevada
•	,
Date;, 20	By Deputy
	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING
STATE OF NEVADA	
COUNTY OF	
•	
	, declares under penalty of perjury
That affiant is, and was when the herein describe	
That affiant is, and was when the herein describe	ed mailing took place, over 18 years of age, and not a party to, nor interested
n, the within action; that on the c	ed mailing took place, over 18 years of age, and not a party to, nor interested day of, 20, affaint deposited in the Post Office a Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint, enclosed in a sealed envelope
n, the within action; that on the of the within \$, Nevada, a copy of the within \$ upon which first class postage was fully prepaid, a	ed mailing took place, over 18 years of age, and not a party to, nor interestant day of, 20, affaint deposited in the Post Office a Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint, enclosed in a sealed enveloped
n, the within action; that on the of the within \$ upon which first class postage was fully prepaid, a the within named defendant, at	ed mailing took place, over 18 years of age, and not a party to, nor interestantially of, 20, affaint deposited in the Post Office a Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint, enclosed in a sealed enveloped
n, the within action; that on the of the within \$ upon which first class postage was fully prepaid, as the within named defendant, at	ed mailing took place, over 18 years of age, and not a party to, nor interestant day of, 20, affaint deposited in the Post Office a Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint, enclosed in a sealed enveloped
n, the within action; that on the of the within \$ upon which first class postage was fully prepaid, as the within named defendant, at that there is a regular communication by mail between the within that there is a regular communication by mail between the within that there is a regular communication by mail between the within that there is a regular communication by mail between the within that there is a regular communication by mail between the within	ed mailing took place, over 18 years of age, and not a party to, nor interestantially of, 20, affaint deposited in the Post Office a Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint, enclosed in a sealed enveloped
in, the within action; that on the or the within some property of the within named defendant, at that there is a regular communication by mail betwood declare under penalty of perjury under the law of	ed mailing took place, over 18 years of age, and not a party to, nor interested day of, 20, affaint deposited in the Post Office a Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint, enclosed in a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a sealed enveloped decreased to
n, the within action; that on the of the within support which first class postage was fully prepaid, as the within named defendant, at that there is a regular communication by mail betwoeld becare under penalty of perjury under the law of	ad mailing took place, over 18 years of age, and not a party to, nor interested day of, 20, affaint deposited in the Post Office a Summons attached to a copy of the Complaint, enclosed in a sealed enveloped decreased to, and the place so addressed. The State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.
n, the within action; that on the of the within support which first class postage was fully prepaid, as the within named defendant, at that there is a regular communication by mail betwoeld becare under penalty of perjury under the law of	reen the place of malling and the place so addressed. If the State of Nevada that the foregoing is true and correct.
n, the within action; that on the of the within supon which first class postage was fully prepaid, as the within named defendant, at that there is a regular communication by mail betwood declare under penalty of perjury under the law of day of	ed mailing took place, over 18 years of age, and not a party to, nor interested day of

·

345

6 7 8

10 11

9

12 13

14

15 16

18 19

20

17

21 22 23

24

25262728

Jed Margolin v. Optima Technology Corp., et al. Case No. 090C00579 1B Declaration of Robert Toth

I, ROBERT TOTH, hereby declare:

I am a registered process server for the State of California. I have personal knowledge of the facts contained in this Declaration, and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto. As to those matters alleged on information and belief, I believe them to be true.

I served copies of the Summons and Complaint, on Reza Zandian aka Golamreza Zandianjaza, aka Gholam Reza Zandian, aka Reza Jazi, aka J. Reza Jazi, aka G. Reza Jazi, aka Ghononreza Zanian Jazi:

On January 26, 2010 at 8:43 a.m., I wen to the residence address at 8401 Bonita Downs Road, Fair Oaks, California 95628. There was no answer at the door.

On January 28, 2010 at 3:47 p.m., I returned to the residence again, and there was no answer at the door.

On January 31, 2010 at 4:13 p.m., I went the residence address, and again there was no answer at the door.

On February 2, 2010 at 5:37 p.m., when I returned to the residence address, I observed no lights on, no cars parked, but that the trash was set out.

On February 2, 2010 at 7:21 p.m., I returned to the residence address. The door was answered by an elderly man, described as mid to late-60's, middle eastern accent, 5'4" tail, grey hair, long beard, thin, and wearing glasses. I told him I was looking for Reza. I showed him the name on the documents with the various names, and made a motion that he knew one or more of the names. I showed him the photograph that I had. I told him I had legal documents for Reza, and that I would leave it with him. He took the envelope, opened it and saw the documents. He told me that he did not want the papers and that he did not live there. I told him that we had confirmed that was his address. He returned the envelope back. I told him that he needed to make sure that Reza got the paperwork. I put the envelope by the doorway. He picked up the envelope and threw it at me as I was leaving. I left the documents there and again told him that he had been served for Reza.

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration is executed this 18th day of February, at Citrus Heights, California.

ROBERT M. TOTH Registered Process Server

- 2 -

JOHN PETER LEE, LTD

JOHN PETER LEE, LTD.

Telecopier (702)

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

ZANDIAN IS BEFORE THIS COURT ON A SPECIAL APPEARANCE.

The Nevada Supreme Court has held that "general appearance is entered when a person (or the person's attorney) comes into court as a party to a suit and submits to the jurisdiction of the court." Milton v. Gesler, 107 Nev. 767, 769, 819 P.2d 245, 247 (1991). "A special appearance is entered when a person comes into court to test the court's jurisdiction or the sufficiency of service."

Id. "Black's law dictionary defines a general appearance as a 'simple and unqualified... submission to the jurisdiction of the court' and defines a special appearance as an appearance 'for the purpose of testing the sufficiency of service or the jurisdiction of the court." Id. at fn. 3 (citing Black's Law Dictionary 89 (5th ed. 1979)).

Defendant Golamreza Zandianjazi (hereinafter "Zandian") hereby makes a special appearance in this case for the purpose of testing both the sufficiency of service and the jurisdiction of the court; thus, Zandian has not consented to personal jurisdiction of any Nevada court by bringing the instant motion.

II.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

Universal Avionics Systems Corporation as Plaintiff filed an action in the United States District Court of Arizona (Tucson Division) under case number 4:07-cv-00588-RCC on November 9, 2007. A copy of the docket for that case is attached hereto as Exhibit "A".

On August 18, 2008, an order was entered, a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "B". With regard to the U.S. District Court action, neither the underlying complaint, nor the order, nor the docket carry the name of Reza Zandian (hereinafter "Zandian"). Accordingly, Zandian, as an individual, was never served with a complaint in that action. Jed Margolin (hereinafter "Margolin") is named as a defendant in the U.S. District Court action in Arizona. Exhibits "A" & "B".

Margolin filed a complaint with the First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for Cason City on December, 11, 2009 (hereinafter "Nevada Complaint"), a copy of which is attached as Exhibit "C". The Nevada Complaint names Zandian as a defendant and alleges that

-2-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

Telecopier (702) 383-9950

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Zandian resides in San Diego, California or Las Vegas, Nevada. Id. at ¶ 4. Although Margolin alleged that Zandian resides in Las Vegas or San Diego, Margolin did not attempt service on Zandian in said places of alleged residence, but instead attempted service on Zandian in an entirely different city, Fair Oaks, California. Exhibit "D". Accordingly, Zandian was never served in this case either.

In the Nevada Complaint, paragraph 17, Margolin alleges to have filed a cross-claim for declaratory relief against Zandian in the U.S. District Court action. Id. In Paragraph 18 of the Complaint, Margolin alleges that an entry of a judgment in favor of Margolin was entered in that action. Id. The judgment, however, was not against Zandian. See Exhibits "A" & "B". A copy of the order is attached to the Nevada Complaint, and it does not name Zandian as a defendant against whom any rights were formulated. Exhibit "B".

In the Nevada Complaint, Margolin wrongfully and fraudulently states that Zandian was a resident of Nevada, that he was sued in Arizona before the U.S. District Court, that a judgment was entered there against him and that the Nevada Complaint is filed in an attempt to domesticate the U.S. District Court judgment issued in Arizona. See Exhibits "A" through "C". Thus, Margolin attached to the Nevada Complaint the only evidence necessary to determine whether Margolin committed a fraud upon the court by naming Zandian in the Carson City action. Id.

Zandian hereby alleges that in addition to his residency, which was at all times in California, there is no judgment in existence against Zandian filed in Arizona. Id. He was not served with a summons and complaint in the U.S. District Court case, a summons and complaint in the instant action, he was not served with a 3-Day Notice of Intent to Take Default Judgment in the instant action, nor was he served with the Notice of Entry of Default filed on December 2, 2010 in the instant action. Id. The Application for Default Judgments against the defendants named in the Nevada Complaint was served by mail upon John Peter Lee, Ltd., although John Peter Lee, Ltd., did not appear in the Carson City proceeding. Neither did Zandian.

In support of the Default Judgment, Margolin, the Plaintiff, filed Points and Authorities, but did not indicate the basis for the enforcement of a judgment by default against Zandian. Again, Zandian was not served with a copy of the Nevada Complaint or the U.S. District Court complaint which forms the basis for the Nevada Complaint. Id.

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

Pelecopier (

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

LAS VEGAS BLVD, SOUTH

AS VEGAS, NEVADA

Ш.

LEGAL ANALYSIS

A. Service of the Summons and Complaint was Never Effectuated Upon Zandian.

Proper service of a summons and complaint upon an individual must be made upon the individual "defendant personally, or by leaving copies thereof at the defendant's dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein, or by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to an agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process." NRCP 4(d)(6). Pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(4), insufficiency of service of process is grounds to dismiss a complaint.

Zandian was not served a summons and complaint in the U.S. District Court action which forms the basis of the instant action. Exhibit "A". Zandian is not mentioned in the Order issued from the U.S. District Court. Exhibits "A" & "B". Zandian was not served a summons and complaint in the instant action. Notwithstanding, Plaintiff took a default judgment against Zandian.

Because no summons was ever issued as to Zandian in the underlying U.S. District Court action which forms the basis of the instant action, any domestication of the U.S. District Court action as it pertains to Zandian is a clear violation of Zandian's constitutional right to notice under the Due Process Clauses of the Fifth and Fourteenth Amendments of the U.S. Constitution. Additionally, Zandian was not served in the instant case, in furtherance of the deprivation of Zandian's right to due process.

Because Zandian has never been given notice as required by NRCP 4 and/or the U.S. Constitution, the default judgment as applied to Zandian must be set aside pursuant to NRCP 55(c) or 60(b), and Zandian be dismissed from the instant action upon this instant motion by special appearance.

B. Nevada Does Not Have Personal Jurisdiction Over Zandian in the Instant Action.

"The plaintiff bears the burden of producing some evidence in support of all facts necessary to establish personal jurisdiction [emphasis added]." Trump v. District Court, 109 Ney, 687, 692-93,

Telephone (702) 382-4044 Telecopier (702) 383-9950 1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

857 p.2d 740, 748 (1993). Here, while Plaintiff did allege that Zandian resided in wither San Diego or Las Vegas, Plaintiff did not even attempt to serve Zandian in his alleged places of residence, which ought to serve as the only evidence that the court needs to determine that the allegation that Zandian resides in Las Vegas was nothing more than a fraud upon the court to induce the court into exercising personal jurisdiction over Zandian.

"There are two types of personal jurisdiction: general and specific." Trump v. District Court, 109 Nev. 687,699, 857 p.2d 740, 748 (1993). "General jurisdiction over the defendant 'is appropriate where the defendant's forum activities are so 'substantial' or continuous and systematic' that it may be deemed present in the forum." Id.; see also Baker v. Eighth Jud. Dist. Ct., 116 Nev. 527, 531-31, 999 P.2d 1020, 1023 (2000) (holding that "membership in the state bar, in and of itself, does not subject an individual to general jurisdiction in the state of membership because such contact is not substantial, continuous, or systematic."). In this case, Plaintiff has not alleged that Zandian has ever had any "forum activities" in Nevada. Thus, without more, Nevada cannot exercise general personal jurisdiction over Zandian.

"Specific personal jurisdiction over a defendant may be established only where the cause of action arises from the defendant's contacts with the forum," Baker, supra. "To subject a defendant to specific jurisdiction, this court must determine if the defendant 'personally established minimum contacts' so that jurisdiction would 'comport with fair play and substantive justice [internal quotations omitted]." Id. (citing Burger King Corp. V. Rudzewicz, 471 U.S. 462, 476-77, 85 L. Ed. 2d 528, 105 S. Ct. 2174 (1985) (quoting International Shoe Co. v. Washington, 326 U.S. 310, 320, 90 L. Ed. 95, 66 S. Ct. 154 (1945)). "In order for a forum state to obtain personal jurisdiction over a nonresident defendant, the Due Process Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment requires that the defendant have 'minimum contacts' with the forum state 'such that the maintenance of the suit does not offend traditional notions of fair play and substantial justice." Baker, supra at 531-31. Here, Plaintiff has not alleged any contacts between Zandian and Nevada, except to allege that Zandian resides in either San Diego or Las Vegas, and this is simply not enough to find that the court has personal jurisdiction over Zandian.

-5-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Telephone (702) 382-4044 Telecopier (702) 383-9950

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA

Zandian has not consented to personal jurisdiction in Nevada. Additionally, Zandian appears now, by and through his counsel, on a limited basis to respectfully refute the court's jurisdiction over her. Because Zandian is appearing for the sole purpose of refuting the Court's jurisdiction, Zandian has neither consented to jurisdiction nor waived the lack thereof.

Zandian has not been alleged to reside of the State of Nevada; instead, Plaintiff ambiguously

Zandian has not been alleged to reside of the State of Nevada; instead, Plaintiff ambiguously alleged that he is a resident of California or Nevada, then proceeded to attempt service upon him in California only. Zandian has not consented to personal jurisdiction in Nevada. Plaintiff has not alleged or produced any facts indicating that Zandian has had minimum contacts with the State of Nevada. Thus, pursuant to NRCP 12(b)(2), the Court must set aside the judgment against Zandian pursuant to NRCP 55(c) or 60(b) so that Zandian can be dismissed from the instant action on the grounds that the court does not enjoy personal jurisdiction over Zandian.

DATED this 8th day of June, 2011.

JOHN PETER LEE, LTI

BY:

JOHN PETER LEE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 001768 JOHN C. COURTNEY, ESQ.

Nevada Bar No. 011092 830 Las Vegas Boulevard South

Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Ph: (702) 382-4044/Fax: (702) 383-9950 Attorneys for Defendant Reza Zandian

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 8th day of June, 2011, a copy of the foregoing MOTION TO DISMISS ON A SPECIAL APPEARANCE was served on the following parties by mailing a copy thereof, first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed to:

Cassandra P. Joseph, Esq. Watson Rounds 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511

An employee of

JOHN PETER LEE, LTD.

.

CLOSED, STD

U.S. District Court DISTRICT OF ARIZONA (Tucson Division) CIVIL DOCKET FOR CASE #: 4:07-cv-00588-RCC

Universal Avionics Systems Corporation v. Optima

Technology Group, Inc. et al

Assigned to: Judge Raner C Collins

Cause: No cause code entered

Date Filed: 11/09/2007

Date Terminated: 09/23/2008

Jury Demand: Both

Nature of Suit: 190 Contract: Other

Jurisdiction: Federal Question

Plaintiff

Universal Avionics Systems

Corporation

represented by Allan Andrew Kassenoff

Greenberg Traurig LLP

200 Park Ave

New York, NY 10166

212-801-9200

Fax: 212-801-6400

Email: kassenoffa@gtlaw.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Paul J Sutton

Greenberg Traurig LLP 200 Park Ave New York, NY 10166

(212)801-9200 Fax: (212)801-6400

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Scott Joseph Bornstein,

Greenberg Traurig LLP

200 Park Ave

New York, NY 10166

212-801-2172

Fax: 212-224-6146

Email: bornsteins@gtlaw.com

LEAD ATTORNEY

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

E Jeffrey Walsh

Greenberg Traurig LLP

2375 E Camelback Rd

Ste 700

Phoenix, AZ 85016

602-445-8406

Fax: 602-445-8100

Email: walshj@gtlaw.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert A Mandel

Greenberg Traurig LLP
2375 E Camelback Rd
Ste 700
Phoenix, AZ 85016
602-445-8000
Fax: 602-445-8100
Email: mandelr@gtlaw.com
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Defendant

Optima Technology Group Incorporated

represented by Edward Moomjian, II

Udall Law Firm LLP
4801 E Broadway Blvd
Ste 400
Tucson, AZ 85711
520-623-4353
Fax: 520-792-3426
Email: emoomjian@udalllaw.com
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeanna Chandler Nash

Udall Law Firm LLP
4801 E Broadway Blvd
Ste 400
Tucson, AZ 85711-3609
520-623-4353
Fax: 520-792-3426
Email: jnash@udalllaw.com
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey Lynn Willis

Snell & Wilmer LLP 1 S Church Ave Ste 1500 Tucson, AZ 85701-1612 520-882-1231 Fax: 520-884-1294 Email: jwillis@swlaw.com

Robert Alan Bernheim

Snell & Wilmer LLP 1 S Church Ave., Ste. 1500

Tucson, AZ 85701-1612 520-882-1239 Fax: 520-884-1294

Email: rbernheim@swlaw.com ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Optima Technology Corporation TERMINATED: 08/18/2008 represented by Jeanna Chandler Nash

(See above for address) TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Defendant

Robert Adams

TERMINATED: 04/09/2008

represented by Edward Moomjian, II

(See above for address) TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Jeanna Chandler Nash

(See above for address) TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Jeffrey Lynn Willis

(See above for address)

Robert Alan Bernheim

(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Jed Margolin

represented by Edward Moomjian, II

(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeanna Chandler Nash

(See above for address)
TERMINATED: 03/03/2008
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey Lynn Willis

(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert Alan Bernheim

(See above for address)
ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Defendant

Optima Technology Corporation TERMINATED: 08/18/2008

ThirdParty Defendant

Joachim L Naimer

ThirdParty Defendant

Unknown Naimer

Named as Jane Doe Naimer

ThirdParty Defendant

Frank E Hummel

ThirdParty Defendant

Unknown Hummel

Named as Jane Doe Hummel

ThirdParty Plaintiff

Optima Technology Group

Incorporated

represented by Edward Moomjian, II

(See above for address)

TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Jeanna Chandler Nash

(See above for address)

TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Cross Claimant

Optima Technology Group

Incorporated

represented by Edward Moomjian, II

(See above for address)

TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Jeanna Chandler Nash

(See above for address)

TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

٧.

Cross Defendant

Optima Technology Corporation

TERMINATED: 07/07/2008

represented by Jeanna Chandler Nash

(See above for address)

TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Counter Claimant

Optima Technology Group

Incorporated

represented by Edward Moomjian, II

(See above for address)

TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Jeanna Chandler Nash

(See above for address)

TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Counter Defendant

Universal Avionics Systems · Corporation

represented by Allan Andrew Kassenoff

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Paul J Sutton

(See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Scott Joseph Bornstein, (See above for address) LEAD ATTORNEY ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

E Jeffrey Walsh (See above for address) ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Counter Claimant

Optima Technology Group Incorporated

represented by Edward Moomjian, II

(See above for address) TERMINATED: 03/03/2008 ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeanna Chandler Nash

(See above for address) TERMINATED: 03/03/2008 ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey Lynn Willis

(See above for address)

Robert Alan Bernheim

(See above for address) ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Counter Claimant

Jed Margolin

represented by Edward Moomjian, II

(See above for address) TERMINATED: 03/03/2008 ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeanna Chandler Nash

(See above for address) TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Jeffrey Lynn Willis (See above for address) ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

Robert Alan Bernheim (See above for address) ATTORNEY TO BE NOTICED

V.

Counter Defendant

Optima Technology Corporation

represented by Jeanna Chandler Nash
(See above for address)

(See above for address) TERMINATED: 03/03/2008

Date Filed	#	Docket Text
11/09/2007	1	SEALED COMPLAINT. Filing fee received: \$ 350.00, receipt number 1549612, filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Part 1 of 2# 2 Exhibit Part 2 of 2# 3 Summons OTC# 4 Summons OTG# 5 Summons JA# 6 Summons RA# 7 Civil Cover Sheet)(Walsh, E) Modified on 1/25/2008 (DNO, SEALED PER ORDER 39). Modified on 2/15/2008 (APJ,). (Entered: 11/09/2007)
11/09/2007		This case has been assigned to the Honorable Raner C. Collins. All future pleadings or documents should bear the correct case number: CIV-07-588-TUC-RCC. (GPA,) (Entered: 11/15/2007)
11/15/2007	2	Summons Issued as to Optima Technology Corporation. (GPA,). *** IMPORTANT: You must select "Document and stamps" or "Document and comments" on the print screen in order for the court seal to appear on the summons you print. (Entered: 11/15/2007)
11/15/2007	3	Summons Issued as to Optima Technology Group, Inc (GPA,). *** IMPORTANT: You must select "Document and stamps" or "Document and comments" on the print screen in order for the court seal to appear on the summons you print. (Entered: 11/15/2007)
11/15/2007	4	Summons Issued as to Jed Margolin. (GPA,). *** IMPORTANT: You must select "Document and stamps" or "Document and comments" on the print screen in order for the court seal to appear on the summons you print. (Entered: 11/15/2007)
11/15/2007	<u>5</u>	Summons Issued as to Robert Adams. (GPA,). *** IMPORTANT: You must select "Document and stamps" or "Document and comments" on the print screen in order for the court seal to appear on the summons you print. (Entered: 11/15/2007)
11/15/2007	6	Notice re electronically sending a magistrate election form to filer by

` .		
,		Universal Avionics Systems Corporation (GPA,) (Entered: 11/15/2007)
12/17/2007	7	Quarterly MOTION for Extension of Time To Answer based on Stipulation by Optima Technology Corporation, Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Attachments: # 1 Supplement Stipulation, # 2 Text of Proposed Order Order) (Chandler, Jeanna) (Entered: 12/17/2007)
12/19/2007	<u>8</u>	ORDER granting 7 Motion for Extension of Time. Dfts have up to 1/7/08 to serve/file their answer. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 12/18/07.(SSU,) (Entered: 12/19/2007)
01/04/2008	9	MOTION for Admission Pro Hac Vice as to attorney Scott J Bornstein on behalf of Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (BAS,) (Entered: 01/04/2008)
01/04/2008	<u>10</u>	MOTION for Admission Pro Hac Vice as to attorney Paul J Sutton on behalf of Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (BAS,) (Entered: 01/04/2008)
01/04/2008	11	MOTION for Admission Pro Hac Vice as to attorney Allan A Kassenoff on behalf of Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (BAS,) (Entered: 01/04/2008)
01/04/2008		PRO HAC VICE FEE PAID. \$ 100, receipt number PHX066316 as to Scott J Bornstein. (BAS,) (Entered: 01/04/2008)
01/04/2008		PRO HAC VICE FEE PAID. \$ 100, receipt number PHX066315 as to Paul J Sutton. (BAS,) (Entered: 01/04/2008)
01/04/2008		PRO HAC VICE FEE PAID. \$ 100, receipt number PHX066314 as to Allan A Kassenoff. (BAS,) (Entered: 01/04/2008)
01/04/2008	12	ORDER pursuant to General Order 05-25 granting 9 Motion for Admission Pro Hac Vice; granting 10 Motion for Admission Pro Hac Vice; granting 11 Motion for Admission Pro Hac Vice. Per the Court's Administrative Policies and Procedures Manual, applicant has five (5) days in which to register as a user of the Electronic Filing System. Registration to be accomplished via the court's website at www.azd.uscourts.gov. (BAS,)(This is a TEXT ENTRY ONLY. There is no.pdf document associated with this entry.) (Entered: 01/04/2008)
01/07/2008	<u>13</u>	MOTION to Dismiss Case by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams. (Chandler, Jeanna) Modified on 1/9/2008 (SSU, DOCUMENT FILED WITH INCORRECT CASE NUMBER AND DOCUMENT NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH LRCiv 7.1(c). ATTORNEY NOTICED). (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/07/2008	<u>16</u>	SEALED LODGED Proposed Memorandum in Support of Motion to Dismiss Adams/Optima re: 14 MOTION to Seal Document re Memorandum in Support of Adams/Optima Motion to Dismiss. Document to be filed by Clerk if Motion to Seal is granted. Filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams. (Chandler, Jeanna) (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/07/2008	17	MOTION to Dismiss Case for Lack of Jurisdiction by Robert Adams. (Chandler, Jeanna) Modified on 1/9/2008 (SSU, DOCUMENT FILED WITH

		INCORRECT CASE NUMBER AND DOCUMENT NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH LRCiv 7.1(c). ATTORNEY NOTICED). (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/07/2008	<u>20</u>	SEALED LODGED Proposed Memorandum in Support of Adams Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Personal Jurisdiction re: 18 MOTION to Seal Document re Memorandum in Support of Motion To Dismiss. Document to be filed by Clerk if Motion to Seal is granted. Filed by Robert Adams. (Chandler, Jeanna) (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/07/2008	21	MOTION to Dismiss Case for Lack of Jurisdiction by Jed Margolin. (Chandler, Jeanna) Modified on 1/9/2008 (SSU, DOCUMENT FILED WITH INCORRECT CASE NUMBER AND DOCUMENT NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH LRCiv 7.1(c). ATTORNEY NOTICED). (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/07/2008	<u>24</u>	SEALED LODGED Proposed Memorandum in Support of Margolins Motion to Dismiss re: 22 MOTION to Seal Document re Memorandum in Support of Margolins Motion to Dismiss. Document to be filed by Clerk if Motion to Seal is granted. Filed by Jed Margolin. (Chandler, Jeanna) (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/07/2008	<u>27</u>	ANSWER to 1 Complaint, with Jury Demand by Optima Technology Group, Inc(Chandler, Jeanna) Modified on 1/9/2008 (SSU, DOCUMENT FILED WITH INCORRECT CASE NUMBER AND DOCUMENT NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH LRCiv 7.1(c). ATTORNEY NOTICED). (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/07/2008	<u>28</u>	Corporate Disclosure Statement by Optima Technology Group, Inc. (Chandler, Jeanna) TEXT Modified on 1/8/2008 (SSU, DOCUMENT FILED WITH INCORRECT CASE NUMBER). (Entered: 01/07/2008)
01/08/2008	<u>29</u>	MOTION for Leave to File Excess Pages by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order Proposed Order) (Chandler, Jeanna) Modified on 1/9/2008 (SSU, DOCUMENT FILED WITH INCORRECT CASE NUMBER AND DOCUMENT NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH LRCiv 7.1(c). ATTORNEY NOTICED). (Entered: 01/08/2008)
01/08/2008	<u>31</u>	ORDER granting 14 Motion to Seal Document; granting 18 Motion to Seal Document; granting 22 Motion to Seal Document. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 1/8/08.(SGG,) (Entered: 01/09/2008)
01/08/2008	<u>32</u>	Sealed Document: Memorandum Per Order 31 filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams. (SGG,) (Entered: 01/09/2008)
01/08/2008	<u>33</u>	Sealed Document: Memorandum Per Order 31 filed by Robert Adams. (SGG,) (Entered: 01/09/2008)
01/08/2008	<u>34</u>	Sealed Document: Memorandum Per Order 31 filed by Jed Margolin. (SGG,) (Entered: 01/09/2008)
01/09/2008	<u>30</u>	ORDER granting 29 Motion for Leave to File Excess Pages. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 1/9/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 01/09/2008)

01/22/2008	<u>36</u>	First MOTION for Extension of Time Extension of Deadline under Rule 14 (A)(1) Unopposed by Optima Technology Group, Inc (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Moomjian, Edward) DOCUMENT NOT IN COMPLIANCE WITH LRCiv7.1(c). ATTORNEY NOTICED. Modified on 1/24/2008 (SSU,). (Entered: 01/22/2008)	
01/23/2008	<u>37</u>	ORDER granting 36 Motion for Extension of Time. Deadline for filing third party claims as a right is extended until and including 1/24/08. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 1/22/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 01/23/2008)	
01/24/2008	38	AMENDED ANSWER to COMPLAINT, THIRD PARTY COMPLAINT against JOACHIM L. NAIMER, JANE DOE NAIMER, FRANK E. HUMMEL, JANE DOE HUMMEL, CROSSCLAIM against Optima Technology Corporation, COUNTERCLAIM against Universal Avionics Systems Corporation by Optima Technology Group, Inc (Moomjian, Edward) DOCUMENT FILED WITH INCORRECT CASE NUMBER. TEXT Modified on 1/25/2008 (SSU,). (Entered: 01/24/2008)	
01/24/2008	<u>39</u>	SEALED ORDER granting 35 Motion to Seal Document; denying 25 Motion to Seal Document. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 01/23/08. (DNO,) (Entered: 01/25/2008)	
01/30/2008	40	Notice re Summons by Optima Technology Group, Inc. (Attachments: # 1 Summons)(Moomjian, Edward) (Entered: 01/30/2008)	
01/30/2008	41	Summons Issued as to Optima Technology Group, Inc., Optima Technology Corporation. (Attachments: #1 Summons)(BJW,). *** IMPORTANT: You must select "Document and stamps" or "Document and comments" on the print screen in order for the court seal to appear on the summons you print. (Entered: 01/30/2008)	
02/06/2008	42	Notice re Summons to Frank E. Hummel by Optima Technology Group, Inc. (Attachments: #1 Summons Jane Doe Hummel, #2 Summons Joachim L. Naimer, #3 Summons Jane Doe Naimer)(Chandler, Jeanna) (Entered: 02/06/2008)	
02/06/2008	43	Summons Issued as to Joachim L Naimer, Jane Doe Naimer, Frank E Hummel, Jane Doe Hummel. (Attachments: #1 Summons, #2 Summons, #3 Summons)(BJW,). *** IMPORTANT: You must select "Document and stamps" or "Document and comments" on the print screen in order for the court seal to appear on the summons you print. (Entered: 02/06/2008)	
02/11/2008	48	SEALED MOTION to Seal Document by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (DNO,) (Entered: 02/15/2008)	
02/13/2008	44	AFFIDAVIT of Phyllis Callahan re Affidavit of Process Server as to Service Upon Reza Zandian (Statutory Agent) for Optima Technology Corporation by Cross Claimant Optima Technology Group, Inc (Chandler, Jeanna) (Entered 02/13/2008)	
.02/13/2008	<u>45</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time to File Answer re Counterclaims and Third-Party Claims by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # 1 Supplement Stipulation re Enlargement of Time for Plaintiff	

		Counterdefendant and Third-Party Defendants to Answer or Otherwise	
		Respond to Counterclaims and Third-Party Claims, # 2 Text of Proposed Order Order Enlarging Time)(Walsh, E) (Entered: 02/13/2008)	
02/13/2008	<u>46</u>	Corporate Disclosure Statement by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 02/13/2008)	
02/14/2008	47	ORDER granting 45 Motion for Extension of Time to Answer. Joachim L Naimer answer due 4/14/2008; Jane Doe Naimer answer due 4/14/2008; Frank E Hummel answer due 4/14/2008; Jane Doe Hummel answer due 4/14/2008; Universal Avionics Systems Corporation answer due 3/18/2008. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 2/14/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 02/14/2008)	
02/15/2008	49	SUMMONS Returned Executed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. Jed Margolin served on 11/26/2007. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 02/15/2008)	
02/15/2008	<u>50</u>	SUMMONS Returned Executed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. Optima Technology Corporation served on 11/28/2007. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 02/15/2008)	
02/15/2008	<u>51</u>	SEALED ORDER granting 48 Motion to Seal Document. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 02/15/08.(SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)	
02/15/2008	<u>52</u>	SEALED RESPONSE to Motion re 13 MOTION to Dismiss Case filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation., Sealed per Order 51. (SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)	
02/15/2008	53	SEALED RESPONSE to Motion re 17 MOTION to Dismiss Case for Lack Jurisdiction filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. Sealed per Order 51. (SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)	
02/15/2008	<u>54</u>	SEALED RESPONSE to Motion re <u>21</u> MOTION to Dismiss Case for Lack of Jurisdiction filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. Sealed per Order <u>51</u> . (SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)	
02/15/2008	<u>55</u>	SEALED MOTION to Expedite Discovery by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. Sealed per Order 51. (SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)	
02/15/2008	<u>56</u>	Sealed Document: Memorandum and Support of <u>55</u> filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation, Sealed per Order <u>51</u> . (SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)	
02/15/2008	<u>57</u>	Sealed Document: Declaration filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. Sealed per Order 51 (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit, # 2 Exhibit, # 3 Exhibit)(SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)	
02/15/2008	<u>58</u>	Sealed Document: Declaration filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. Sealed per Order 51. (SGG,) (Entered: 02/20/2008)	
02/28/2008	<u>59</u>	MOTION to Expedite Motion for Extension of Time by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Moomjian, Edward) (Entered: 02/28/2008)	
02/28/2008	<u>60</u>	MOTION for Extension of Time Extension of Time Motion for Extension of Time to Submit Replies by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams,	

		Jed Margolin. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Moomjian, Edward) (Entered: 02/28/2008)	
02/28/2008	<u>61</u>	ORDER granting <u>59</u> Motion to Expedite.; granting <u>60</u> Motion for Extension of Time. Dfts have 30 days up to and including 3/31/08 to file their replies in support of Motions to Dismiss and Response/Opposition to the Motion for Expedited Discovery. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 2/28/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 02/28/2008)	
02/28/2008	<u>62</u>	MEMORANDUM re: In Opposition to Motion for Extension of Time by Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 02/28/2008)	
03/03/2008	<u>64</u>	SEALED ORDER granting 63 Motion to Withdraw. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 02/28/08.(DNO,) (Entered: 03/05/2008)	
03/18/2008	<u>65</u>	ANSWER to 38 Amended Answer to Complaint, Third Party Complaint, Crossclaim, Counterclaim,,,, by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 03/18/2008)	
04/01/2008	<u>66</u>	NOTICE of Appearance by Jeffrey Lynn Willis on behalf of Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/01/2008)	
04/01/2008	<u>67</u>	STIPULATION for 72-Hour Extension of Time to File Replies in Support of Motions to Dismiss and Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Expedited Discovery (Second Request) by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order) (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/01/2008)	
04/01/2008	<u>68</u>	ORDER re 67 STIPULATION for 72-Hour Extension of Time to File Replies in Support of Motions to Dismiss and Response to Plaintiff's Motion for Expedited Discovery, due 4/3/08. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 4/1/08. (KMF,) (Entered: 04/01/2008)	
04/02/2008	<u>69</u>	NOTICE of Appearance by Jeffrey Lynn Willis on behalf of Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/02/2008)	
04/02/2008	<u>70</u>	APPLICATION for Entry of Default by Defendants Optima Technology Group, Inc., against Optima Technology Corporation, Inc (Attachments: #1 Text of Proposed Order Proposed Entry of Default)(Willis, Jeffrey) Modified on 4/2/2008 to correct applicant (BJW,). (Entered: 04/02/2008)	
04/03/2008	71	REPLY in Support re 21 MOTION to Dismiss Case for Lack of Jurisdiction and Request for Stay of Proceedings on Motion to Dismiss filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/03/2008)	
04/03/2008	72	REPLY in Support re 13 MOTION to Dismiss Case filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/03/2008)	
04/03/2008	73	RESPONSE to Motion re 55 MOTION to Expedite Discovery filed by	

		Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/03/2008)	
04/07/2008	74	Clerk's ENTRY OF DEFAULT as to Optima Technology Corporation (PAB,) (Entered: 04/07/2008)	
04/09/2008	<u>75</u>	ORDER granting 13 Motion to Dismiss Case and as amended by 72 Reply; Counts 5, 6, 7 of Plaintiff's Complaint are dismissed without prejudice to Plaintiff refiling thises claims in state court. Counts 2-4 and 7-12 of Defendants' state law counterclaims, cross-claims and third-party claims are dismissed without prejudice. Ordered denying as moot 17 Motion to Dismiss Case for Lack of Jurisdiction; dft Adams is dismissed. Ordered denying 21 Motion to Dismiss Case for Lack of Jurisdiction and 71 Request for a Stay of Proceedings. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 4/9/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 04/09/2008)	
04/10/2008	<u>76</u>	APPLICATION for Entry of Default by Defendant Optima Technology Group, Inc. against Optima Technology Corporation. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B, # 3 Text of Proposed Order)(Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 04/10/2008)	
04/14/2008	77	Clerk's ENTRY OF DEFAULT as to Optima Technology Corporation. (SSU,) (Entered: 04/14/2008)	
04/29/2008	78	STIPULATION by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Optima Technology Corporation, Universal Avionics Systems Corporation, Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Attachments: #1 Text of Proposed Order Order)(Walsh, E) (Entered: 04/29/2008)	
05/06/2008	<u>79</u>	ORDER denying 55 Motion to Expedite, pursuant to Stipulation 78. Pla Universal Avionics Systems Corporation may file an amended complaint to reflect the effect of this Court's 4/9/08 Order on or before 5/9/08. Dfts Optima Technology Group and Jed Margolin will respond to the amended complaint within ten days of service. Universal will file a reply to any counterclaims within ten days after being served with such counterclaims. Any and all responsive pleadings that were or may have been due before the date of this Order are vacated in favor of the schedule set forth herein. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 4/29/08 (JEMB,) (Entered: 05/06/2008)	
05/13/2008	<u>82</u>	**PHRASE "OR PATENT TROLL" PG1 LINE 24, & PARAGRAPHS 37-43 STRIKEN PER ORDER 101 **Sealed Document: FIRST AMENDED COMPLAINT filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (JEMB,) Modified on 7/7/2008 (JEMB, TO REFLECT STRICKEN SECTIONS). (Entered: 05/16/2008)	
05/14/2008	81	ORDER granting 80 Motion to Seal Document. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 5/14/08.(JEMB,) (Entered: 05/16/2008)	
05/16/2008	83	CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation (Walsh, E) (Entered: 05/16/2008)	
05/20/2008	84	Sealed MOTION to Seal Document re Motion to Unseal Chandler & Udall, LLP'S Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel by Universal Avionics	

		Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Walsh, E) Modified on 5/21/2008 to seal document(PAB,). (Entered: 05/20/2008)	
05/20/2008	<u>85</u>	SEALED LODGED Proposed Motion to Unseal Chandler & Udall, LLP's Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel re: 84 MOTION to Seal Document re Motion to Unseal Chandler & Udall, LLP'S Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel. Document to be filed by Clerk if Motion to Seal is granted. Filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 05/20/2008)	
05/20/2008	<u>86</u>	SEALED LODGED Proposed Declaration of Allan A. Kassenoff in Support of Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corportation's Motion to Unseal Chandler & Udall, LLP's Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel re: 84 MOTION to Seal Document re Motion to Unseal Chandler & Udall, LLP'S Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel. Document to be filed by Clerk if Motion to Seal is granted. Filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: #1 Exhibit)(Walsh, E) (Entered: 05/20/2008)	
05/21/2008	<u>89</u>	ORDER granting 84 Motion to Seal Document. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 5/20/08.(JEMB,) (Entered: 05/22/2008)	
05/21/2008	<u>90</u>	MOTION to Unseal Document re Chandler & Udall, LLP's Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (JEMB,) (Entered: 05/22/2008)	
05/21/2008	<u>91</u>	Sealed Document: Declaration filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit)(JEMB,) (Entered: 05/22/2008)	
05/22/2008	<u>87</u>	MOTION to Strike Allegations From Amended Complaint by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin. (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 05/22/2008)	
05/22/2008	88	Additional Attachments to Main Document re 87 MOTION to Strike Allegations From Amended Complaint Proposed Order Granting Defendar Motion to Strike Allegations from Amended Complaint by Defendants Option Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin. (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 05/22/2008)	
05/29/2008	<u>92</u>	RESPONSE in Opposition re <u>90</u> MOTION to Unseal Document re Chandler & Udall, LLP's Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin. (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 05/29/2008)	
06/04/2008	<u>93</u>	RESPONSE in Opposition re <u>87</u> MOTION to Strike Allegations From Amended Complaint filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 06/04/2008)	
06/05/2008	<u>94</u>	REPLY in Support re <u>90 MOTION</u> to Unseal Document re Chandler & Udall LLP's Ex Parte Motion to Withdraw as Counsel filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 06/05/2008)	
06/09/2008	<u>96</u>	SEALED ORDER denying 90 Motion to Unseal Document. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 6/9/08.(JEMB,) (Entered: 06/12/2008)	
06/11/2008	<u>95</u>	Notice re Joint Rule 26(f) Report and Respective Case Management Plans by	

s			
		Optima Technology Group, Inc., Universal Avionics Systems Corporation (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 06/11/2008)	
06/18/2008	<u>97</u>	REPLY to Response to Motion re <u>87</u> MOTION to Strike Allegations From Amended Complaint filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin. (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 06/18/2008)	
06/18/2008	<u>98</u>	MOTION for Default Judgment as to Cross-Defendants Optima Technology Corp. (a CA corp.) and Optima Technology Corp.(a NV corp.) by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order [Proposed] Form of Judgment)(Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 06/18/2008)	
06/23/2008	<u>99</u>	RESPONSE in Opposition re <u>98</u> MOTION for Default Judgment as to Cross-Defendants Optima Technology Corp. (a CA corp.) and Optima Technology Corp.(a NV corp.) MOTION for Default Judgment as to Cross-Defendants Optima Technology Corp. (a CA corp.) and Optima Technology Corp. (a NV corp.) filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 06/23/2008)	
06/27/2008	100	Reply re <u>99</u> Response in Opposition to Motion, by Defendant Optima Technology Group, Inc (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 06/27/2008)	
07/07/2008	101	ORDER granting in part and denying in part <u>87</u> Motion to Strike, Plaintiff may file an amended complaint by 7/15/08; granting <u>98</u> Motion for Default Judgment against Cross-Dfts Optima Technology Corporation, a CA Corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a NV Corporation. Sign by Judge Raner C Collins on 7/2/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 07/07/2008)	
07/08/2008	102	REQUEST For Entry of Separate Judgment Under Rule 58(d) by Defendar Optima Technology Group, Inc., Robert Adams, Jed Margolin. (Attachment 1 Proposed Form of Judgment) (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 07/08/2008)	
07/10/2008	103	Notice re of Service of Defendant Optima Technology Group, Inc.'s First Se of Interrogatories to Plaintiff by Optima Technology Group, Inc. (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/10/2008)	
07/15/2008	104	AMENDED COMPLAINT Second against Optima Technology Corporation, Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin; Jury Demand, filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation (Walsh, E) (Entered: 07/15/2008)	
07/15/2008	105	AFFIDAVIT of Process Server Dean Nichols on Mercury Computer System Inc. by Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # Exhibit Subpoena) (Walsh, E) (Entered: 07/15/2008)	
07/15/2008	106	AFFIDAVIT of Process Server Ronald Bodtke for Service on Reza Zandian by Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit Subpoena) (Walsh, E) (Entered: 07/15/2008)	
07/15/2008	107	NOTICE of Deposition of Jed Margolin, filed by Universal Avionics System Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 07/15/2008)	
07/15/2008	108	NOTICE of Deposition of Robert Adams, filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Walsh, E) (Entered: 07/15/2008)	

07/15/2008	<u>109</u>	Notice re Service of Plaintiff's First Set of Interrogatories to Defendant Optima Technology Group, Inc. by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation (Walsh, E) TEXT HAS BEEN MODIFED TO REFLECT CORRECT DOCUMENT TITLE, PER ATTORNEY. Modified on 7/16/2008 (SSU,). (Entered: 07/15/2008)	
07/16/2008	110	Notice re Service of Plaintiff's First Request for Production of Documents to Defendant Optima Technology Group, Inc. by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation (Walsh, E) (Entered: 07/16/2008)	
07/18/2008	111	NOTICE of Deposition of UAS, filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/18/2008)	
07/18/2008	112	NOTICE of Deposition of Joaquin Naimer, filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/18/2008)	
07/18/2008	113	NOTICE of Deposition of Don Berlin, filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/18/2008)	
07/18/2008	114	NOTICE of Deposition of Frank Hummel, filed by Optima Technology Group, Inc (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/18/2008)	
07/21/2008	115	MOTION for Reconsideration re Of the Court's Default Ruling Against Optima Technology Corporation Filed July7, 2008 by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A)(Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 07/21/2008)	
07/23/2008	116	MOTION for Hearing or Conference re: Rule 16 Conference by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin. (Attachments: # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B, # 3 Text of Proposed Order) (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 07/23/20	
07/25/2008	117	APPLICATION for Entry of Default by Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation against Optima Technology Corporation. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order Entry of Default)(Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 07/25/2008)	
07/25/2008	118	DECLARATION of Declaration of Allan A. Kassenoff in Support of Plaintiff's Application for Entry of Default re 117 Application for Entry of Default by Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments # 1 Exhibit A, # 2 Exhibit B)(Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 07/25/2008)	
07/28/2008	119	RESPONSE in Opposition re 116 MOTION for Hearing or Conference re: Rule 16 Conference and Expedited Stay of Proceedings Pending Conference filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: #1 Exhibit A, #2 Exhibit B, #3 Exhibit C)(Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 07/28/2008)	
07/29/2008	120	Clerk's ENTRY OF DEFAULT as to Optima Technology Corporation (SSU,) (Entered: 07/29/2008)	
07/29/2008	121	ORDER granting in part and denying in part 116 Motion; Court will set scheduling conference but will not grant a stay of the proceedings. Telephonic Scheduling Conference set for 8/28/2008 10:00 AM before Judge Raner C Collins' law clerk, Isaac Rothschild. Further ordered, parties file with the Court a joint report reflecting the results of the conference by 8/25/08. Signed	

		by Judge Raner C Collins on 7/29/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 07/29/2008)	
07/29/2008	122	Optima Technology Group and Jed Margolin's ANSWER to 104 Amended Complaint and, COUNTERCLAIM against Optima Technology Corporation by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin. (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 07/29/2008)	
07/31/2008	123	MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT by Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation against Optima Technology Corporation. (Mandel, Robert) EVENT AND TEXT MODIFIED FROM Application for Default Judgment TO Motion for Default Judgment. Modified on 8/5/2008 (SSU,). (Entered: 07/31/2008)	
08/06/2008	124	Notice re Service of Requests for Production to Garmin International, Inc. by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 08/06/2008)	
08/06/2008	125	Notice re Answers to Universal Avionics Systems Corporation's First Set of Interrogatories by Optima Technology Group, Inc. (Willis, Jeffrey) (Entered: 08/06/2008)	
08/12/2008	<u>126</u>	Reply TO DEFENDANT OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.S COUNTERCLAIMS by Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 08/12/2008)	
08/13/2008	127	Notice re SERVICE OF OBJECTIONS AND RESPONSES TO OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.'S FIRST SET OF INTERROGATORIES & Universal Avionics Systems Corporation (Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 08/13/2008)	
08/18/2008	128	Notice re Service of Responses to Universal Avionics Systems Corporation First Request for Production of Documents and Things by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 08/18/2008)	
08/18/2008	129	ORDER denying 115 Motion for Reconsideration; granting 123 Motion for Default Judgment. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 8/18/08.(CLJ,) (Entered: 08/18/2008)	
08/18/2008	130	DEFAULT JUDGMENT in favor of Universal Avionics Systems Corporation against Optima Technology Corporation. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins of 8/18/08. (CLJ,) (Entered: 08/18/2008)	
08/18/2008	131	ORDER that Final Judgment entered against Cross-Defendants Optima Technology Corporation. ***See attached PDF for complete information*** Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 8/18/08. (CLJ,) (Entered: 08/18/2008)	
08/18/2008	132	ORDER that Final Judgment entered against Defendant Optima Technology Corporation. ***See attached PDF for complete information***. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 8/18/08. (CLJ,) (Entered: 08/18/2008)	
08/18/2008	133	CLERK'S JUDGMENT in favor of Universal Avionics Systems Corporation against Optima Technology Corporation. Cross-defendant Optima Technology Corporation has been terminated. Signed by Judge Raner C	

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		Collins on 8/18/08. (CLJ,) (Entered: 08/18/2008)	
08/18/2008	134	CLERK'S JUDGMENT in favor of Universal Avionics Systems Corporation against Optima Technology Corporation. Defendant Optima Technology Corporation has been terminated. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 8/18/08. (CLJ,) (Entered: 08/18/2008)	
08/25/2008	135	NOTICE of Deposition of Optima Technology Group 30(b)(6), filed by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 08/25/2008)	
08/25/2008	<u>136</u>	REPORT of Joint Rule 26(f) Report and Respective Case Management Plans by Defendants Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin, Plaintiff Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 08/25/2008)	
08/26/2008	137	Notice re Notice of Service of Initial Disclosures by Universal Avionics Systems Corporation (Mandel, Robert) (Entered: 08/26/2008)	
08/28/2008	138	Notice re Service of Defendants' Rule 26(a)(1) Initial Disclosure Statement by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 08/28/2008)	
08/28/2008	139	SCHEDULING ORDER: Discovery due by 9/12/2009. Dispositive motions due by 11/12/2009. Proposed Pretrial Order due by 11/25/2009. Status Report due by 1/5/2009. See attached PDF for additional information. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 8/28/08. (SSU,) (Entered: 08/28/2008)	
09/05/2008	140	MOTION for Extension of Time <i>To File Briefs</i> by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order) (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 09/05/2008)	
09/08/2008	141	ORDER granting 140 Motion for Extension of Time. Dft's briefs re: prejudice resulting from disputed patent prosecution exclusion be filed by 9/12/08, Dft' briefs re: preliminary invalidity contentions be filed by 9/15/08 and Plaintiff's brief re: case bifurcation be filed by 9/15/08. See attached PDF for additional information. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 9/8/08.(SSU,) (Entered: 09/08/2008)	
09/15/2008	142	STIPULATION to Extend Deadlines to File Briefs by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin, Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 09/15/2008)	
09/16/2008	143	ORDER granting 142 Stipulation: dfts have until 9/19/08 to file their briefs re: prejudice resulting from the disputed patent prosecution exclusion, 9/22/08 to file briefs re: preliminary invalidity contentions, Plaintiff have until 9/22/08 to file their brief re: case bifurcation. All parties have 10 days to file responsive memorandum after the initial briefs are filed. Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 9/16/08. (SSU,) (Entered: 09/16/2008)	
09/19/2008	144	BRIEF Re Prejudice Caused by Universal's Proposed Restriction Against Patent Prosecution by Defendants Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin. (Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 09/19/2008)	

		on the world in the contract of the contract o
09/22/2008	145	STIPULATION to Extend Deadlines to File Briefs by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin, Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 09/22/2008)
09/23/2008	146	ORDER granting 145 Stipulation: Dfts shall have up to and including 9/29/2008 to file their motion regarding preliminary invalidity contentions. Pla shall have up to and including 9/29/2008 to file their motion regarding case bifurcation and up to and including 10/10/2008 to file their brief regarding disputed patent prosecution exclusion. The parties shall have ten days after the filing of the motions to respond Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 9/22/08. (JKM,) (Entered: 09/23/2008)
09/23/2008	147	STIPULATION of Dismissal with Prejudice by Optima Technology Group, Inc., Jed Margolin, Universal Avionics Systems Corporation. (Attachments: # 1 Text of Proposed Order)(Bernheim, Robert) (Entered: 09/23/2008)
09/24/2008	148	ORDER granting 147 Stipulation of Dismissal :All claims and counterclaims in this action are dismissed with prejudice and the Clerk shall CLOSE this case. Each party shall be responsible for paying its own attorneys' fees and costs incurred in this action Signed by Judge Raner C Collins on 9/23/08. (JKM,) (Entered: 09/24/2008)

	PACER S	Service Cente	r
	Transa	ction Receipt	
	03/09/	2011 16:49:02	
PACER Login:	jp0611	Client Code:	1134.023382
Description:	Docket Report	Search Criteria:	4:07-cv-00588-RCC
Billable Pages:	14	Cost:	1.12

Document 131

28

#ase 4:07-cy-00588-RCC

1

Page 1 of 2

Filed 08/18/2008

delay entry of final judgment.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

6

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

#ase 4:07-cv-00588-RCC

Document 131

Filed 08/18/2008

Page 2 of 2

a California corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, as follows: 1. Optima Technology Corporation has no interest in U.S. Patents Nos. 5,566,073 and

5,904,724 ("the Patents") or the Durable Power of Attorney from Jed Margolin dated July

This Court, having considered the Defendants' Application for Entry of Default

Final Judgment is entered against Cross-Defendants Optima Technology Corporation.

Judgment against Cross-Defendant Optima Technology Corporation, finds no just reason to

- 20, 2004 ("the Power of Attorney"); 2. The Assignment Optima Technology Corporation filed with the USPTO is forged, invalid, void, of no force and effect, and is hereby struck from the records of the USPTO;
- 3. The USPTO is to correct its records with respect to any claim by Optima Technology Corporation to the Patents and/or the Power of Attorney; and
- 4. OTC is hereby enjoined from asserting further rights or interests in the Patents and/or Power of Attorney; and
- 5. There is no just reason to delay entry of final judgment as to Optima Technology Corporation under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b).

DATED this 18th day of August, 2008.

Rener C. Collins United States District Judge

6/22/11

ORIGINAL

Matthew D. Francis (6978) Adam P. McMillen (10678) WATSON ROUNDS 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

Telephone: 775-324-4100 Facsimile: 775-333-8171

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin



REC'D & FILED

2011 JUN 22 PH 3: 21

BY DEPUTY

In The First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada
In and for Carson City

JED MARGOLIN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

VS.

OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation, OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZI aka GHONONREZA

Defendants.

ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE

and DOE Individuals 21-30,

Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20,

Case No.: 090C00579 1B

Dept. No.: 1

OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS AND COUNTERMOTIONS TO STRIKE AND FOR LEAVE TO AMEND THE COMPLAINT

COMES NOW Plaintiff Jed Margolin and hereby files this opposition to Defendant Reza Zandian's ("Zandian") motion to dismiss on a special appearance and Plaintiff's countermotions to strike the motion to dismiss and in the alternative for leave to amend the complaint. This opposition and countermotions are based on the following Memorandum of Points and Authorities and all pleadings, motions, and papers on file herein.

///

28 |///

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND THORITIES

I. FACTUAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff Jed Margolin is the named inventor on numerous patents and patent applications, including United States Patent No. 5,566,073 ("the '073 Patent"), United States Patent No. 5,904,724 ("the '724 Patent"), United States Patent No. 5,978,488 ("the '488 Patent") and United States Patent No. 6,377,436 ("the '436 Patent") (collectively "the Patents"). See Complaint, ¶ 9. Mr. Margolin is the legal owner and owner of record for the '488 and '436 Patents, and has never assigned those patents. Id., ¶ 10. In 2004, Mr. Margolin granted to Optima Technology Group ("OTG"), a Cayman Islands Corporation specializing in aerospace technology, a Power of Attorney regarding the '073 and '724 Patents. Id., ¶ 11. Subsequently, Mr. Margolin assigned the '073 and '724 Patents to OTG. Id. ¶ 13. In exchange for the Power of Attorney and later Assignment, OTG agreed to pay Mr. Margolin royalties based on OTG's licensing of the '073 and '724 Patents. Id.

In May 2006, OTG and Mr. Margolin licensed the '073 and '724 Patents to Geneva Aerospace, Inc., and Mr. Margolin received a royalty payment pursuant to the royalty agreement between Mr. Margolin and OTG. *Id.*, ¶ 12. In about October 2007, OTG licensed the '073 Patent to Honeywell International, Inc., and Mr. Margolin received a royalty payment pursuant to the royalty agreement between Mr. Margolin and OTG. *Id.*, ¶ 14.

On about December 5, 2007, Defendant Zandian filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") fraudulent assignment documents allegedly assigning all four of the Patents to Optima Technology Corporation ("OTC"), a company apparently owned by Defendant Zandian. *Id.*, ¶ 15; *see also* the fraudulent assignment documents attached hereto as **Exhibit 1.** Upon discovery of the fraudulent filings, Mr. Margolin: (a) filed a report with the Storey County Sheriff's Department; (b) took action to regain record title to the '488 and '436 Patents that he legally owned; and (c) assisted OTG in regaining record title of the '073 and

The signature on the attached Recordation Form Cover Sheet is that of Reza Zandian; also, the internal address for Optima Technology Corporation, which is apparently another name for Zandian, lists John Peter Lee Limited, 830 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Las Vegas, Nevada 89101, 702-382-4044, info@johnpeterlee.com.

'724 Patents that it legally owned and upon which it contract with Mr. Margolin for royalties. *Id.*, ¶ 16.

Shortly before this, Mr. Margolin and OTG had been named as defendants in an action for declaratory relief regarding non-infringement of the '073 and '724 Patents in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, in a case titled: *Universal Avionics Systems Corporation v. Optima Technology Group, Inc.*, No. CV 07-588-TUC-RCC (the "Arizona Action"). *Id.*, ¶ 17. Plaintiff in the Arizona Action asserted that Mr. Margolin and OTG were not the owners of the '073 and '724 Patents, and Mr. Margolin and OTG filed a cross-claim for declaratory relief against Optima Technology Corporation ("Zandian" or "OTC") in order to obtain legal title to the respective patents.

On August 18, 2008, the United States District Court for the District of Arizona entered a final judgment in favor of Mr. Margolin and OTG on their declaratory relief action, and ordered that OTC had no interest in the '073 or '724 Patents, and that the assignment documents filed by Zandian with the USPTO were "forged, invalid, void, of no force and effect." *Id.*, ¶ 18.

Due to Defendants' fraudulent acts, title to the Patents was clouded and interfered with Plaintiff's and OTG's ability to license the Patents. *Id.*, ¶ 19. In addition, during the period of time Mr. Margolin worked to correct record title of the Patents in the Arizona Action and with the USPTO, he incurred significant litigation and other costs associated with those efforts. *Id.*, ¶ 20.

II. PROCEDURAL BACKGROUND

Plaintiff filed his Complaint on December 11, 2009. The Complaint was personally served on Defendant Zandian on February 2, 2010.² Zandian's answer to the Complaint was due on or before February 22, 2010. Zandian did not answer the Complaint or respond in any way. Almost a year after the Complaint was filed, on December 2, 2010, a default was entered

² See Affidavit of Service, dated 2/18/10, attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

against Zandian. Plaintiff then filed and served a Notice of Default on Zandian on December 7, 2010 and on his last known attorney on December 16, 2010.

1.大家公司大夫的管理等6.类形象 多比多

On February 25, 2011, Plaintiff filed in this Court and served a certificate of service indicating that the application for entry of default against Zandian was sent to attorney John Peter Lee. On February 28, 2011, Plaintiff filed an application for default judgment against Defendants Zandian, Optima Technology Corporation, a California Corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada Corporation.

On March 1, 2011, a default judgment was entered against Zandian and the other defendants for \$121,594.46. On March 7, 2011, notice of entry of that default was filed and served by mail on Zandian and his counsel.

On June 9, 2011, Zandian filed the motion to dismiss.

III. ARGUMENT

A. SERVICE OF THE SUMMONS AND COMPLAINT WAS EFFECTUATED UPON ZANDIAN

NRCP 4 states that service of the summons and complaint shall be made upon the "defendant personally, or by leaving copies thereof at the defendant's dwelling house or usual place of abode with some person of suitable age and discretion then residing therein, or by delivering a copy of the summons and complaint to an agent authorized by appointment or by law to receive service of process." NRCP 4(d)(6).

In this case, the complaint was filed on December 11, 2009. As Plaintiff was having difficulty serving Zandian, the summons and complaint were mailed to Zandian's attorney, John Peter Lee, on January 8, 2010, and a request for assistance in serving Zandian was made. See Letter, dated 1/8/10, from Cassandra Joseph to John Peter Lee, attached hereto as Exhibit 3. Moreover, Zandian was personally served with the summons and complaint on February 2, 2010. See Affidavit of Service, dated 2/18/10, attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

John Peter Lee never responded to Cassandra Joseph's request for assistance in serving Zandian and the Defendant entities. At least, Mr. Lee never responded until well after the default was entered by filing the instant motion, even though he represented Zandian prior to this action.

Therefore, Zandian was served with the summons and complaint and was given proper notice of this lawsuit. In fact, Plaintiff took the additional step of mailing the summons and complaint to Zandian and his lawyer. Unfortunately, for reasons known only to Zandian and his lawyer, Zandian decided not to answer the complaint or otherwise respond to the complaint in a timely manner.

Я

B. THIS COURT HAS JURISDICTION OVER ZANDIAN IN THIS ACTION

Nevada's long arm statute states as follows: "A court of this state may exercise jurisdiction over a party to a civil action on any basis not inconsistent with the Constitution of this state or the Constitution of the United States." NRS 14.065(1). In addition, "[p]ersonal service of summons upon a party outside this state is sufficient to confer upon a court of this state jurisdiction over the party so served if the service is made by delivering a copy of the summons, together with a copy of the complaint, to the party served in the manner provided by statute or rule of court for service upon a person of like kind within this state." NRS 14.065(2).

In addition, in Nevada, "[t]here are two types of personal jurisdiction: general and specific." Baker v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court ex rel. County of Clark, 116 Nev. 527, 532, 999 P.2d 1020, 1023 (2000). "General jurisdiction is required in matters where a defendant is held to answer in a forum for causes of action unrelated to his forum activities." Baker v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court ex rel. County of Clark, 116 Nev. 527, 532, 999 P.2d 1020, 1023 (2000). "General jurisdiction over a nonresident will lie where the nonresident's activities in the forum are 'substantial' or 'continuous and systematic." Id. Said another way, "General jurisdiction over the defendant 'is appropriate where the defendant's forum activities are so "substantial" or "continuous and systematic" that [he] may be deemed present in the forum." Freeman v. Second Judicial Dist. Court ex rel. County of Washoe, 116 Nev. 550, 553, 1 P.3d 963, 965 (2000).

In addition, the following citation acknowledges that there must be minimum contacts for the Court to exercise jurisdiction over a nonresident and states that owning property or doing business within the state is enough to confer jurisdiction:

9

10 11

12 13

15

14

16 17

18 19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

We ack vledged in Metal-Matic, Inc. v. 8th Judi District Court, 82 Nev. 263, 415 P.2d 617 (1966), citing therein International Shoe Co. v. State of Washington, 326 U.S. 310, 66 S.Ct. 154, 90 L.Ed. 95 (1945); McGee v. International Life, 355 U.S. 220, 78 S.Ct. 199, 2 L.Ed.2d 223 (1957); and Hanson v. Denckla, 357 U.S. 235, 78 S.Ct. 1228, 2 L.Ed.2d 1283 (1958), that since Pennoyer v, Neff, 5 Otto 714, 95 U.S. 714, 24 L.Ed. 565 (1877), a jurisdictional evolution has been taking place to such extent that the old jurisdictional landmarks have been left far behind so that in many instances states may now properly exercise jurisdiction over nonresidents not amenable to service within their borders. The point has not been reached, however, where state boundaries are not without significance. There must still be some 'affiliating' circumstances without which the courts of the state may not entertain jurisdiction. Hanson v. Denckla, supra. Each case depends upon its own circumstances, but while we adhere to the generalities of 'minimal contact,' that contact must be of significance. In this case it must amount to owning property or doing business within this state.

McCulloch Corp. v. O'Donnell, 83 Nev. 396, 398, 433 P.2d 839, 840 (1967).

In this case, Zandian owns property and does business within the forum state. As a result, Zandian's forum activities are so "substantial" or "continuous and systematic" that he may be deemed present in the forum and therefore general jurisdiction is appropriate.

In fact, Zandian currently owns real property throughout Nevada. He owns two properties in Clark County.⁴ He owns 10 properties in Washoe County.⁵ He owns and/or is partial owner of 6 properties in Lyon County.⁶ He is part owner of two properties in Churchill County.⁷ He is part owner of one property in Elko County.⁸

With regards to doing business within Nevada, Zandian is a manager of 11000 Reno Highway, Fallon, LLC, a Nevada LLC that is in active status. Currently, 11000 Reno Highway, Fallon, LLC is listed as the owner of 640 acres of real property in Churchill County.

See Zandian's Clark County property information, attached hereto as Exhibit 4.

See Zandian's Washoe County property information, attached hereto as Exhibit 5.

⁶ See Zandian's Lyon County property information, attached hereto as Exhibit 6.

⁷ See Zandian's Churchill County property information, attached hereto as Exhibit 7.

See Zandian's Elko County property information, attached hereto as Exhibit 8.

⁹ See Zandian's manager information for 11000 Reno Highway, Fallon, L.L.C., attached hereto as Exhibit 9.

¹⁰ See 11000 Reno Highway, Fallon, LLC's Churchill County property information, attached hereto as Exhibit 10.

Zandian is a managing member and registered age. of Misfits Development LLC, a Nevada LLC in active status. ¹¹ Zandian is a managing member and registered agent of Elko North 5th Avenue, LLC, a Nevada LLC in active status. ¹² Zandian is a managing member and registered agent for Stagecoach Valley LLC, an active Nevada LLC. ¹³

Zandian acted as the resident agent for a revoked Nevada limited liability company named Rock and Royalty LLC where Zandian's resident agent address was 1401 S. Las Vegas Boulevard, Las Vegas, Nevada 89104. Zandian was a managing member of Gold Canyon Development LLC, a Nevada LLC that is now in default status. Zandian was a managing member of High Tech Development LLC, a Nevada LLC that has been dissolved. Zandian was a managing member of Lyon Park Development LLC, a Nevada LLC that has been dissolved. Zandian was a managing member of Churchill Park Development LLC, a Nevada LLC that has been dissolved. Zandian was a manager of Sparks Village LLC, a Nevada LLC that is in default status. Zandian was president, secretary, treasurer, director and resident agent of Optima Technology Corporation, a now revoked Nevada close corporation. Zandian was a manager of Dayton Plaza, LLC, a Nevada LLC in default status. Finally, Zandian was a manager of Reno Highway Plaza, LLC, a Nevada LLC in revoked status.

¹¹ See Zandian's managing member and resident agent information for Misfits Development LLC, attached hereto as Exhibit 11.

¹² See Zandian's managing member and resident agent information for Elko North 5th Avenue, LLC, attached hereto as Exhibit 12.

¹³ See Zandian's managing member and resident agent information for Stagecoach Valley LLC, attached hereto as Exhibit 13.

¹⁴ See Zandian's resident agent information for Rock and Royalty LLC, attached hereto as Exhibit 14.

See Zandian's managing member information for Gold Canyon Development LLC, attached hereto as Exhibit 15.

¹⁶ See Zandian's managing member information for High Tech Development LLC, attached hereto as Exhibit 16.

¹⁷ See Zandian's managing member information for Lyon Park Development LLC, attached hereto as Exhibit 17.

¹⁸ See Zandian's managing member information for Churchill Park Development LLC, attached hereto as Exhibit 18.

^{26 1} See Zandian's manager information for Sparks Village LLC, attached hereto as Exhibit 19.

²⁰ See Zandian's information for Optima Technology Corporation, attached hereto as Exhibit 20.

²¹ See Zandian's information for I-50 Plaza LLC, attached hereto as Exhibit 21.

²² See Zandian's information for Dayton Plaza, LLC, attached hereto as Exhibit 22.

²³ See Zandian's information for Reno Highway Plaza, LLC, attached hereto as Exhibit 23.

Also, Zandian listed Carson City and Las Vegas accesses for his registered agent and officer information for Rock and Royalty LLC, Optima Technology Corporation, High Tech Development LLC, Lyon Park Development LLC, Churchill Park Development LLC, Sparks Village, LLC, I-50 Plaza LLC, Dayton Plaza, LLC, 11000 Reno Highway Fallon LLC, Misfits Development LLC, Elko North 5th Ave, LLC, and Stagecoach Valley LLC.²⁴

As demonstrated above, Zandian clearly owns or partially owns 21 properties within and throughout the state of Nevada and Zandian clearly does a significant amount of business within the state. His property ownership holdings and his business dealings, alone, show that Zandian's forum activities are so "substantial" or "continuous and systematic" that he may be deemed present in the forum and therefore general jurisdiction is appropriate.

C. NEVADA HAS ABROGATED THE DOCTRINE OF SPECIAL/GENERAL APPEARANCES

Zandian argues that he is making a special appearance "for the purpose of testing both the sufficiency of service and the jurisdiction of the court; thus, Zandian has not consented to personal jurisdiction of any Nevada court by bringing the instant motion." See Motion to Dismiss on a Special Appearance, dated 6/8/11, 2:12-15, on file herein.

However, the Nevada Supreme Court has abrogated the doctrine of special/general appearances. *Hansen v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court ex rel. County of Clark*, 116 Nev. 650, 656, 6 P.3d 982, 985 (2000). "Now, before a defendant files a responsive pleading such as an answer, that defendant may move to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction, insufficiency of process, and/or insufficiency of service of process, and such a defense is not 'waived by being joined with one or more other defenses.' Alternatively, a defendant may raise its defenses, including those relating to jurisdiction and service, in a responsive pleading." *Hansen*, 116 Nev. at 656, 6 P.3d at 986.

Zandian could have raised his alleged defenses of insufficiency of service of process and lack of jurisdiction in a motion to dismiss without waiving such defenses and his "special" appearance is a nullity. Therefore, Zandian's motion is merely a motion to dismiss. However,

²⁴ See Exhibits 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, and 22, attached hereto.

as will be shown above and below, the motion to dismiss a procedurally and factually fatally flawed.

D. ZANDIAN CANNOT MEET THE STANDARD FOR A MOTION TO DISMISS

"In considering 'a motion to dismiss, all well-pleaded allegations of material fact are taken as true and construed in a light most favorable to the non-moving party." *Germaine Music v. Universal Songs of Polygram*, 275 F. Supp. 2d 1288, 1294 (D. Nev. 2003) *aff'd in part*, 130 F. App'x. 153 (9th Cir. 2005).

In his first paper filed with this Court, Zandian moves this Court to set aside the judgment and dismiss the case. Zandian casually makes a short reference to NRCP 55(c) and NRCP 60(b) in a request to set aside the default judgment and then in the same sentence requests that the Court dismiss this case "on the grounds that the court does not enjoy personal jurisdiction over Zandian." *See* Motion to Dismiss on a Special Appearance, dated 6/8/11, 6:9-11, on file herein.

However, as shown above, Zandian was properly served and his forum contacts are so substantial as to create general jurisdiction over him in the State of Nevada. Therefore, construing the complaint in the light most favorable to the Plaintiff, Zandian's motion to dismiss cannot meet the standard for a motion to dismiss.

E. ZANDIAN HAS NOT AND CANNOT MEET THE STANDARD FOR A MOTION TO SET ASIDE

If a defaulting party is dissatisfied with a default judgment, then the only procedural remedy is to set aside the default. NRCP 60(b) states the standard for setting aside a default judgment as follows:

On motion and upon such terms as are just, the court may relieve a party or a party's legal representative from a final judgment, order, or proceeding for the following reasons: (1) mistake, inadvertence, surprise, or excusable neglect; (2) newly discovered evidence which by due diligence could not have been discovered in time to move for a new trial under Rule 59(b); (3) fraud (whether heretofore denominated intrinsic or extrinsic), misrepresentation or other misconduct of an adverse party; (4) the judgment is void; or, (5) the judgment has been satisfied, released, or discharged, or a prior judgment upon which it is based has been reversed or otherwise vacated, or it is no longer equitable that an injunction should have prospective application.

NRCP 60(b).

A district court's exercise of discretion in setting aside a default judgment, <u>or in</u>

refusing to do so, will not be disturbed in the absence of an abuse of discretion. Hotel Last

Frontier Corp. v. Frontier Properties, Inc., 79 Nev. 150, 154, 380 P.2d 293, 294 (1963). The

district court must consider the following factors before granting a motion to set aside:

First, there must have been "a prompt application to remove the judgment." Yochum, 98 Nev. at 486, 653 P.2d at 1216 (citing Hotel Last Frontier v. Frontier Prop., 79 Nev. 150, 380 P.2d 293 (1963)) (citations omitted) (emphasis added). Second, there must be an "absence of an intent to delay the proceedings." Id. (Emphasis added.) Third, there must be evidence of "a lack of knowledge of procedural requirements" on the part of the moving party. Id. (Emphasis added). Fourth, the motion must be made in "good faith." Id. (Emphasis added.) Fifth, "the moving party must promptly tender a "meritorious defense" to the claim for relief." Yochum, 98 Nev. at 487, 653 P.2d at 1216–17 (citations omitted) (emphasis added). Finally, "the court must give due consideration to the state's underlying basic policy of resolving cases on their merits whenever possible." Yochum, 98 Nev. at 487, 653 P.2d at 1217 (emphasis added).

Kahn v. Orme, 108 Nev. 510, 513, 835 P.2d 790, 792-93 (1992)(emphasis added).

The acceptable procedures to satisfy the requirement that a "meritorious defense" be shown are as follows:

(1) the fact testimony or affidavit of one possessing testimonial qualifications, which factual information, if true, would tend to establish a defense to all or part of the claim for relief asserted; or (2) the opinion of counsel for a party, based upon facts related to him (without setting forth such facts), that a meritorious defense exists to all or part of the claim for relief asserted; or (3) the tendering of a responsive pleading in good faith, with the moving papers, which responsive pleading, if true, would tend to establish a meritorious defense to all or part of the claim for relief asserted; or (4) any combination of the above.

Hotel Last Frontier Corp., 79 Nev. at 155, 380 P.2d at 295.

In this case, Zandian fails to show that there was prompt application to remove the judgment or an absence of intent to delay the proceedings. There is nothing in Zandian's motion to dismiss on either subject.

On the other hand, the facts demonstrate that Zandian and his counsel had notice of the action and the default early on. In fact, on December 2, 2010, a default was entered against

(

Zandian. Plaintiff then filed and served a Notice of Entry Default on Zandian on December 7, 2010 and on his last known attorney on December 16, 2010. On February 25, 2011, Plaintiff filed in this Court and served a certificate of service indicating that the application for entry of default against Zandian was sent to attorney John Peter Lee. On February 28, 2011, Plaintiff filed an application for default judgment against Defendants Zandian, Optima Technology Corporation, a California Corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada Corporation. On March 1, 2011, a default judgment was entered against Zandian and the other defendants for \$121,594.46. On March 7, 2011, notice of entry of that default was filed and served by mail on Zandian and his counsel.

Notwithstanding the many notices provided to Zandian and his counsel, Zandian only now seeks to cursorily "set aside" the default judgment. However, Zandian <u>provides no explanation for the delay in responding to the default judgment</u> and he does not provide any basis upon which to demonstrate an absence of intent to delay the proceedings. Zandian only improperly attacks the action itself on the basis of jurisdiction and insufficiency of service of process.

Zandian does not show any evidence of "a lack of knowledge of procedural requirements" regarding setting aside a default judgment. None. To the contrary, Zandian only points to NRCP 60(b) in his request to set aside the default judgment, and he only grounds his request on service of process and jurisdiction. See Motion to Dismiss, dated 6/8/11, 6:9-10, on file herein.

Zandian fails to even bring a true motion to set aside and therefore has failed to bring a motion to set aside in good faith. Zandian's motion is merely a motion to dismiss with a minor reference to the procedural rule for setting aside the default, which constitutes bad faith.

Zandian does not proffer any defense, nor does he even indicate that a meritorious defense exists. Zandian fails to provide this Court with any fact testimony or affidavit, which, if true, would tend to establish a defense to all or part of the claims asserted. Zandian fails to provide any opinion of counsel that a meritorious defense exists to all or part of the claims. Zandian did not tender a responsive pleading in good faith, with the moving papers, which

responsive pleaning, if true, would tend to establish a meritorious defense to all or part of the claims. In short, Zandian has completely failed to show that he has a meritorious defense to any of the claims asserted in the Complaint.

As a result of the above facts, Zandian has not and cannot meet the burden necessary to allow this Court to set aside the default judgment.

F. COUNTERMOTION TO STRIKE MOTION TO DISMISS AS ZANDIAN PREVIOUSLY WAIVED HIS OBJECTIONS TO PERSONAL JURISDICTION, PROCESS, OR SERVICE OF PROCESS

NRCP 12(f) allows motions to strike as follows:

Upon motion made by a party before responding to a pleading or, if no responsive pleading is permitted by these rules, upon motion made by a party within 20 days after the service of the pleading upon the party or upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any <u>insufficient defense</u> or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter.

NRCP 12(f)(emphasis added).

In this case, after a default judgment was entered and noticed, Zandian has now improperly filed a motion to dismiss on the grounds that this Court lacks jurisdiction over Zandian. The motion to dismiss is improper because Zandian waived such defenses by not objecting to insufficiency of service of process or lack of jurisdiction in a timely motion to dismiss or a timely answer: "Objections to personal jurisdiction, process, or service of process are <u>waived</u>, <u>however</u>, <u>if not made in a timely motion</u> or not included in a responsive pleading such as an answer. *Hansen v. Eighth Judicial Dist. Court ex rel. County of Clark*, 116 Nev. 650, 656, 6 P.3d 982, 986 (2000).²⁵

Zandian clearly did not file a timely motion to dismiss or any other timely responsive pleading regarding his objections to personal jurisdiction, process, or service of process.

Therefore, Zandian has waived any such defenses. As a result, Plaintiff now respectfully

²⁵ This is consistent with NRCP 12(h)(1), which states as follows: "A defense of lack of jurisdiction over the person, insufficiency of process, or insufficiency of service of process is waived (A) if omitted from a motion in the circumstances described in subdivision (g), or (B) if it is neither made by motion under this rule nor included in a responsive pleading or an amendment thereof permitted by Rule 15(a) to be made as a matter of course."

requests that this Court strike Zandian's motion to dismissionsed upon the fact that such waived defenses are now an "insufficient defense" to object to this Court's jurisdiction.

G. COUNTERMOTION FOR LEAVE TO AMEND THE COMPLAINT

This countermotion is made and based upon NRCP 15(a) which states that leave to amend a party's pleading "shall be freely given when justice so requires."

If the Court is willing to either dismiss or set aside the default judgment, then, and only then, Plaintiff respectfully requests leave to amend the Complaint to properly reference Zandian's actions in the Arizona case and to re-serve Zandian in a manner that Zandian cannot complain of any further.

In addition, if the Court finds there is insufficiency of service of process respectfully requests this Court's assistance in obtaining a current address from counsel as to where Zandian can be "properly" served with a summons and con Plaintiff would be more than willing to re-allege in an amended complaint the current residence of Zandian, wherever that may be.

However, Plaintiff vehemently rejects any notion that Plaintiff fraudulently alleged the residence of Zandian in the original Complaint or any other fact in the Complaint. The attached property records and business records show that Zandian has represented to the subject counties and state of Nevada that his addresses were in both Nevada and California.

Moreover, when asked for assistance in serving Zazaian, his counsel refused to respond or assist. ²⁶ Instead, Zandian slurs Plaintiff with allegations of fraud regarding Zandian's residence or whereabouts. Then Zandian states that his residency "was at all times in California", without telling the Court where in California he resides. In fact, Zandian fails to ever deny that he resided in Fair Oaks, California, where he was served with the summons and complaint. See Affidavit of Service, dated 2/18/10, attached hereto as Exhibit 2.

医克朗氏氏征 医克里氏病 医海绵

IV. CONCLUSION

Based upon the foregoing, Plaintiff respectfully requests that this Court deny Zandian's motion to dismiss and grant Plaintiff's countermotions. More specifically, Plaintiff has demonstrated that Zandian was properly served and jurisdiction is proper. Moreover, Zandian failed to bring a timely motion to dismiss and therefore Zandian waived any objections to jurisdiction or insufficiency of process. Therefore, the motion to dismiss should be denied and stricken accordingly.

Zandian also failed to bring a proper motion to set aside and therefore any such motion should be denied.

Finally, if this Court decides to grant any of Zandian's requests, then Plaintiff respectfully requests leave to amend the Complaint in order to remedy any defects therein.

21 || ||

III

W.

III

22 || ||

²⁶ See Letter, dated 1/8/10, from Cassandra Joseph to John Peter Lee, attached hereto as Exhibit 3. John Peter Lee never responded to Cassandra Joseph's request for assistance in serving Zandian and the Defendant entities. At least, Mr. Lee never responded until well after the default was entered by filing the instant motion, even though he represented Zandian prior to this action.



AFFIRMATION PURSUANT TO S 239B.030

The undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document does not contain the social security number of any person.

Dated this 22nd day of June, 2011.

Matthew D. Francis (6978)
Adam P. McMillen (10678)
WATSON ROUNDS
5371 Kietzke Lane
Reno, NV 89511
Telephone: 775-324-4100
Facsimile: 775-333-8171
Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin

CERTIFICATE OF SERUCE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of Watson Rounds, and that on this date, I deposited for mailing, in a sealed envelope, with first-class postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of the foregoing document, OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS AND COUNTERMOTIONS TO STRIKE AND FOR LEAVE TO AMEND THE

COMPLAINT, addressed as follows:

John Peter Lee

John Peter Lee, Ltd.

830 Las Vegas Blvd. South Las Vegas, NV 89101

Dated: June 22, 2011

Carla Ousby



INDEX OF EXHIBIT

Exhibit No.	Title	Number of Pages
1	Fraudulent assignment documents	17
2	Affidavit of Service, dated 2/18/2010	4
3	Letter dated 1/8/2010	12
4	Zandian's Clark County property information	2
5	Zandian's Washoe County property information	8
6	Zandian's Lyon County property information	10
7	Zandian's Churchill County property information	2
8	Zandian's Elko County property information	1
9	Zandian's manager information for 11000 Reno Highway, Fallon, LLC	2
10	11000 Reno Highway, Fallon, LLC's Churchill County property information	1
11	Zandian's managing member and resident agent information for Misfits Development LLC	2
12	Zandian's managing member and resident agent information for Elko North 5 th Avenue, LLC	2
13	Zandian's managing member and resident agent information for Stagecoach Valley LLC	2
14	Zandian's resident agent information for Rock and Royalty LLC	2
15	Zandian's managing member information for Gold Canyon Development LLC	2
16	Zandian's managing member information for High Tech Development LLC	2
17	Zandian's managing member information for Lyon Park Development LLC	2
18	Zandian's managing member information for Churchill Park Development LLC	2
19	Zandian's manager information for Sparks Village LLC	2
20	Zandian's information for Optima Technology Corporation	2
21	Zandian's information for I-50 Plaza LLC	2
22	Zandian's information for Dayton Plaza, LLC	2
23	Zandian's information for Reno Highway Plaza, LLC	2



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

700352576A

700352576A

DECEMBER 10, 2007

PTAS

OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION (NV) C/O JOHN PETER LEE LIMITED 830 LAS VEGAS BPULEVARD SOUTH LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

> UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE NOTICE OF RECORDATION OF ASSIGNMENT DOCUMENT

THE ENCLOSED DOCUMENT HAS BEEN RECORDED BY THE ASSIGNMENT DIVISION OF THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE. A COMPLETE MICROFILM COPY IS AVAILABLE AT THE ASSIGNMENT SEARCH ROOM ON THE REEL AND FRAME NUMBER REFERENCED BELOW.

PLEASE REVIEW ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED ON THIS NOTICE. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED ON THIS RECORDATION NOTICE REFLECTS THE DATA PRESENT IN THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK ASSIGNMENT SYSTEM. IF YOU SHOULD FIND ANY ERRORS OR HAVE QUESTIONS CONCERNING THIS NOTICE, YOU MAY CONTACT THE EMPLOYEE WHOSE NAME APPEARS ON THIS NOTICE AT 5/1-272-3350. PLEASE SEND REQUEST FOR CORRECTION TO: U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE, MAIL STOP: ASSIGNMENT SERVICES BRANCH, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313.

RECORDATION DATE: 12/05/2007

REEL/FRAME: 020218/0085

NUMBER OF PAGES: 4

BRIEF: ASSIGNMENT OF ASSIGNOR'S INTEREST (SEE DOCUMENT FOR DETAILS).

ASSIGNOR:

MARGOLIN, JED

DOC DATE: 12/05/2007

ASSIGNEE:

OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION (NV)

830 LAS VEGAS BOULEVARD SOUTH

C/O JOHN PETER LEE LIMITED

LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

SERIAL NUMBER: 08513298

FILING DATE: 08/09/1995

ISSUE DATE: 10/15/1996

PATENT NUMBER: 5566073
TITLE: PILOT AID USING SYNTHETIC REALITY

SERIAL NUMBER: 08587731


FILING DATE: 01/19/1996

PATENT NUMBER: 5904724

ISSUE DATE: 05/18/1999

TITLE: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR REMOTELY PILOTING AN AIRCRAFT

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 - www.uspro.gov



 SERIAL NUMBER:
 09543252
 FILING DATE:
 04/05/2000

 PATENT NUMBER:
 6377436
 ISSUE DATE:
 04/23/2002

TITLE: MICROWAVE TRANSMISSION USING A LASER-GENERATED PLASMA BEAM WAVEGUIDE

SERIAL NUMBER: 09148045 FILING DATE: 09/03/1998
PATENT NUMBER: 5978488 ISSUE DATE: 11/02/1999
TITLE: SIMULATED AM RADIO

THERESA FREDERICK, EXAMINER ASSIGNMENT SERVICES BRANCH PUBLIC RECORDS DIVISION



Form PTO-1595 (Rev. 07/05) ONIB No. 0651-0027 (exp. 6/80/2006)	United States Patent and Trademark Office
RECORDATION FORM COVER SHEET	
PATENTS ONLY	
To the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: Please record the attached documents or the new address(ed) below.	
1. Name of conveying party(les)	2. Name and address of receiving party(ies)
Jed Margolin based on Power of Attorney dated July 20,2004	Name: Opting Technology Corporation (NV)
to: Optima Technology Corporation (CA)	Internal Address: clu John Peter Les United
Additional risme(s) of conveying party(ses) strached? 🛛 Yes 🔲 No	
3. Nature of conveyance/Execution Date(s):	Street Address: 830 Las Vegas Bouleverd South
Execution Date(s) December 5,2007	
✓ Assignment	City: Las Vesas
Joint Research Agreement	State: Novada
Government Interest Assignment	
Executive Order 9424, Confirmatory License	Country: U.S.A. Zip: \$9101
Other	Additional name(s) & address(es) attached? Yes No
4. Application or patent number(s):	document is being filed together with a new application.
A. Patent Application No.(s)	B. Patent No.(s)
	6,568,073 5,904,724
	6.377 AS6 5.978 AS0
Additional numbers at	tached? Tyes 7 No
5. Name and address to whom correspondence	6. Total number of applications and patents
concerning document should be malled:	involved: 4
Name: Optima Technology Cosporation (NV)	7. Total fee (37 CFR 1.21(h) & 3.41) \$ 150.00
Internal Address; elo John Peter Lee Limited	Authorized to be charged by credit card
	Authorized to be charged to deposit account
Street Address: 850 Las Vegas Bullavard Bouth	☐ Enclosed
	None required (government interest not affecting title)
City; Las Vegas	8. Payment Information
State: Neveda Zip:86101	a. Credit Card Last 4 Numbers 1004 Expiration Date 0100
Phone Number 702-382-4044	b. Deposit Account Number
Fax Number: 702-363-9850	
Empil Address: info@iohnperentes.com	Authorized User Name
9. Signature:	19/5/2007
Signature Date	
Optime Technology Control (a California Corporation) Total number of pages including obver 7	

Community to he recorded (medicaling cover about should be facted to (571) 273-0140, or scalled to: Stop Assignment RecordsBon Services, Director of the USFTO, P.C. Stor. 1450, Alexandria, V.A. 22313-1450





United States Patent and Trademark Office

Under Secretary of Commerce for Intellectual Property and Director of the United States Patent and Trademark Office

700352578A

DECEMBER 10, 2007

PTAS

OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY COPORATION (NV) C/O JOHN PETER LEE LIMITED 630 LAS VEGAS BPULEVARD SOUTH LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE NOTICE OF RECORDATION OF ASSIGNMENT DOCUMENT

THE ENCLOSED DOCUMENT HAS BEEN RECORDED BY THE ASSIGNMENT DIVISION OF THE U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE. A COMPLETE MICROFILM COPY IS AVAILABLE AT THE ASSIGNMENT SEARCH ROOM ON THE REEL AND FRAME NUMBER REFERENCED BELOW.

PLEASE REVIEW ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED ON THIS NOTICE. THE INFORMATION CONTAINED ON THIS RECORDATION NOTICE REFLECTS THE DATA PRESENT IN THE PATENT AND TRADEMARK ASSIGNMENT SYSTEM. IF YOU SHOULD FIND ANY ERRORS OR HAVE QUESTIONS CONCERNING THIS NOTICE, YOU MAY CONTACT THE EMPLOYEE WHOSE NAME APPEARS ON THIS NOTICE AT 571-272-3350. PLEASE SEND REQUEST FOR CORRECTION TO: U.S. PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE, MAIL STOP: ASSIGNMENT SERVICES BRANCH, P.O. BOX 1450, ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313.

RECORDATION DATE: 12/05/2007

REEL/FRAME: 020218/0089 NUMBER OF PAGES: 5

BRIEF: ASSIGNMENT OF ASSIGNOR'S INTEREST (SEE DOCUMENT FOR DETAILS).

ASSIGNOR:

MARGOLIN, JED BASED ON POWER OF ATTORNEY DATED JULY 20,2004 TO: OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION (CA) DOC DATE: 12/05/2007

ASSIGNEE:

OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION (NV) 830 LAS VEGAS EOULEVARD SOUTH C/O JOHN PETER LEE LIMITED LAS VEGAS, NEVADA 89101

SERIAL NUMBER: 08513298 PATENT NUMBER: 5566073 FILING DATE: 08/09/1995 ISSUE DATE: 10/15/1996

TITLE: PILOT AID USING SYNTHETIC REALITY

P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 - www.uspro.sov



020218/0089 PAGE 2

SERIAL NUMBER: 08587731 FILING DATE: 01/19/1996
PATENT NUMBER: 5904724 ISSUE DATE: 05/18/1999

TITLE: METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR REMOTELY PILOTING AN AIRCRAFT

 SERIAL NUMBER: 09543252
 FILING DATE: 04/05/2000

 PATENT NUMBER: 6377436
 ISSUE DATE: 04/23/2002


TITLE: MICROWAVE TRANSMISSION USING A LASER-GENERATED PLASMA BEAM WAVEGUIDE

SERIAL NUMBER: 09148045 FILING DATE: 09/03/1998
FATENT NUMBER: 5978488 ISSUE DATE: 11/02/1999

TITLE: SIMULATED AM RADIO

THERESA FREDERICK, EXAMINER ASSIGNMENT SERVICES BRANCH PUBLIC RECORDS DIVISION

Dec 05 07 02;33p nikan 12/05/2007 700352578



Form PTO-1595 (Rev. 07/05) OMB No. 0651-0027 (cop. 6/30/2008)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Petent and Trademark Office
RECORDATION FO	RM COVER SHEET
and the state of t	SONLY
To the Director of the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: Pleas	se record the attached documents of the new address(as) below.
1. Name of conveying party(ies)	2. Name and address of receiving party(les)
Jed Margolin based on Power of Attorney dated July 20,2004	Name: Optimir Technology Corporation (NV)
to: Optima Technology Corporation (CA)	Internal Address: clo John Peter Lee Limited
Additional mame(s) of conveying party(ies) attached? / Yes No	
3. Nature of conveyance/Execution Date(s):	Street Address, 830 Las Vegas Boulevard South
Execution Date(s) December 5.2007	
Assignment Merger Security Agreement Change of Name	City: Las Vegat
Joint Research Agreement	State: Nevada
Government Interest Assignment	
Executive Order 9424, Confirmatory License	Country: U.S.A. Zip:89101
Other	Additional name(s) & address(es) attached? Yes I No
A. Patent Application No.(s)	document is being filed together with a new application. B. Patent No.(s) 6,666,073 5,904,724 6,377,436 5,978,488 mathet? Yes // No.
5. Name and address to whom correspondence concerning document should be mailed:	6. Total number of applications and patents involved: 4
Name: Optims Technology Corporation (NV)	7. Total fee (37 CFR 1.21(h) & 3.41) \$ 150.00
Internal Address; oo John Peter Lea Limited	Authorized to be charged by credit card
	Authorized to be charged to deposit account
Street Address; 880 Las Vagas Boulevard South	Enclosed
	None required (government interest not affecting title)
City: Lss Vegas	8. Payment information
Statis Nevada Zipus9101	a, Credit Card Last 4 Numbers 1004 Expiration Date 01/09
Phone Number: 702-382-4044	b. Deposit Account Number
Fax Number: 100-383-0050	.]
Ernail Address: into@iohopaterice.com	Authorized User Name
9. Signature:	12/5/2007
Signature	Data
Optima Technology Control of Cellismia Corpor Name of Person Storing	Shoo) Total number of pages including cover 7 sheet, atlactments, and documents:

Doctments to be recorded (lockeding cover about) should be faved to gars; 273-0148, or maked to: Not Stop Assignment Recordation Services, Director of the USPTO, P.O.Sox 1450, Alexandria, V.A. 22315-1450




020227/0287 PAGE 2

SERIAL NUMBER: 09543252 FILING DATE: 04/05/2000 PATENT NUMBER: 6377436 ISSUE DATE: 04/23/2002

TITLE: MICROWAVE TRANSMISSION USING A LASER-GENERATED PLASMA BEAM WAVEGUIDE

SERIAL NUMBER: 09148045 PATENT NUMBER: 5978488 TITLE: SIMULATED AM RADIO FILING DATE: 09/03/1998 ISSUE DATE: 11/02/1999

MARCUS KIRK, EXAMINER ASSIGNMENT SERVICES BRANCH PUBLIC RECORDS DIVISION



SERIAL NUMBER: 09543252 FILING DATE: 04/05/2000 PATENT NUMBER: 6377436 ISSUE DATE: 04/23/2002

TITLE: MICROWAVE TRANSMISSION USING A LASER-GENERATED PLASMA BEAM WAVEGUIDE

SERIAL NUMBER: 09148045 PATENT NUMBER: 5978488 TITLE: SIMULATED AM RADIO

FILING DATE: 09/03/1998 ISSUE DATE: 11/02/1999

MARCUS KIRK, EXAMINER ASSIGNMENT SERVICES BRANCH PUBLIC RECORDS DIVISION

Form PTO-1535 (Rev. 07/05)	U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
OMB No. 0651-0027 (exp. 6/30/2005)	United States Parent and Trademark Office
RECORDATION FO	RM COVER SHEET S ONLY
To the Director of the U.S. Palent and Trademark Office: Plete	e record the attached documents of the new address(es) below.
1. Neroe of conveying party(ies)	2. Name and address of receiving party(les)
Jaci Margolin. based on Power of Attumey dated July 20,2004	Name: Optima Yechnology Corporation (NV)
to Optima Technology Corporation (CA)	Internal Address: c/o John Feter Lee Limited
Additional name(s) of conveying party(les) attached? 🗸 Yes 🗌 No	!
3. Nature of conveyance/Execution Date(s):	Street Address: 850 Las Vegas Boulevard South
Execution Date(s) <u>December 5.2007</u> Assignment Merger	
Security Agreement Change of Name	City: Las Vegas
☐ Joint Research Agreement	State: Nevade
Government Interest Assignment	
Executive Order 9424, Confirmatory License	Country: U.S.A. Zip:89101
Other	Additional name(s) & address(es) attached? Tyes Mo
4. Application or patent number(s):	document is being filed together with a new application.
A. Patent Application No.(s)	B. Patent No.(s) 5.566.073
	5,904,724 6,377,486
	5,978,488
Additional numbers at	
5. Name and address to whom correspondence concerning document should be mailed:	6. Total number of applications and patents involved: 4
Name: Optima Technology Corporation (NV)	7. Total fee (37 CFR 1.21(h) & 3.41) \$ 160.00
Internal Address; etc. John Pater Les Limited	Authorized to be charged by credit card
	Authorized to be charged to deposit account
Street Address: 830 Las Vegas Boulevard South	☐ Enclosed
	None required (government interest not effecting title
City: Las Vegas	8. Payment information
State: Neveda Zip:89101	a. Credit Card Last 4 Numbers 1004 Expiration Date 01/09
Phone Number:702-882-4044	b. Deposit Account Number
Fax Number: 702-383-9950	.1 / '
Email Address: intoglichnosteries.com	Authorized User Name
9. Signature: " Jed Mangolin by	y 12/5/2007
Signeture	his Allorney Infact Date

Optima Technology Self-Conton (a California Corporation)
Name of Person Signing Documents to be recorded (including cover steet) should be found in (571) 273-0148, or stalled to: Stop Amignment Recordation Services, Director of the USPTO, P.O.Sox 1450, Alexandria, V.A. 22212-1460

Total number of pages including cover sheet structurents, and documents:



Optima Technology Corporation

8775 Costa Verde Blvd. Suite 501, San Diego CA 92122 Phone: 775-450-6833 Fac 8\$8-625-2460

December 5, 2007

United States Patent Office Patent Assignment Department

Fax: 571-273-0140

Subject: Assignment of Patents

Dear Sir,

Reference to our telephone conversation of today with Mr. Maurice please find herewith the information cover sheet and credit card payment form and the power of attorney from Mr. Jed Margolin to Optima Technology Corporation for four patents Numbers:

5,566,073 5,904,724 6,377,436 5,978,488

to be assigned to Optima Technology Corporation a Nevada Corporation with the Address:

Mr. John Peter Lee Esq. 830 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Las Vegas NV 89101

Thank you in advance for your co-operation, please call 775-450-6833 if you have any question.

Truly Yours

Reza Zandian Director/Officer Optima Technology Corporati



No	090000579	18	
	_		
Dept	<u> </u>		



In the First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for Carson City

SUMMONS

JED MARGOLIN, an individual

Plaintiff.

Optima Technology Corporation, a Galifornia corporation, Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, Reza Zandian aka Golamreza Zandianjazi aka Gholam Reza Zandian aka Reza Jazi aka J. RezaDefendani Jazi aka G. Reza Jazi aka Chononreza Zandian Jazi, an individual, DGE Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DGE Individuals 21-30 DEFENDANTS

THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO THE ABOVE-NAMED DEFENDANT:

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil Complaint has been filed by the plaintiff against you.

- 1. If you wish to defend this lawsuit, you must, within 20 days after this Summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, file with this Court a written pleading in response to this Complaint.
- 2. Unless you respond, your default will be entered upon application of the plaintiff, and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the Complaint*, which could result in the taking of money or property or the relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filled on time.
- 4. You are required to serve your response upon plaintiff's attorney, whose address is

ALAN GLOVER

Clerk of Court

Deputy Clerk

December 14, 2009

*Note - When service by publication, insert a brief statement of the object of the action. See Rule 4.

RETURN OF SERVICE ON REVERSE SIDE



STATE OF CALIFORNIA		(For General Use)
	9. 99	
COUNTY OF SACRAMENTO	<u>}</u>	
ROBERT TO	TH	, declares under penalty of perjury:
That affiant is, and was on the day when	he served the within Summon	s, over 18 years of age, and not a party to, nor intereste
in, the within action; that the affiant receive	ved the Summons on the	22ND day of JANUARY, 20 10,
and personally served the same upon		
		4/2y , 20 /0 , by delivering to the said defendan
personally, in FAIR DAKS	, County ofSA	CRAMENTO State of CALIFORNIA
a copy of the Summons attached to a cop	py of the Complaint.	• •
I declare under penalty of perjury under	the law of the State of Nevada	that the foregoing is true and correct.
Executed this 12.74 day of FC	BRUARY 2010	Solet Tota
LAGORET BIIS	, 20	Signature of person making service
STATE OF NEVADA		NEVADA SHERIFF'S RETURN
STATE OF NEVADA SS.		(For Use of Sheriff of Carson City)
CARSON CITY	•	(i or doo of official or decider original
		day of, 20
		, the within named defendant,
on theday of	, 20, by deliv	ering to the said defendant, personally, in Carson City,
State of Nevada, a copy of the Summons	s attached to a copy of the Co	mplaint.
		Sheriff of Carson City, Nevad
	•	
Date;, 20	Ву	Deput
Date:, 20	By	Deput
	By	
Date;, 20		AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING
STATE OF NEVADA		AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING
		AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING Jse When Service Is by Publication and Mailing
STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF	ss. (For t	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING Use When Service is by Publication and Mailing Use When Service is by Publication and Mailing Use When Service is by Publication and Mailing
STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF That affiant is, and was when the herein	SS. (For to	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING Jse When Service is by Publication and Mailing declares under penalty of perjury, over 18 years of age, and not a party to, nor interesta
STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF That affiant is, and was when the herein in, the within action; that on the	SS. (For to described mailing took place day of	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING Use When Service is by Publication and Mailing declares under penalty of perjury, over 18 years of age, and not a perty to, nor intereste , 20, affaint deposited in the Post Office a
STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF That affiant is, and was when the herein in, the within action; that on the, Nevada, a copy of the	SS. (For the described mailing took placed the within Summons attached to the within Summons	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING Jse When Service is by Publication and Mailing declares under penalty of perjung, over 18 years of age, and not a party to, nor intereste 20, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a copy of the Complaint, enclosed in a sealed envelop
STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF That affiant is, and was when the herein in, the within action; that on the	ss. (For the state of the within Summons attached to prepaid, addressed to	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING Use When Service is by Publication and Mailing declares under penalty of perjury over 18 years of age, and not a perty to, nor intereste 20, affaint deposited in the Post Office a a copy of the Complaint, enclosed in a sealed envelop
STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF That affiant is, and was when the herein in, the within action; that on the, Nevada, a copy of the	ss. (For the described mailing took place day of	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING Jee When Service is by Publication and Mailing declares under penalty of perjung, over 18 years of age, and not a party to, nor intereste 20, affaint deposited in the Post Office as a copy of the Complaint, enclosed in a sealed envelop
STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF That affiant is, and was when the herein in, the within action; that on the	ss. (For the standard	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING Jse When Service is by Publication and Mailing declares under penalty of perjung, over 18 years of age, and not a party to, nor intereste 20, affaint deposited in the Post Office at a copy of the Complaint, enclosed in a sealed enveloped in the place so addressed.
STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF That affiant is, and was when the herein in, the within action; that on the	ss. (For the state of Nevada	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING Use When Service is by Publication and Mailing declares under penalty of perjury over 18 years of age, and not a perty to, nor intereste 10 a copy of the Complaint, enclosed in the Post Office a 11 a copy of the place so addressed. 12 and the place so addressed. 13 that the foregoing is true and correct.
STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF That affiant is, and was when the herein in, the within action; that on the	ss. (For the state of Nevada	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING Use When Service is by Publication and Mailing declares under penalty of perjury over 18 years of age, and not a perty to, nor intereste 1, 20, affaint deposited in the Post Office a 1 a copy of the Complaint, enclosed in a sealed enveloped in a sealed envelo
STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF That affiant is, and was when the herein in, the within action; that on the	ss. (For the state of Nevada	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING Use When Service is by Publication and Mailing declares under penalty of perjury over 18 years of age, and not a perty to, nor intereste 1, 20, affaint deposited in the Post Office a 1 a copy of the Complaint, enclosed in a sealed enveloped in a sealed envelo
STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF That affiant is, and was when the herein in, the within action; that on the	ss. (For the state of Nevada	AFFIDAVIT OF MAILING Use When Service is by Publication and Mailing declares under penalty of perjury over 18 years of age, and not a perty to, nor intereste 10 a copy of the Complaint, enclosed in the Post Office a 11 a copy of the place so addressed. 12 and the place so addressed. 13 that the foregoing is true and correct.

Jed Margolin v. Optima Technology Corp., et al. Case No. 090C00579 1B Declaration of Robert Toth

L ROBERT TOTH, hereby declare:

I am a registered process server for the State of California. I have personal knowledge of the facts contained in this Declaration, and if called as a witness, I could and would competently testify thereto. As to those matters alleged on information and belief, I believe them to be true.

I served copies of the Summons and Complaint, on Reza Zaudian aka Golamreza Zandianjaza, aka Gholam Reza Zaudian, aka Reza Jazi, aka J. Reza Jazi, aka G. Reza Jazi, aka Ghonomeza Zanian Jazi:

On January 26, 2010 at 8:43 a.m., I wen to the residence address at 8401 Bonita Downs Road, Fair Oaks, California 95628. There was no answer at the door.

On January 28, 2010 at 3:47 p.m., I returned to the residence again, and there was no answer at the door.

On January 31, 2010 at 4:13 p.m., I went the residence address, and again there was no answer at the door.

On February 2, 2010 at 5:37 p.m., when I returned to the residence address, I observed no lights on, no cars parked, but that the trash was set out.

On February 2, 2010 at 7:21 p.m., I returned to the residence address. The door was answered by an elderly man, described as mid to late-60's, middle eastern accent, 5'4" tall, grey hair, long beard, thin, and wearing glasses. I told him I was looking for Reza. I showed him the name on the documents with the various names, and made a motion that he knew one or more of the names. I showed him the photograph that I had. I told him I had legal documents for Reza, and that I would leave it with him. He took the envelope, opened it and saw the documents. He told me that he did not want the papers and that he did not live there. I told him that we had confirmed that was his address. He returned the envelope back. I told him that he needed to make sure that Reza got the paperwork. I put the envelope by the doorway. He picked up the envelope and threw it at me as I was leaving. I left the documents there and again told him that he had been served for Reza.

I declar

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct, and that this declaration is executed this 18th day of February, at Citrus Heights, California.

ROBERT M. TOTH Registered Process Server

> > - 2 -





January 8, 2010

KELLY G. WATSON I MICHAEL D. ROUNDS I MATTHEW D. FRANCIS I

ARTHUR A. ZORIO I
CASSANDRA P. JOSEPH I
MELISSA P. BARNARD
RYAN E. JOHNSON
TARA A. SHIROFF
MATTHEW G. HOLLAND
ADAM P. McMILLEN I
ELIZA BECHTOLD I
ADAM YOWELL

OF COUNSEL-MARC D, FOODMAN 1...*

- Also licensed in California
 Also licensed in Utah
 Also licensed in Massachusetts
 Licensed only in California
- 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, Nevada 89511 (775) 324-4100 Fax (775) 333-8171 e-mail: reno@watsorrounds.com

777 North Rainbow Bonlevard Suite 350 Las Yegas, Novuda 89107 (702) 636-4902 Fax (702) 636-4904

One Market-Steuart Tower Suite 1600 San Francisco, CA 94105 (415)243-4990 Fax (415)243-0226

www.watsonrounds.com

Reply to: Reno

John Peter Lee, Esq. John Peter Lee, Ltd. 830 Las Vegas Boulevard South Las Vegas, NV 89101

Re: Optima Technology Corporation and Reza Zandian

Dear Mr. Lee:

We represent Mr. Jed Margolin in a case pending in the First Judicial District Court for the State of Nevada in and for Carson City, Case No. 09 0C 00579 1B captioned Jed Margolin v. Optima Technology Corporation (CA), Optima Technology Corporation (NV), Reza Zandian aka Golamreza Zandianjazi aka aka Gholam Reza Zandian, aka Reza Jazi, aka J. Reza Jazi, aka G. Reza Jazi, aka Ghonomeza Zandian Jazi (the Action). Copies of the summonses and complaint filed in the Action are enclosed.

We understand that at one time you represented one or more of the Defendants named in the Action. We are attempting to effectuate service of the enclosed summonses and complaint on Mr. Zandian and the Defendant entities and have been unsuccessful thus far. Please inform me whether you currently represent Mr. Zandian or the Defendant entities, and if so, whether you will accept service on behalf of any of the Defendants. If you refuse or cannot accept service on behalf of any of the Defendants, please provide any information possible regarding the whereabouts of any of the Defendants. Alternatively, please provide copies of the summonses and complaint to the Defendants.

Please inform me by January 29, 2010 whether or not you will accept service of the summonses and complaint on behalf of any of the Defendants, or whether you



John Peter Lee, Esq. January 8, 2010 Page 2

will take any other action requested herein. I look forward to hearing from you.

Sincerely,

Cassandra P. Joseph WATSON ROUNDS

A Professional Corporation



Case No.: D9 DC 00579 1B REC'D & FILED 2 Dept. No.: 2009 DEC 11 PH 4: 07 3 5 6 IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 7 IN AND FOR CARSON CITY 8 JED MARGOLIN, an individual, 9 Plaintiff, 10 VS. 11 12 OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation, 13 OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka 14 GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA 15 JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZI aka GHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, 16 an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE 17 Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30, 18 Defendants. 19 20 COMPLAINT 21 (Exemption From Arbitration Requested) 22 Plaintiff, JED MARGOLIN ("Mr. Margolin"), by and through his counsel of record, 23 WATSON ROUNDS, and for his Complaint against Defendants, hereby alleges and complains 24 as follows: 25 The Parties 26 Plaintiff Mr. Margolin is an individual residing in Storey County, Nevada. 1. 27

2.

28

On information and belief, Defendant Optima Technology Corporation is a

б

- On information and belief, Defendant Optima Technology Corporation is a
 Nevada corporation with its principal place of business in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 4. On information and belief, Defendant Reza Zandian, aka Golamreza Zandianjazi, aka Golamreza Zandianjazi, aka Golamreza Zandianjazi, aka Ghononreza Zandian Jazi (collectively "Zandian"), is an individual who at all relevant times resided in San Diego, California or Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 5. On information and belief, Defendant Optima Technology Corporation, the Nevada corporation ("OTC—Nevada") is a wholly owned subsidiary of Optima Technology Corporation, the California corporation ("OTC—California"), and Defendant Zandian at all relevant times served as officers of the OTC—California and OTC—Nevada.
- 6. Mr. Margolin believes, and therefore alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, each of the Defendants was the agent, servant or employee of each of the other Defendant and at all times was acting within the course and scope of said agency and/or employment and that each Defendant is liable to Mr. Margolin for the reasons and the facts herein alleged. Relief is sought herein against each and all of the Defendants jointly and severally, as well as its or their agents, assistants, successors, employees and all persons acting in concert or cooperation with them or at their direction. Mr. Margolin will amend his Complaint when such additional persons acting in concert or cooperation are ascertained.

Jurisdiction and Venue

7. Pursuant to the Nevada Constitution, Article 6, Section 6, the district courts of the State of Nevada have original jurisdiction in all cases excluded by law from the original jurisdiction of the justice courts. This case involves tort claims in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limitation of the justice courts and, accordingly, jurisdiction is proper in the district court.





 8. Venue is based upon the provisions of N.R.S. § 13.010, et seq., inasmuch as the Defendants at all times herein mentioned has been and/or is residing or currently doing business in and/or are responsible for the actions complained of herein in Storey County.


Facts

- 9. Plaintiff Mr. Margolin is the named inventor on numerous patents and patent applications, including United States Patent No. 5,566,073 ("the '073 Patent"), United States Patent No. 5,904,724 ("the '724 Patent"), United States Patent No. 5,978,488 ("the '488 Patent") and United States Patent No. 6,377,436 ("the '436 Patent") (collectively "the Patents").
- 10. Mr. Margolin is the legal owner and owner of record for the '488 and '436 Patents, and has never assigned those patents.
- 11. In July 2004, Mr. Margolin granted to Optima Technology Group ("OTG"), a
 Cayman Islands Corporation specializing in aerospace technology, a Power of Attorney
 regarding the '073 and '724 Patents. In exchange for the Power of Attorney, OTG agreed to pay
 Mr. Margolin royalties based on OTG's licensing of the '073 and '724 Patents.
- 12. In May 2006, OTG and Mr. Margolin licensed the '073 and '724 Patents to Geneva Aerospace, Inc., and Mr. Margolin received a royalty payment pursuant to the royalty agreement between Mr. Margolin and OTG.
- On about July 20, 2004, Mr. Margolin assigned the '073 and '724 Patents to
 OTG.
- 14. In about November 2007, OTG licensed the '073 Patent to Honeywell International, Inc., and Mr. Margolin received a royalty payment pursuant to the royalty agreement between Mr. Margolin and OTG.
- 15. In December 2007, Defendant Zandian filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") fraudulent assignment documents allegedly assigning all four of the Patents to Optima Technology Corporation.

- 16. Upon discovery of the fraudulent filing, Mr. Margolin: (a) filed a report with the Storey County Sheriff's Department; (b) took action to regain record title to the '488 and '436 Patents that he legally owned; and (c) assisted OTG in regaining record title of the '073 and '724 Patents that it legally owned and upon which it contracted with Mr. Margolin for royalties.
- 17. Soon thereafter, Mr. Margolin and OTG were named as defendants in an action for declaratory relief regarding non-infringement of the '073 and '724 Patents in the United States District Court for the District of Arizona, in a case titled: *Universal Avionics Systems Corporation v. Optima Technology Group, Inc.*, No. CV 07-588-TUC-RCC (the "Arizona Action"). In the Arizona Action, Mr. Margolin and OTG filed a cross-claim for declaratory relief against Zandian in order to obtain legal title to their respective patents.
- 18. On August 18, 2008, the United States District Court for the District of Arizona entered a final judgment in favor of Mr. Margolin and OTG on their declaratory relief action, and ordered that OTC had no interest in the '073 or '724 Patents, and that the assignment documents filed with the USPTO were "forged, invalid, void, of no force and effect." Attached as Exhibit A is a copy of the Order from the United States District Court in the Arizona Action.
- 19. Due to Defendants' fraudulent acts, title to the Patents was clouded and interfered with Plaintiff's and OTG's ability to license the Patents.
- 20. During the period of time Mr. Margolin worked to correct record title of the Patents in the Arizona Action and with the USPTO, he incurred significant litigation and other costs associated with those efforts.

Claim 1--Conversion (Against All Defendants)

- 21. Paragraphs 1-20 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.
- 22. Through the fraudulent acts described above, Defendants wrongfully exerted dominion over the Patents, thereby depriving Mr. Margolin of the use of such property.



24. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' conversion, Mr. Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), entitling him to the relief set forth below.

Claim 2—Tortions Interference With Contract (Against All Defendants)

- 25. Paragraphs 1-24 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.
- 26. Mr. Margolin was a party to a valid contract with OTG for the payment of royalties based on the license of the '073 and '724 Patents.
 - 27. Defendants were aware of Mr. Margolin's contract with OTG.
- 28. Defendants committed intentional acts intended and designed to disrupt and interfere with the contractual relationship between Mr. Margolin and OTG.
- 29. As a result of the acts of Defendants, Mr. Margolin's contract with OTG was actually interfered with and disrupted.
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' tortious interference with contract, Mr. Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), entitling him to the relief set forth below.

Claim 3—Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage (Against All Defendants)

- 31. Paragraphs 1-30 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.
- 32. Defendants were aware of Mr. Margolin's prospective business relations with licensees of the Patents.
- 33. Defendants purposely, willfully and improperly attempted to induce Mr.

 Margolin's prospective licensees to refrain from engaging in business with Mr. Margolin.



	34.	The foregoing actions by Defendants interfered with the business relationships of
Mr.	Margolin,	and were done intentionally and occurred without consent or authority of Mr.
Mar	golin.	

35. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' tortious interference, Mr. Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), entitling him to the relief set forth below.

Claim 4—Unjust Enrichment (Against All Defendants)

- 36. Paragraphs I-35 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.
 - 37. Defendants wrongfully obtained record title to the Patents.
- 38. Defendants were aware that record title to the Patents was valuable, and were aware of the benefit derived from having record title.
- 39. Defendants unjustly benefitted from the use of Mr. Margolin's property without compensation to Mr. Margolin.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' aforementioned acts, Mr. Margolin is entitled to equitable relief.

<u>Claim 5—Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices</u> (Against All Defendants)

- 41. Paragraphs 1-40 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.
- 42. The Defendants, engaging in the acts and conduct described above, have knowingly and willfully committed unfair and deceptive trace practices under NRS 598.0915 by making false representations.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' unfair and deceptive trade practices, Mr. Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), entitling him to the relief set forth below.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Jed Margolin, prays for judgment against the Defendants as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff be awarded damages for Defendants' tortious conduct;
- 2. That Plaintiff be awarded damages for Defendants' unjust enrichment;
- That Plaintiff be awarded damages for Defendants' commission of unfair and deceptive trade practices, in an amount to be proven at trial, with said damages being trebled pursuant to NRS 598.0999;
- That Plaintiff be awarded actual, consequential, future, and punitive damages of whatever type or nature;
 - That the Court award all such further relief that it deems just and proper.

AFFIRMATION

Pursuant to NRS 239B.030, the undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document, filed in District Court, does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED: December 10, 2009

WATSON ROUNDS

Matthew D. Francis (6978) Cassandra P. Joseph (9845)

WATSON ROUNDS 5371 Kietzke Lane

Reno, NV 89511

Telephone: 775-324-4100 Facsimile: 775-333-8171

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin





Exhibit 1

Exhibit 1


1 2 3 IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 4 5 FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA 6 UNIVERSAL AVIONICS SYSTEMS) CORPORATION, 7 No. CV 07-588-TUC-RCC 8 **ORDER** Plaintiff, 9 10 OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.,) O P T I M A T E C H N O L O G Y) CORPORATION, ROBERT ADAMS and) JED MARGOLIN, 11 12 13 Defendants. 14 OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY INC. a/k/a) OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.,) 15 16 a corporation, Counterclaimant, 17 18 UNIVERSAL AVIONICS SYSTEMS 19 CORPORATION, an Arizona corporation, 20 Counterdefendant, 21 OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY INC. a/k/a) OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY GROUP, INC.,) 22 23 Cross-Claimant, 24 25 TECHNOLOGY OPTIMA CORPORATION, 26 Cross-Defendant. 27 28

Document 131

ase 4:07-cv-00588-RCC

Filed 08/18/2008

Page 1 of 2



ase 4:07-cv-00588-RCC

This Court, having considered the Defendants' Application for Entry of Default Judgment against Cross-Defendant Optima Technology Corporation, finds no just reason to delay entry of final judgment.

Therefore, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

Final Judgment is entered against Cross-Defendants Optima Technology Corporation, a California corporation, and Optima Technology Corporation, a Nevada corporation, as follows:

- 1. Optima Technology Corporation has no interest in U.S. Patents Nos. 5,566,073 and 5,904,724 ("the Patents") or the Durable Power of Attorney from Jed Margolin dated July 20, 2004 ("the Power of Attorney");
- The Assignment Optima Technology Corporation filed with the USPTO is forged, invalid, void, of no force and effect, and is hereby struck from the records of the USPTO;
- 3. The USPTO is to correct its records with respect to any claim by Optima Technology Corporation to the Patents and/or the Power of Attorney; and
- 4. OTC is hereby enjoined from asserting further rights or interests in the Patents and/or Power of Attorney; and
- There is no just reason to delay entry of final judgment as to Optima Technology Corporation under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 54(b).
 DATED this 18th day of August, 2008.

Raner C. Collins United States District Judge

Document 131 Filed 08/18/2008 Page 2 of 2

GENERATION	
PARCEL	071-02-000-005
OWNER AND MAILING ADDRESS	ZANDIAN REZA 8775 COSTA VERDE #501 SAN DIEGO CA 92122-5343
LOCATION ADDRESS CITY/UNINCORPORATED TOWN	MOAPA VALLEY
ASSESSOR DESCRIPTION	PT NE4 NE4 SEC 02 16 68
	SEC 02 TWP 16 RNG 68
RECORDED DOCUMENT NO.	* <u>20050419:04639</u>
RECORDED DATE	04/19/2005
VESTING	NO STATUS

*Note: Only documents from September 15, 1999 through present are available for viewing.

ASSESSMENT INFORMATIO	ON AND SUPPLEMENTAL VALUE
TAX DISTRICT	826
APPRAISAL YEAR	2010
FISCAL YEAR	10-11
SUPPLEMENTAL IMPROVEMENT VALUE	0
SUPPLEMENTAL IMPROVEMENT ACCOUNT NUMBER	N/A

REAL PROPERTY ASSESSED	VALUE	
FISCAL YEAR	2010-11	2011-12
LAND	7000	5250
IMPROVEMENTS	0	0
PERSONAL PROPERTY	0	0
EXEMPT	0	0
GROSS ASSESSED (SUBTOTAL)	7000	5250
TAXABLE LAND+IMP (SUBTOTAL)	20000	15000
COMMON ELEMENT ALLOCATION ASSD	0	0
TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE	7000	5250
TOTAL TAXABLE VALUE	20000	15000

ESTEMATED LOT SIZE AND	ESTIMATED LOT SIZE AND APPRAISAL INFORMATION	
ESTIMATED SIZE	10.00 Acres	
ORIGINAL CONST. YEAR	0	
LAST SALE PRICE MONTH/YEAR	24000 04/05	
LAND USE	0-00 VACANT	
DWELLING UNITS	0	

GENERAL INFORMATION		
PARC Q.	071-02-000-013	
OWNER AND MATLING ADDRESS	ZANDIAN REZA 8775 COSTA VERDE #501 SAN DIEGO CA 92122-5343	
LOCATION ADDRESS CITY/UNINCORPORATED TOWN	MOAPA VALLEY	
ASSESSOR DESCRIPTION	PT SE4 NE4 SEC 02 16 68	
	SEC 02 TWP 16 RNG 68	
RECORDED DOCUMENT NO.	* <u>20050420:00563</u>	
RECORDED DATE	04/20/2005	
VESTING	NO STATUS	

*Note: Only documents from September 15, 1999 through present are available for viewing.

ASSESSMENT INFORMATION AND SUPPLEMENTAL VALUE		
TAX DISTRICT	826	
APPRAISAL YEAR	2010	
FISCAL YEAR	10-11	
SUPPLEMENTAL IMPROVEMENT VALUE	0	
SUPPLEMENTAL IMPROVEMENT ACCOUNT NUMBER	N/A	

REAL PROPERTY ASSESSED VALUE		
FISCAL YEAR	2010-11	2011-12
LAND	14000	10500
IMPROVEMENTS	0	0
PERSONAL PROPERTY	0	0
EXEMPT	0	0
GROSS ASSESSED (SUBTOTAL)	14000	10500
TAXABLE LAND+IMP (SUBTOTAL)	40000	30000
COMMON ELEMENT ALLOCATION ASSD	O	G
TOTAL ASSESSED VALUE	14000	10500
TOTAL TAXABLE VALUE	40000	30000

ESTIMATED LOT SIZE AND	APPRAISAL INFORMATION
ESTIMATED SIZE	20.00 Acres
ORIGINAL CONST. YEAR	0
LAST SALE PRICE MONTH/YEAR	40000 04/05
LAND USE	0-00 VACANT
DWELLING UNITS	0

n nga pambababababah nga ng

Zandian's Washoe County Properties - Jed Margolin 4/17/2011

From Washoe County Web site - Assessor's Database: http://www.co.washoe.nv.us/assessor/cama/search.php (from a search for "Zandian") April 14, 2011 by Jed Margolin

APN Owner Name	Card	Situs Mailing Address		Last Tr	ansaction Date
079-150-12 RESA ZAND	1 IAN	STATE ROUTE 447 PO BOX 927674	SAN DIEGO CA 921	92	06/27/2005
079-150-09 REZA ZAND	1 IAN	STATE ROUTE 447 PO BOX 81624	LAS VEGAS NV 89	180	05/12/2009
079-150-10 REZA ZAND	1 IAN	STATE ROUTE 447 PO BOX 81624	LAS VEGAS NV 89	180	05/12/2009
079-150-13 REZA ZAND	1 IAN	STATE ROUTE 447 PO BOX 81624	LAS VEGAS NV 89	180	05/12/2009
084-040-02 REZA ZAND	1 IAN	PIERSON CANYON PO BOX 81624 LAS			05/12/2009
084-040-04 REZA ZAND	1 IAN	E INTERSTATE 80 PO BOX 81624	LAS VEGAS NV 89	180	05/12/2009
084-040-06 REZA ZAND	1 IAN	E INTERSTATE 80 PO BOX 81624	LAS VEGAS NV 89	180	05/12/2009
084-040-10 REZA ZAND	1 IAN	E INTERSTATE 80 PO BOX 81624	LAS VEGAS NV 89	180	05/12/2009
084-130-07 REZA ZAND	1 IAN	E INTERSTATE 80 PO BOX 81624	LAS VEGAS NV 89	180	05/12/2009
084-140-17 REZA ZAND	1 IAN	E INTERSTATE 80 PO BOX 81624	LAS VEGAS NV 89	180	05/12/2009

.2

The properties are North of interstate 80 and East of SR 447. From Google Maps via Zandian's Web site at www.goldennevada.com. The remaining information is from Washoe County Web site - Assessor's Database.





SOUTH 1/2 OF TOWNSHIP 21 NORTH - RANGE 23 EAST

													2							٠.			15
== !			,	2	, i		جمعه غ	4530		==				-	42	-	i i		12.e	100	9		1
** }	, , , , , ,	2	\$ 247 3) <u>.</u>		an .	Ō.	***	=40			44) Augit		425	- Ch		7.55 23×25 18 a		ž.		1
- 10 - 10 - 10	PANGE		ļ	4,0	<u>.</u>				-		ćr.		==	, e=				2 23			9	3) De
242 I	#55	42E	< z				A	44E 75			<u>.</u>	e 27								8	€ #=		
<u>.</u> ر	-2-F		-	72E			-				4,580.7) 	92. 43.		-			4		- -	1	G	in the	
	20	3	- 	-	-	1		324 E	-c	ese.		4534 E			September 1		4.3	\$17.40			48		
	=== ==================================	m==	**	- -				-	900 H	H.D.	ب ا ا ان	e d		2	7	:	374	323	== ==		<u> </u>	25	
	\$	¥# ,	= ;	### 17	46.2		3	112 2	43	424		e,ri	_			•	22	300E	43 j ez-		(re		
ر اور اور			1	447	422	1		10.5	E	-			W201		9	icas .		<u> </u>		44	8	4	
- I					, wa		= ; - ;				€ 500 =	, Ec. 400 - 4 - 100	 	455	-	 		€ 800 ±=		<i>ea</i>	€ ###	10 S) P
- i		•		423	i ees	-	و خوم د			45	•		e#	500 TE	45a	<u>-</u>		35		2	8	€	€ 40 mc
**				860	l ass		425 1								===	,,-				2433	\$20. 5-4	1	ais

079-150-12 1 RESA ZANDIAN

STATE ROUTE 447

PO BOX 927674

SAN DIEGO CA 92192

06/27/2005

160 acres

County Home => Assessor's Office => Property Assessment Data Search => Parcel Search => Ownership

APN 079-150-12

CHIEF OF TRUSCE

46 Ownership

ZANDIAN, RESA et al FOUGHANI, NILOOFAR

079-150-09

STATE ROUTE 447

REZA ZANDIAN

PO BOX 81624

LAS VEGAS NV 89180

05/12/2009

560 acres

County Home => Assessor's Office => Property Assessment Data Search => Parcel Search => Ownership

APK 079-150-09

Owiererinste

₩ Ownership

SADRI LIVING TRUST TTEE et al

SADRI, TRUSTEE, FRED

33

ZANDIAN, REZA

33

KOROGHLI MANAGEMENT TRST, TRST

33

KOROGHLI, TRUSTEE, RAY TTEE

KOROGHLI, TRUSTEE, SATHSOWI T TTEE

079-150-10 1

STATE ROUTE 447

REZA ZANDIAN PO BOX 81624



05/12/2009

639 acres

<u>County Home => Assessor's Office => Property Assessment Data Search => Parcel Search => Ownership</u>

APN 079-150-10
Owner or Trustee 96 Ownership
SADRI LIVING TRUST TIEE et al
SADRI, TRUSTEE, FRED 33
ZANDIAN, REZA 33
KOROGHLI MANAGEMENT TRUST, TRST 33
KOROGHLI, TRUSTEES, RAY TTEE
KOROGHLI, TRUSTEE, SATHSOWI T TTEE

079-150-13 1

STATE ROUTE 447

REZA ZANDIAN PO BOX 81624


LAS VEGAS NV 89180

05/12/2009

560 acres

County Home => Assessor's Office => Property Assessment Data Search => Parcel Search => Ownership

APN 079-150-13	
Owner or Trustee	% Ownership
SADRI LIVING TRUST TIEE et al	
SADRI, TRUSTEE, FRED	33
ZANDIAN, REZA	33
KOROGHLI MGMT TRUST, TRST	33
KOROGHLI, TTEE, RAY TTEE	
KOROGHLI, TTEE, SATHSOWI T TTI	Œ



084-040-02 1 REZA ZANDIAN PIERSON CANYON RD

PO BOX 81624 LAS VEGAS NV 89180 05/12/2009

627 acres.

County Home => Assessor's Office => Property Assessment Data Search => Parcel Search => Ownership

APN 084-040-02	
Owner or Trustee	96 Cornectify
SADRI LIVING TRUST TIEE et al	
SADRI, TRUSTEE, FRED	33
ZANDIAN , REZA	33
KOROGHLI MGMT TRST, TRST	33
KOROGHLI, TTEE, RAY TTEE	
KOROGHLI, TTEE, SATHSOWI T T	TEE

084-040-04 1 REZA ZANDIAN E IN RSTATE 80

PO BOX 81624



05/12/2009

640 acres

County Home => Assessor's Office => Property Assessment Data Search => Parcel Search => Ownership

APN 084-940-94	
Olimer of Trustee	To Comparation
SADRI LIVING TRUST TIEE et al	
SADRI, TRUSTEE, FRED	33
ZANDIAN , REZA	33
KOROGHLI MGMT TRST, TRST	33
KOROGHLI, TTEE, RAY TTEE	
KOROGHLI, TTEE, SATHSOWI T TT	EE

084-040-06

E INTERSTATE 80

REZA ZANDIAN

PO BOX 81624

LAS VEGAS NV 89180

05/12/2009

633 acres

County Home => Assessor`s Office => Property Assessment Data Search => Pancel Search => Ownership

ARN 084-040-06	
Owner or Trusbee SADRI LIVING TRUST TIEE et al	
SUDIC MANAGEMENT IN THE GENE	
SADRI, TRUSTEE, FRED	. 33
ZANDIAN , REZA	33
KOROGHLI MGMT TRST, TRST	33
KOROGHLI, TTEE, RAY TTEE	
KOROGHLI, TTEE, SATHSOWI T	-

084-040-10 1 REZA ZANDIAN E IN RSTATE 80 PO BOX 81624



05/12/2009

390 acres

County Home => Assessor`s Office => Property Assessment Data Search => Parcel Search => Ownership

% Ownership
33
33
33
EE

084-130-07 1

E INTERSTATE 80

REZA ZANDIAN

PO BOX 81624

LAS VEGAS NV 89180

05/12/2009

275 acres

County Home => Assessor's Office => Property Assessment Data Search => Parcel Search => Ownership

APN 084-130-07			
Camer or I make	94 D	vierd	ip.
SADRI LIVING TRUST TIEE et al			
SADRI, TRUSTEE, FRED	33		
ZANDIAN , REZA	33	•	
KOROGHLI MGMT TRUST, TRST	33	• •	
KOROGHLI, TIEE, RAY TIEE			•
KOROGHLI, TTEE, SATHSOWI T TT	Ę E		

084-140-17 1 REZA ZANDIAN E IN RSTATE 80

PO BOX 81624

LAS VEGAS NV 89180

05/12/2009

160 acres

County Home => Assessor's Office => Property Assessment Data Search => Parcel Search => Ownership

wnership



				R	eal Propert	y Inquiry			
	Search for Real Property (Land, Improvements, etc.)								
Order List By:			4	Parcel#	C Owner No	ame C Property L	ocation C Dis	strict	
								•	
Filters: Limit Selecte	d Parcels	to include (Choose any num	<u>iber):</u>		•			
Parcel#				8-digit #(s	, no dashes	Partial Owner Name	ZANDIAN		
Land Use Code Range				Code Tab	<u>e</u>		examples: SMITH M	ACME MARKETS	
Acreage Range	[ı	Partial Property Location			
Net Value Range							examples: N MAIN S	MAPLE DR	
District	All								
								·!	
				Search	Results - S	ielect for Detail			
		Parcel#	Owner Name	Property L	ocation Dist.	Land Use	Acreage Net Asse	ssed Value	
<u> </u>		006-052-04	ZANDIAN, REZA	125 PIKE	ST 8.5	140 - Vacant Commercia	i .220	15,560	
	•	006-052-05	ZANDIAN, REZA	115 PIKE	ST 8.5	140 - Vacant Commercia	.220	15,560	
		006-052-06	ZANDIAN, REZA	105 PIKE	ST 8.5	140 - Vacant Commercia	.220	15,560	
1		015-311-18	ZANDIAN, REZA E	TAL HWY 50	8.3	120 - Vacant Single Fam	ily 241.790	24,500	
İ		015-311-19	ZANDIAN, REZA E	TAL HWY 50	8.3	140 - Vacant Commercia	47.750	16,710	
		021-451-22	ZANDIAN, REZA E	TAL	6.0	120 - Vacant Single Fam	ily 40.000	3,360	



Parcel Detail for Parcel # 006-052-04 Location Ownership Property Location 125 PIKE ST Assessed Owner Name ZANDIAN, REZA Mailing Address P O BOX 927674 SAN DIEGO, CA 92192-7674 Town DAYTON Subdivision DAYTON TOWN Lot 4 Block 6 Legal Owner Name ZANDIAN, REZA Property Name Vesting Doc#, Date 342193 02/04/05 Book/Page / Map Document #s RS90448 Remarks **Appraisal Classifications** Description Current Land Use Code 140 Ag Acres ,000 W/R Acres .000 Total Acres .220 Karley and Improvements Zoning C1 Bdrm/Bath 0/.00 Single-fam Detached 0 Non-dwell Units 0 Re-appraisal Year 2006 Re-appraisal Group 5 Stories .0 Single-fam Attached 0 MH Hookups 6 Orig Constr Year Weighted Year Multi-fam Units 0 Wels 0 Septic Tanks 0 Mobile Homes 0 Total Dwelling Units 0 Bldg Sq Ft 0 Garage Sq Ft 0 Attch/Detch Basement Sq. Ft 0 Finished 0 and an end be **Taxable Valuation** Assessed Valuation Taxable Values 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 Assessed Values 15,560 15,560 15,560 Land 44,457 44,457 44,457 Land Improvements Improvements 0 0 Ð Personal Property 0 0 Personal Property n 0 G Ag Land Ag Land 0 0 Q 0 0 0 Exemptions 0 Û 0 Exemptions ß Œ Net Taxable Value 44,457 Net Assessed Value 15,560 15,560 15.560 Increased (New) Values Increased (New) Values Land ō 0 0 Lend C 0 0 0 ٥ Improvements 0 0 improvements G 0 Personal Property G Personal Property ٥ 0 G 0 0



Parcel Detail for Parcel # 006-052-05

Location

Property Location 115 PIKE ST Town DAYTON

Subdivision DAYTON TOWN Lot 5 Block 6

Property Name



Ownership

Appraisal Classifications

Assessed Owner Name ZANDIAN, REZA Mailing Address P O BOX 927674 SAN DIEGO, CA 92192-7674

Legel Owner Name ZANDIAN, REZA
Vesting Doc#, Date 342193 02/04/05 Book/Page / Map Document #s RS90448

Remarks

Description

Ag Acres .000 W/R Acres .000 Total Acres .220

Improvements

Single-fam Detached 0 Non-dwell Units O Bdrm/Bath 0/.00 MH Hookups O Stories .0 Single-fam Attached 0 Wells 0

Multi-fam Units 0 Septic Tanks 0 Mobile Homes 0

Total Dwelling Units 0 Bldg Sq Ft @

Attch/Detch Garage Sq Ft 0 Basement Sq Ft 0 Finished 0 Current Land Use Code 140

Zoning C2 Re-appraisal Group 5

Re-appraisal Year 2008 Weighted Year

Orig Constr Year

Personal Property

Assessed Valuation						
Assessed Values	2012-13	2011-12	2010-11			
Land	15,560	15,560	15,560			
Improvements	G	G	G			
Personal Property	0	Ç	0			
Ag Land	0	G	0			
Exemptions	Q	0	G			
Net Assessed Value	15,560	15,560	15,560			
Increased (New) Value:	s					
Land	e	0	0			
Improvements	6	ũ	0			
Personal Property	0	G	0			

Taxable Valuation Taxable Values 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 Land 44,457 44,457 0 improvements 8 0 0 Personal Property Ag Land 0 0 0 e n Exemptions Net Taxable Value 44,457 increased (New) Values 0 8 0 Land Improvements ø Ģ ٥

8

œ

Ð



Parcel Detail for Parcel # 006-052-06

Location

Property Location 105 PIKE ST Town DAYTON

Subdivision DAYTON
TOWN Lot 6 Block 6

Property Name

Remarks

Stories .0

Finished 0

Ownership

Assessed Owner Name ZANDIAN, REZA Mailing Address P O BOX 927674

SAN DIEGO, CA 92192-7674

Legal Owner Name ZANDIAN, REZA Vesting Doc#, Date 342193 02/04/05 Book/Page / Map Document #s RS90448

Description

Total Acres .220 Ag Acres .000 W/R Acres .000

<u>Improvements</u>

Non-dwell Units 0 Bdm/Bath 0/.00 Single-fam Detached 0 MH Hookups 0 Single-fam Attached 0 Multi-fam Units O Wells 0

Septic Tanks 0 Mobile Homes 0 Blog Sq Ft 0 Total Dwelling Units 0

Personal Property

Garage Sq Ft 0 Basement Sq Ft 0

Attch/Detch

Appraisal Classifications

Current Land Use Code 140

Zoning C2 Re-appraisal Group 5

Orig Constr Year

Re-appraisal Year 2008 Weighted Year

Assessed Valuation 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 Assessed Values 15,560 15,560 15,550 Land **Improvements** 0 Œ 0 G 0 Personal Property 0 0 Ag Land ß Exemptions Ω 15,560 Net Assessed Value 15,580 15,560 Increased (New) Values 0 Land 0 Q 0 ٥ improvements o

G

0

Taxable Valuation							
Taxable Values	2012-13	2011-12	2010-11				
Land	44,457	44,457	44,457				
Improvements	۵	0	0				
Personal Property	0	0	0				
Ag Land	0	6	C				
Exemptions	0	0	e				
Net Taxable Value	44,457	44,457	44,457				
Increased (New) Value	es						
Land	0	0	٥				
Improvements	0	.0	0				
Personal Property	0	0	O				



Parcel Detail for Parcel # 015-311-18

Location

Property Location HWY 50 Town STAGECOACH Subdivision Lot Block

Property Name



Stories .0

Λ

0

0

Ownership

Assessed Owner Name ZANDIAN, REZA ET AL Mailing Address P O BOX 927674 Addi Owners SAN DIEGO, CA 92192-7674

Legal Owner Name ZANDIAN, REZA ET AL Vesting Doc#, Date 344412 03/03/05 Book/Page / Map Document #s RS332209

Remarks

Total Acres 241.790 Ag Acres .000 W/R Acres .000 Improvements

Description

Single-fam Detached 0 Single-fam Attached 0

Total Dwelling Units 0

Non-dwell Units 0 Bdrm/Bath 0/.00 MH Hookups 0 Wells 0

Septic Tanks 0 Bidg Sq Pt 0 Garage Sq Ft 0 Appraisal Classifications

Current Land Use Code 120 Zoning RR3

> Re-appraisal Group 1 Orig Constr Year

Re-appraisal Year 2009 Weighted Year

Multi-fam Units O

Mobile Homes 0

Attch/Detch Finished 0 Basement Sq Ft 0

Assessed Valuation Assessed Values 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 Land 24,500 24,500 24,500 Improvements 0 O Personal Property a 0 0 Ag Land Exemptions 0 24,500 24,500

0 Net Assessed Value 24,500 Increased (New) Values Land Q 0 0 a C Improvements ũ Personal Property ø 0

Taxable Valuation Taxable Values 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 70,000 70,000 70,000 Land Improvements 0 Personal Property 0 O 0 0 Ø ٥ Ag Land Exemptions ۵ Net Taxable Value 70,000 70.000 70,000 Increased (New) Values 0 0 0 Land Improvements 0 Œ 0 0 0 Personal Property O

Ownershi	· · ·	or Parcel # 015-311-8	:
Current Owners		Prior Own	ners
Name	From	Name	From
EL-SABAWI, RASHAD TR	2006	DEAD DOG RANCH LLC % LORETTA MC INTIRE 804 RED'S GRADE	1997 2
EL-SABAWI, REEM TR	2006	CARSON CITY, NV 8970	3
FAYEGHI, JOHNATHON	2006		· ·
EAGLES NEST LLC	2005		•
ZANDIAN, REZA ET AL 8775 COSTA VERDE APT 1416 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122-0000	2005		
FOUGHAM, NILOOFAR	2005		
ABRISHAMI, ELIAS	2005		
ABRISHAM, MONOO	2005		
ABRISHAMI, ENAYAT	2005		
ABRISHAMI, NAIMA	2005		



Parcel Detail for Parcel # 015-311-19

Location

Property Location HWY 50
Town STAGECOACH
Subdivision Lot Block
Property Name



Remarks ZONE CHANGE FROM RR3 TO C2 6/1/2006

Ownership

Assessed Owner Name ZANDIAN, REZA ET AL Mailing Address P O BOX 927674 Addi Owners SAN DIEGO, CA 92192-7674



Legal Owner Name ZANDIAN, REZA ET AL
Vesting Doc#, Date 344412 03/03/05 Book/Page /
Map Document #s RS332208

Description

Total Acres 47.750 Ag Acres .000 W/R Acres .000 Improvements

Single-fam Detached 0 Single-fam Attached 0 Multi-fam Units 0

Total Dwelling Units 0

Mobile Homes 0

Non-dwell Units 0 MH Hookups 0 Wells 0

Septic Tanks 0

Borm/Bath 0/.00 Stories .0

Bldg Sq Ft 0
Garage Sq Ft 0 Attch/Detch
Basement Sq Ft 0 Finished 0

Appraisal Classifications

Current Land Use Code 140

Zoning C2 Re-appraisal Group 1 Orig Constr Year

Re-appraisal Year 2009 Weighted Year

Assessed Valuation								
Assessed Values	Assessed Values 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11							
Land	16,710	15,710	16,710					
Improvements	O	0	0					
Personal Property	G	ō	0					
Ag Land	0	0	0					
Exemptions	6	0	Œ					
Net Assessed Value	16,710	16,710	16,710					
Increased (New) Value	Increased (New) Values							
Land	0	G	0					
Improvements	Ġ	0	0					
Personal Property	0	0	8					

Taxable Valuation						
Taxable Values	2012-13	2011-12	2010-11			
Land	47,743	47,743	47,743			
Improvements	0	C	O			
Personal Property	0	0	0			
Ag Land	0	0	6			
Exemptions	8	B	0			
Net Taxable Value	47,743	47,743	47,743			
Increased (New) Value	es					
Land	O	a	ø			
Improvements	٥	O.	0			
Personal Property	a	0	0			

Ownership	p History f	or Parcel # 015-311-49		
Current Owners		Prior Owner	S	
Name	From	Name	From	To
EL-SABAWI, RASHAD TR	2006	DEAD DOG RANCH LLC % LORETTA MC INTIRE	1997	200
EL-SABAWI, REEM TR	2008	804 RED'S GRADE CARSON CITY, NV 89703	<u> </u>	
FAYEGHI, JOHNATHON	2006			
EAGLES NEST LLC	2006			
ZANDIAN, REZA ET AL 8775 COSTA VERDE APT 1416 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122-0000	2005		. •	
FOUGHANI, NILOOFAR	2005		:	
ABRISHAMI, ELIAS	2005			
ABRISHAMI, MINOO	2005			
ABRISHAMI, ENAYAT	2005			
ABRISHAMI, NAIMA	2005			

de continuos



Parcel Detail for Parcel # 021-451-22

Location

Property Location

Town FERNLEY Subdivision Lot Block Property Name



Ownership

Assessed Owner Name ZANDIAN, REZA ET AL Mailing Address P O BOX 927674 SAN DIEGO, CA 92192-7874

Legal Owner Name ZANDIAN, REZA ET AL Vesting Doc#, Date 356791 07/19/05 Book/Page /

Map Document #s



Remarks

Description

Total Acres 40,000 Ag Acres .000 W/R Acres .000

Improvements

Single-fam Detached 0 Non-dwell Units 0 Bdrm/Bath 0/.00 Single-fam Attached 0 MH Hookups 0 Stories .0 Wells 0 Multi-fam Units 0

Mobile Homes 0 Septic Tanks 0 Total Dwelling Units 0 Bidg Sq Ft 0

Attch/Detch Garage Sq Ft 0

Appraisal Classifications

Current Land Use Code 120

Zoning RR5 Re-appraisal Group 4 Orig Constr Year

Re-appraisal Year 2007 Weighted Year

Finished 0 Basement Sq Ft 0

Assessed Valuation 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 Assessed Values Land 3,360 3,360 3,360 Improvements û Ū Personal Property Ag Land ō ٥ a G Exemptions 0 Λ Net Assessed Value 3,360 3,360 3,360 Increased (New) Values Ģ 0 Land G Improvements 0 ø 0 Personal Property 0 0 0

Taxable Valuation 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 Taxable Values 9,600 9,600 9,600 Land **Improvements** 0 œ n 0 Personal Property a 0 0 Ag Land ٥ Exemptions 0 O 0 9,600 Net Taxable Value 9,600 9,600 Increased (New) Values Land 0 0 e 0 O Improvements Personal Property C 0 G

Owners	ship Hist	ory for Parcel # 021-451-22		- /
Current Owners		Prior Owners		
Name	From	Name	From	Ţo
ZANDIAN, REZA ET AL 8775 COSATA VERDE STE 1416 SAN DIEGO, CA 92122-0000	2005	ARNOLD, JACK G 10410 98 ST ANDERSON ISLAND, WA 98303-0000	2003	2005
FOUGHANI, NILOOFAR	2005	EVANS, INGRID P O BOX 1182 RENO, NV 89504	1986	2003
		EVANS, LAWRENCE & INGRID P O BOX 1182 RENO, NV 89504	1986	2003

Cles Vinter











Parcel Detail for Parcel # 007-151-77

Location

Property Location GARDEN DR

Town

Subdivision M&B Lot Block

Property Name



Ownership

Assessed Owner Name ZANDIAN REZA & NILOOFAR

Mailing Address P O BOX 927674 Addi Owners SAN DIEGO CA 92192-7674



Legal Owner Name NILCOFAR

Vesting Doc#, Date 384273 07/27/06 Book/Page / Map Document #s 194366

Remarks SPUT PURSUANT TO DEED

Description

Total Acres 6.750 Ag Acres .000 W/R Acres .000

<u>Improvements</u>

Single-fam Detached 0 Single-fam Attached 0 Non-dwell Units O MH Hookups 0 Bdrm/Bath 6/,00 Stories .0

Multi-fam Units O Mobile Homes 0

Wells 0 Septic Tanks 0

Bidg Sq Ft O

Garage Sq Ft 0 Basement Sq Ft O

Attch/Detch

Appraisal Classifications

Current Land Use Code 100

Zoning C2

Re-appraisal Group 3 Orig Constr Year

Re-appraisal Year 2011

Weighted Year

Total Dwelling Units 0

Finished 0

Assessed Valuation Assessed Values 2012-13 2011-12 2010-11 8,820 8,820 Land 8.820 Improvements 0 0 Personal Property 0 ō 0 0 ۵ a Ag Land 0 0 O Exemptions Net Assessed Value 8,820 8,820 8,820 Increased (New) Values 0 ٥ 0 Land **Improvements** 0 0 0 ٥ Personal Property 0 O

Taxable Valuation						
Taxable Values	2012-13	2011-12	2010-11			
Land	25,200	25,200	25,200			
improvements	Q.	0	6			
Personal Property	0	0	0			
Ag Land	8	0	0			
Exemptions	O	G	0			
Net Taxable Value	25,200	25,200	25,200			
Increased (New) Values						
Land	o.	0	O			
Improvements	٥	e	0			
Personal Property	0	0	ð			









Parcel Detail for Parcel # 009-331-04

Location

Property Location 29-20-27

Town

Subdivision 29-20-27 NW1/4 Lat Block

Property Name



Ownership

Assessed Owner Name ZANDIAN R & FOUGHAM N Mailing Address P O BOX 927674

Addi Owners SAN DIEGO CA 92192-7674

Legal Owner Name ZANDIAN R & FOUGHAN N Vesting Doc#, Date 372686 07/06/05 Book/Page / Map Document #s

Remarks

Description

Total Acres 50,000 Ag Acres .000 W/R Acres .000 Non-dwell Units 0

Improvements

Single-fam Detached 0 Single-fam Attached 0 Multi-fam Units 0

Mobile Homes 0

MH Hookups 0 Stories .0 Wells 0 Septic Tanks 0

Total Dwelling Units 0

Bldg Sq Ft 0 Garage Sq Ft 0 Attch/Detch Basement Sq Ft 0

Finished 0

Bdrm/Bath 0/.00

Appraisal Classifications

Current Land Use Code 100


Zoning RR20

Re-appraisal Group 3 Orig Constr Year

Re-appraisal Year 2011 Weighted Year

Assessed Valuation							
Assessed Values	2012-13	2011-12	2010-11				
Land	2,625	2,625	6,300				
Improvements	a	٥	Ð				
Personal Property	0	. 0	Ð				
Ag Land	G	Q	0				
Exemptions	0	0	0				
Net Assessed Value	2,625	2,625	6,300				
Increased (New) Value	s						
Land	O.	G	0				
improvements	0	. 0	0				
Personal Property	e	0	G				

Taxable Valuation						
Taxable Values	2012-13	2011-12	2010-11			
Land	7,500	7,500	18,000			
Improvements	0	G	0			
Personal Property	G	0	0			
Ag Land	ø	Q	Đ			
Exemptions	O	0	0			
Net Taxable Value	7,500	7,500	18,000			
Increased (New) Valu	es					
Land	G	0	0			
Improvements	0	0	8			
Personal Property	0	0	0			



1100° RENO HIGHWAY, FALLON, L.L.C.

Business Entity Information				
Status:	Active	File Date;	6/09/2005	
Туре:	Domestic Limited-Liability Company	Entity Number:	E0363852005-8	
Qualifying State:	NV	List of Officers Due:	6/30/2011	
Managed By:		Expiration Date:		
NV Business ID:		Business License Exp:	Exempt - 003	

Registered Agent	Information		
Name:	SEAN S. FAYEGHI	Address 1:	1401 LAS VEGAS BLVD SOUTH
Address 2:		City:	LAS VEGAS
State:	NV	Zip Code:	89104
Phone:		Fax:	
Mailing Address 1:		Mailing Address 2:	
Mailing City:		Mailing State:	
Mailing Zip Code:			
Agent Type:	Noncommercial Registered Agent		

Financial Information	
No Par Share Count: 0	Capital Amount: \$ 0
No stock records found for this company	

Officers			☐ Include Inactive Officers
Manager - SEAN :	S FAYEGHI		
Address 1:	1401 S LAS VEGAS BLVD	Address 2:	
City:	LAS VEGAS	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89104	Country:	USA
Status:	Active	Email:	
Manager - SHA R	EZAIE		
Address 1:	1401 S LAS VEGAS BLVD	Address 2:	
City:	LAS VEGAS	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89104	Country:	USA
Status:	Active	Email:	
Manager - REZA	ZANDIAN		
Address 1:	1401 S LAS VEGAS BLVD	Address 2:	
City:	LAS VEGAS	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89104	Country:	USA
Status:		Email:	

Actions\Amendm	ents			
Action Type:	Articles of Organization			
Document Number:	20050222393-68	# of Pages:	1	
File Date:		Effective Date:		
(No notes for this action	1)			
Action Type:	Initial List			•

http://nvsos.gov/sosentitysearch/PrintCorp.aspx?lx8nvq=%252flfMBi4IpyKbRFG5zq1Zc... 6/20/2011

	elegic esta parte filoso			
Document Number:	20050222394-79	# of Pages:	2	
File Date:	6 2005	Effective e:		
No notes for this action) 17/21/1			
Action Type:	Annual List		•	
Document Number.	20060232918-43	# of Pages:	1	
File Date:		Effective Date:		
No notes for this action	1)			
Action Type:	Amended List			
Document Number:		# of Pages:	1	
File Date:	9/19/2006	Effective Date:		
No notes for this action	1)			
Action Type:	Annual List			
Document Number:		# of Pages:	1	
File Date:	7/02/2007	Effective Date:	<u> </u>	
No notes for this action	1)			
Action Type:	Annual List			
Document Number:	20080514441-09	# of Pages:	1	
File Date:	7/30/2008	Effective Date:	<u> </u>	
08/09				
Action Type:	Annual List			
Document Number:		# of Pages:	1	
File Date:	4/30/2009	Effective Date:	<u> </u>	
09-10				<u></u>
Action Type:	Annual List			
Document Number:		# of Pages:	1	
	10/01/2010	Effective Date:	<u> </u>	
(No notes for this actio	n)			

LEGAL DIMENSIONS 800-535-7752







Office of the Assessor

Assessor Home

Back to Search List

Personal Property

Sales Data

Secured Tax Inquiry

Recorder Search

Parcel Detail for Parcel # 007-091-12

Location

Property Location 11000 RENO HWY

Town HAZEN

Subdivision M&B Lot Block

Property Name

Add'i Addresses.

Assessor Maps

Legal Description

Remarks

Ownership

Assessed Owner Name 11000 RENO HIGHWAY FALLON LLC

Mailing Address 1401 LAS VEGAS BLVD S

LAS VEGAS NV 89104-1327

Ownership History Document History

Legal Owner Name 11000 RENO HIGHWAY FALLON LLC

Vesting Doc#, Date 372233 06/22/05 Book/Page /

Map Document#s

Description

Total Acres 640.000

Ag Acres .000 W/R Acres .000

Improvements

Single- 0 fam Detached

Non-dwell Units 0

MH Hookups C

Bdrm/Bath 0/.00 Stories .0

Single- 0 fam Attached

Multi-fam Units 0 Mobile Homes 0

Wells 0 Septic Tanks 0

Total Dwelling Units 0

Bldg Sq Ft 0

Garage Sq Ft 0

Improvement List

Basement Sq Ft 0

Attch/Detch Finished 0

Appraisal Classifications

Current Land Use Code 180

Code Table

Zoning RR20

Re-appraisal Group 3 Orig Constr Year

Re-appraisal Year 2011

Weighted Year

Asses	sed Valu	ation	
Assessed Values	2012-13	2011-12	2010-11
Land	56,000	56,000	201,600
Improvements	458	468	530
Personal Property	0	0	. 0
Ag Land	0	0	0
Exemptions	0	0	0
Net Assessed Value	56,458	56,468	202,130
Increased (New) Value	s		
Land	G	0	C
Improvements	0	G	0
Personal Property	0	C	0

Taxable Valuation				
Taxable Values	2012-13	2011-12	2010-11	
Land	160,000	160,000	576,000	
Improvements	1,309	1,337	1,514	
Personal Property	0	0	0	
Ag Land	0	0	0	
Exemptions	0	0	0	
Net Taxable Value	161,309	161,337	577,514	
Increased (New) Values	i			
Land	0	0	0	
Improvements	0.	0	G	
Personal Property	0	0	0	

OISFITS DEVELOPMEN L.C.

Business Entity Information				
Status:	Active	File Date:	8/26/2005	
Туре:	Domestic Limited-Liability Company	Entity Number:	E0571202005-3	
Qualifying State:	NV	List of Officers Due:	8/31/2011	
Managed By:	Managers	Expiration Date:		
NV Business ID:	NV20051069626	Business License Exp:	Exempt - 003	

egistered Agent	Information		
Name:	REZA ZANDIAN	Address 1:	8350 W. SAHARA AVE SUITE 150
Address 2;		City:	LAS VEGAS
State:	NV	Zip Code:	89117
Phone:		Fax:	
Mailing Address 1:	8 SAN RAMON DR	Mailing Address 2:	:
Malling City:	IRVINE	Mailing State:	CA
Mailing Zip Code:	92612		
Agent Type:	Noncommercial Registered Agent		

Financial Information	
No Par Share Count: 0	Capital Amount: \$ 0
No stock records found for this company	

Officers			□ Include Inactive Officers
Managing Membe	r - SAEID AMINPOUR		
Address 1:	701 NORTHE CAMDEN DR	Address 2:	
City:	BEVERLY HILLS	State:	CA
Zip Code:	90201	Country:	USA
Status;	Active	Email:	
Managing Membe	er - NICHOLAS ESKANDARI		
Address 1:	433 N CAMDEN STE 400	Address 2:	
City:	BEVERLY HILLS	State:	CA
Zip Code:	90210	Country:	USA
Status:	Active	Email:	
Managing Membe	er - REZA ZANDIAN		
Address 1:	P.O.BOX 927674	Address 2:	
Cfty:	SAN DIEGO	State:	CA
Zip Code:	92192-7674	Country:	USA
Status:	Active	Email:	

Actions\Amendm	ents	•	
Action Type:	Articles of Organization	·	
Document Number:	20050351501-12	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	8/26/2005	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action	1)		

 $http://nvsos.gov/sosentitysearch/PrintCorp.aspx?lx8nvq=JXbqonwG\%252fYkEVYqGDg... \\ 6/20/2011$

		·	
Action Type:	(al List		
Document Number:	20050356456-56	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	8/29/2005	Effective Date:	
No notes for this action)		
Action Type:	Amended List		
Document Number:	20050555770-86	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	11/16/2005	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action	1)		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20060673303-50	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	10/18/2006	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action	1)		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20070683552-98	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	10/02/2007	. Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action	1}		į
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20080564590-59	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	8/25/2008	Effective Date:	<u> </u>
08/09			
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20090676689-23	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	9/11/2009	Effective Date:	<u> </u>
(No notes for this action	n)		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20100642222-11	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	8/26/2010	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this actio	n)		

ELKO NORTH 5TH AVE LLC

Business Entity I	nformation	,	
Status:	Active	File Date:	8/31/2005
	Domestic Limited-Liability Company	Entity Number:	E0580312005-7
Qualifying State:	NV	List of Officers Due:	8/31/2011
Managed By:		Expiration Date:	
NV Business ID:		Business License Exp:	Exempt - 003

Registered Agent	Information		
Name:	REZA ZANDIAN	Address 1:	8350 W. SAHARA AVE SUITE
Address 2:		City:	LAS VEGAS
State:	NV	Zíp Code:	89117
Phone:		Fax:	
Mailing Address 1:	7590 FAY AVE, SUITE 401	Mailing Address 2:	
Mailing City:		Mailing State:	CA
Mailing Zip Code:			
	Noncommercial Registered Agent		

Financial Information		
No Par Share Count: 0	Capital Amount: \$ 0	
No stock records found for this company		

Officers			☐ Include Inactive Officers
Managing Membe	r - CHAKAMIAN 2004 TRUST		
Address 1:	7590 FAY AVE, #401	Address 2:	
City:	LA JOLLA	State:	CA
Zip Code:	92037	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	
Managing Membe	r - MOINZADEH FAMILY REVOCABLE	TRUST	
	7590 FAY AVE, #401	Address 2:	
City:	LA JOLLA	State:	CA
Zip Code:	92037	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	
Managing Membe	r - REZA ZANDIAN		
	P.O. BOX 927674	Address 2:	
City:		State:	CA
Zip Code:	92192	Country:	USA
Status:	Active	Email:	

Actions\Amendm	en ts		
Action Type:	Articles of Organization		
Document Number:	20050364566-57	# of Pages:	2
	8/31/2005	Effective Date:	
REG MAIL SAE 9-1-05			

http://nvsos.gov/SOSEntitySearch/PrintCorp.aspx?lx8nvq=XKhMrHdBjKn5O9afATh6IA... 6/20/2011

12/12/11			
Action Type:			·
Document Number:	2 0437973-30	# of L es:	1
File Date:		Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action) Television		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20060673304-61	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	10/18/2006	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action)		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:		# of Pages:	1
File Date:	8/20/2007	Effective Date:	
07-08			
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20080564591-60	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	8/25/2008	Effective Date:	<u> </u>
08/09			
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20090676691-66	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	9/11/2009	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action	1)		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:		# of Pages:	1
File Date:	8/26/2010	Effective Date:	<u> </u>
(No notes for this action	1)		
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

STAGECOACH VALLE LLC.

Business Entity I	nformation		
Status:	Active	File Date:	4/09/2007
Туре:	Domestic Limited-Liability Company	Entity Number:	E0263162007-6
Qualifying State:	NV	List of Officers Due:	4/30/2012
Managed By:	Managers	Expiration Date:	
NV Business ID:	NV20071497897	Business License Exp:	Exempt - 003

Name:	REZA ZANDIAN	Address 1:	8350 W. SAHARA AVENUE
Address 2:		City:	LAS VEGAS
State:	NV	Zip Code:	89117
Phone:		Fax:	
Mailing Address 1:	8775 COSTA VERDE #501	Mailing Address 2:	
Mailing City:	SAN DIEGO	Mailing State:	CA
Mailing Zip Code:	92122		
Agent Type:	Noncommercial Registered Ag	ent	

Financial Information	
No Par Share Count: 0	Capital Amount: \$0
No stock records found for this company	

Officers			□ Include Inactive Officers
Managing Membe	r - BIJAN AKHAVAN		
Address 1:	15456 VENTURA BLVD #300	Address 2:	
City:	SHERMAN OAKS	State:	CA
Zip Code:	91403	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	
Managing Membe	er - SASSAN CHAKAMIAN		
Address 1:	7590 FAY AVE. STE 401	Address 2:	
City:	LA JOLLA	State;	CA
Zíp Code:	92037	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	
Managing Membe	er - REZA ZANDIAN		
Address 1:	830 LAS VEGAS BLVD SOUTH	Address 2:	
City:	LAS VEGAS	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89101	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	

Actions\Amendm	ents				
Action Type:	Articles of Organization				•
Document Number:	20070248707-47	# of Pages:	2		
File Date:	4/09/2007	Effective Date:			~~~~
(No notes for this action	1)			:	
Action Type:	Initial List				
				······································	

 $http://nvsos.gov/sosentitysearch/PrintCorp.aspx?lx8nvq=2Xd1t9DCb9iDR1oJTKMx\%252... \\ 6/20/2011$

• • • • •		1
770248709-69	# of ges:	1
9/2007	Effective ate:	
)		
Annual List		
20080270927-97	# of Pages;	1
4/21/2008	Effective Date:	
}		
Annual List		
20090676690-55	# of Pages:	1
9/11/2009	Effective Date:	
)		
Annual List		
20100642220-99	# of Pages:	1
8/26/2010	Effective Date:	
)		
Annual List		
	# of Pages:	1
5/06/2011	Effective Date:	
	A70248709-69 3/2007) Annual List 20080270927-97 4/21/2008) Annual List 20090676690-55 9/11/2009) Annual List 20100642220-99 8/26/2010 i) Annual List 20110343835-00 5/06/2011	# of Pages: 3/2007 # of Pages: 3/2007 # of Pages: 20080270927-97 # of Pages: 4/21/2008 Effective Date:) Annual List 20090676690-55 # of Pages: 9/11/2009 Effective Date:) Annual List 20100642220-99 # of Pages: 8/26/2010 Effective Date:) Annual List 20110343835-00 # of Pages:



Business Entity I	nformation		
Status:	Revoked	File Date:	4/28/2008
Туре:	Domestic Limited-Liability Company	Entity Number:	E0277292008-8
Qualifying State:	NV	List of Officers Due:	4/30/2009
Managed By:		Expiration Date:	
NV Business ID:	NV20081306105	Business License Exp:	

Additional Information	
Series LLC (YES if applicable):	YES

gistered Agent	miomianosi		
Name:	REZA ZANDIAN	Address 1:	1401 S. LAS VEGAS BLVD
Address 2:		City:	LAS VEGAS
State:	NV	Zip Code:	89104
Phone:		Fax:	
Mailing Address 1:	8775 COSTA VERDE #501	Mailing Address 2:	
Mailing City:		Mailing State:	CA
Mailing Zip Code:			
	Noncommercial Registered Ag	ent	

Financial Information		
No Par Share Count: 0	Capital Amount:	\$0
No stock records found for this company		

Officers		☐ Include Inactive Officers	
Managing Membe	er - NILOOFAR FOUGHANI ZANDIAN		
Address 1:	8775 COSTA VERDE BLVD	Address 2:	#501
	SAN DIEGO	State:	CA
Zip Code:	92122	Country:	
Status:		Email:	

Action Type:	Articles of Organization		
Document Number:		# of Pages:	2
File Date:		Effective Date:	
No notes for this action	n)	···	
Action Type:	Initial List		
Document Number:		# of Pages:	1
Tile Dates	5/29/2008	Effective Date:	



GOLD CANYON DEVELOPMENT LLC

Business Entity Information			
Status:	Default	File Date:	5/27/2004
	Domestic Limited-Liability Company	Entity Number:	LLC11545-2004
Qualifying State:	NV	List of Officers Due:	5/31/2011
Managed By:	Managers	Expiration Date:	5/27/2504
NV Business ID:	NV20041117776	Business License Exp:	

egistered Agent	Information		
Name:	ELIAS ABRISHAMI	Address 1:	220 SUSSEX PL
Address 2:		City:	CARSON CITY
State:	NV	Zip Code:	89703
Phone:		Fax:	
Mailing Address 1:	PO BOX 2919	Mailing Address 2:	
	CARSON CITY	Mailing State:	NV
Mailing Zip Code:	89702		
Agent Type:	Noncommercial Registered	Agent	

Financial Information		
No Par Share Count: 0	Capital Amount:	\$0
No stock records found for this company		

Officers			☐ Include Inactive Officers
Managing Membe	r - ELIAS ABRISHAMI		
Address 1:	P O BOX 10476	Address 2:	
City:	BEVERLY HILLS	State:	CA
Zip Code:	90213	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	
Managing Membe	r - RAFI ABRISHAMI		
Address 1:	P O BOX 10325	Address 2:	
City:	BEVERLY HILLS	State:	CA
Zip Code:	90213	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	
Managing Membe	r - REZA ZANDIAN		
Address 1:	8775 COSTA VERDE BLVD., #501	Address 2:	
City:	SAN DIEGO	State:	CA
Zip Code:	92122	Country:	
Status:		Email:	

Actions\Amendm	ents			
Action Type:	Articles of Organization			
Document Number:	LLC11545-2004-001	# of Pages:	11	
	5/27/2004	Effective Date:		i
(No notes for this action	1)			
Action Type:	Initial List			

 $http://nvsos.gov/sosentitysearch/PrintCorp.aspx?lx8nvq=u1tm4Q5W1elnCagkmCKSfg\%2... \ \ 6/20/2011$

	palatagi palata a na ma		
Document Number:	LI-G11545-2004-002	# of Pages:	1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
File Date:	72004	Effective ate:	
ist of Officers for 2004	to 2005		
Action Type:	Registered Agent Change		
Document Number:	LLC11545-2004-003	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	11/16/2004	Effective Date:	
LIAS ABRISHAMI SUIT	E#1011		
550 W. SAHARA AVEN	UE LAS VEGAS NV 89117 RX	S	
ELIAS ABRISHAMI RXS			
RXS			
Action Type:			
Document Number:	20050163958-39	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	5/02/2005	Effective Date:	
No notes for this action	1)		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20060176567-90	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	3/20/2006	Effective Date:	<u></u>
(No notes for this action	1)		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20070373918-40	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	5/29/2007	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action	n)		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20080344948-12	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	5/19/2008	Effective Date:	1
2008-2009			
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:		# of Pages:	1
	5/20/2009	Effective Date:	
09-10			
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:		# of Pages:	1
File Date:		Effective Date:	
10-11			

HGH-TECH DEVELOPME T LLC

Business Entity Information			
Status:	Dissolved	File Date:	9/22/2004
Туре:	Domestic Limited-Liability Company	Entity Number:	LLC21816-2004
Qualifying State:		List of Officers Due:	9/30/2005
Managed By:	Managers	Expiration Date:	9/22/2504
NV Business ID:	NV20041220539	Business License Exp:	

egistered Agent	Information		
Name:	ELJAS ABRISHAMI	Address 1:	8350 W SAHARA AVE
Address 2:	STE 150	City:	LAS VEGAS
State:	NV :	Zip Code:	89117
Phone:		Fax:	
Mailing Address 1:	:	Mailing Address 2:	
Mailing City:		Mailing State:	
Mailing Zip Code:			
	Noncommercial Registered	Agent	

Financial Information		
No Par Share Count: 0	Capital Amount: \$0	
No stock records found for this company		

Officers			☐ Include Inactive Officers
Managing Membe	r - ELIAS ABRISHAMI		
Address 1:	PO BOX 2919	Address 2:	
City:	CARSON CITY	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89702	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	
Managing Membe	r - RAFI ABRISHAMI		
Address 1:	PO BOX 2919	Address 2:	
City:	CARSON CITY	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89702	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	
Managing Membe	er - REZA ZANDIAN		
Address 1:	220 SUSSEX PL	Address 2:	
City:	CARSON CITY	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89703	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	

ents			
Articles of Organization			
LLC21816-2004-001	# of Pages:	1	
9/22/2004	Effective Date:		
1)			
Initial List			
	Articles of Organization LLC21816-2004-001 9/22/2004 1)	Articles of Organization LLC21816-2004-001 # of Pages: 9/22/2004 Effective Date: 1)	Articles of Organization LLC21816-2004-001 # of Pages: 1 9/22/2004 Effective Date: 1)

Document Number: L	3816-2004-002	# of P(>:	1
File Date: 1	1/01/2004	Effective bate:	
List of Officers for 2004 to	2005		
Action Type: D	issolution		
Document Number: 2	0050090100-27	# of Pages:	1
File Date: 3	118/2005	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action)			

L'ON PARK DEVELOPME T LLC

Business Entity l	nformation		•	
Status:	Dissolved	File Date:	9/22/2004	
Type:	Domestic Limited-Liability Company	Entity Number:	LLC21824-2004	
Qualifying State:	NV	List of Officers Due:	9/30/2005	
Managed By:		Expiration Date:	9/22/2504	
NV Business ID:		Business License Exp:		

Name:	ELIAS ABRISHAMI	Address 1:	8350 W SAHARA AVE
Address 2:		City:	LAS VEGAS
State:		Zíp Code:	89117
Phone:	.:	Fax	
Hailing Address 1:		Mailing Address 2:	
Mailing City:		Mailing State:	
Mailing Zip Code:			
	Noncommercial Registered	i Agent	

Financial Information	
No Par Share Count: 0	Capital Amount: \$0
No stock records found for this company	

Officers			☐ Include Inactive Officers
Managing Membe	r - ELIAS ABRISHAMI		
	PO BOX 2919	Address 2:	
City:	CARSON CITY	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89702	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	•
Managing Membe	r - RAFI ABRISHAMI		
	PO BOX 2919	Address 2:	
City:	CARSON CITY	State:	NV
Zio Code:		Country:	
Status:		Email:	
Managing Membe	er - REZA ZANDIAN		
	220 SUSSEX PL	Address 2:	
	CARSON CITY	State:	NV
Zip Code:		Country:	
Status:		Email:	

Actions\Amendm	ents			
Action Type:	Articles of Organization			
Document Number:		# of Pages:	1	
	9/22/2004	Effective Date:		
(No notes for this action	n)			
Action Type:	Initial List			
Action Type:	Initial List			

http://nvsos.gov/sosentitysearch/PrintCorp.aspx?lx8nvq=IOegT0zC5krlK0oKA7arpw%25... 6/20/2011

Document Number: 21824-2004-002	# of ()=s: 1	
File Date: 11/01/2004	Effective Date:	
List of Officers for 2004 to 2005		
Action Type: Dissolution		
Document Number: 20050090105-72	# of Pages: 1	
File Date: 3/18/2005	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action)		

CHU CHILL PARK DEVELO MENT LLC

Business Entity Information			
Status:	Dissolved	File Date:	9/22/2004
Туре:	Domestic Limited-Liability Company	Entity Number:	LLC21827-2004
Qualifying State:	NV	List of Officers Due:	9/30/2005
Managed By:	Managers	Expiration Date:	9/22/2504
NV Business ID:	NV20041220644	Business License Exp:	

gistered Agent	Information		
Name:	ELIAS ABRISHAMI	Address 1:	8350 W SAHARA AVE
Address 2:	STE 150	City;	LAS VEGAS
State:	NV	Zip Code:	89117
Phone:		Fax:	
Mailing Address 1:		Mailing Address 2:	
Mailing City:		Mailing State:	
Mailing Zip Code:			
Agent Type:	Noncommercial Registered	Agent	

Financial Information	
No Par Share Count: 0	Capital Amount: \$ 0
No stock records found for this company	

Officers			☐ Include Inactive Officers
Managing Membe	r - ELIAS ABRISHAMI		
	PO BOX 2919	Address 2:	
City:	CARSON CITY	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89702	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	
Managing Membe	r - RAFI ABRISHAMI		
Address 1:	PO BOX 2919	Address 2:	
City:	CARSON CITY	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89702	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	
Managing Membe	er - REZA ZANDIAN		
Address 1:	220 SUSSEX PL	Address 2:	
City:	CARSON CITY	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89703	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	

Actions\Amendm	ents		
Action Type:	Articles of Organization		
Document Number:	LLC21827-2004-001	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	9/22/2004	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action	1)		
Action Type:	Initial List		
			T

http://nvsos.gov/sosentitysearch/PrintCorp.aspx?lx8nvq=farMylCT6rUrcz59kl6XMQ%25... 6/20/2011

Document Number: L 1827-2004-002	# of H s: 1
File Date: 11/01/2004	Effective Date:
List of Officers for 2004 to 2005	
Action Type: Dissolution	
Document Number: 20050090112-60	# of Pages: 1
File Date: 3/18/2005	Effective Date:
(No notes for this action)	

LEGAL DIMENSIONS 800-535-7753



Business Entity Information			
Status:	Default	File Date:	12/15/2004
Туре:	Domestic Limited-Liability Company	Entity Number:	LLC29380-2004
Qualifying State:	NV	List of Officers Due:	12/31/2010
Managed By:	Managers	Expiration Date:	12/15/2504
NV Business ID:	NV20041295883	Business License Exp:	Exempt - 003

Registered Agent	Information			•
Name:	SEAN S. FAYEGHI	Address 1:	1401 LAS	VEGAS BLVD SOUTH
Address 2:		City:	LAS VEG	AS
State:	NV	Zip Code:	89104	Ì
Phone:		Faxc		i
Mailing Address 1:		Mailing Address 2:		!
Mailing City:		Mailing State:		
Mailing Zip Code:				
Agent Type:	Noncommercial Registered Age	ent		

Financial Information		
No Par Share Count: 0	Capital Amount: \$0	
No stock records found for this company		

Officers			☐ Include Inactive Officers
Manager - SEAN	S FAYEGHI		
Address 1:	1401 S. LAS VEGAS BLVD	Address 2:	
City:	LAS VEGAS	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89104	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	
Manager - REZA	ZANDIAN		
Address 1:	1401 S. LAS VEGAS BLVD	Address 2:	
City:	LAS VEGAS	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89104	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	

Action Type:	Articles of Organization		
Document Number:	LLC29380-2004-001	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	12/15/2004	Effective Date:	
No notes for this action	n)		
Action Type:	Initial List		
Document Number:	LLC29380-2004-002	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	12/15/2004	Effective Date:	
ist of Officers for 2004	to 2005		:
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20050561932-73	# of Pages:	1

 $http://nvsos.gov/sosentitysearch/PrintCorp.aspx?lx8nvq=PDWAxpSRn0SfOhooFuAk6w\%... \begin{tabular}{ll} 6/20/2011 \\ 1/20/2011$

File Date:	1 9/2005	Effective te:	
(No notes for this action	1)		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20070107298-06	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	2/08/2007	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action	1)		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20070801466-64	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	11/26/2007	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action	n)		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20080805719-20	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	12/10/2008	Effective Date:	
08- 09	:		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20100743562-60	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	10/01/2010	Effective Date:	<u> </u>
(No notes for this action	n)		

LEGAL DIMENSIONS 500-535-7753





OPTO A TECHNOLOGY COROCRATION

Business Entity Information			
Status:	Revoked	File Date:	10/11/2004
Type:	Domestic Close Corporation	Entity Number:	C27410-2004
Qualifying State:	NV	List of Officers Due:	10/31/2008
Managed By:		Expiration Date:	
NV Business ID:		Business License Exp:	

Registered Agent	Information		
Name:	REZA ZANDIAN	Address 1:	8350 W. SAHARA AVE SUITE 150
Address 2:		City:	LAS VEGAS
State:	NV	Zip Code:	89117
Phone:	·	Fax:	
Mailing Address 1:	8 SAN RAMON DR	Mailing Address 2:	
Mailing City:		Mailing State:	CA
Mailing Zip Code:	92612		
Agent Type:	Noncommercial Registered Agent		

Financial Information	
No Par Share Count: 10,000.00	Capital Amount \$ 0
No stock records found for this company	

Officers			☐ Include Inactive Officers		
President - REZA	ZANDIAN				
Address 1:	8775 COSTA VERDE BLVD #501	Address 2:			
City:	SAN DIEGO	State:	CA		
Zip Code:	92122	Country:	USA		
Status:	Active	Emall:			
Secretary - REZA	ZANDIAN				
	8775 COSTA VERDE BLVD #501	Address 2:			
City:	SAN DIEGO	State:	CA		
Zip Code:	92122	Country:	USA		
Status:	Active	Email:			
Treasurer - REZA	ZANDIAN				
Address 1:	8775 COSTA VERDE BLVD #501	Address 2:			
City:	SAN DIEGO	State:	CA		
Zip Code:	92122	Country:	USA		
Status:	Active	Email:			
Director - REZA 2	Director - REZA ZANDIAN				
Address 1:	8775 COSTA VERDE BLVD #501	Address 2:			
City:	SAN DIEGO	State:	CA		
Zip Code:	92122	Country:	USA		
Status:		Email:			

l		
Actions\Amendments		
Welletinisten	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	

http://nvsos.gov/sosentitysearch/PrintCorp.aspx?lx8nvq=QNM2raPUombEPz3WXzEiNg...

6/20/2011

	•	•
les of Incorporation		
C=7410-2004-001	# of Pages:	1
10/11/2004	Effective Date:	
) 1.1.5.5.1		
Initial List		
C27410-2004-002	# of Pages:	1
10/11/2004	Effective Date:	
to 2005		
Annual List		
20050611409-08	# of Pages:	1
12/13/2005	Effective Date:	
)		
Amended List		
20060416290-50	# of Pages:	1
6/28/2006	Effective Date:	
)	······	
Annual List		
20060673305-72	# of Pages:	1
10/18/2006	Effective Date:	
1)		
Annual List		
20070840329-25	# of Pages:	1
12/11/2007	Effective Date:	<u></u>
1)		
	les of Incorporation C27410-2004-001 10/11/2604) Initial List C27410-2004-002 10/11/2004 to 2005 Annual List 20050611409-08 12/13/2005) Amended List 20060416290-50 6/28/2006) Annual List 20060673305-72 10/18/2006) Annual List 20070840329-25 12/11/2007	Ses of Incorporation # of Pages: 10/11/2004 Effective Date:



I-50 PLAZA LLC

Business Entity Information				
Status:	Default	File Date:	2/03/2005	
Type:	Domestic Limited-Liability Company	Entity Number:	E0011952005-5	
Qualifying State:	NV	List of Officers Due:	2/28/2011	
Managed By:	Managers	Expiration Date:	2/03/2505	
NV Business ID:	NV20051209794	Business License Exp:	Exempt - 003	

egistered Agent	Information		
Name:	SEAN S. FAYEGHI	Address 1:	1401 LAS VEGAS BLVD SOUTH
Address 2:		City:	LAS VEGAS
State:	NV	Zip Code:	89104
Phone:		Fax:	
Mailing Address 1:		Mailing Address 2:	
Mailing City:		Mailing State:	
Mailing Zip Code:			
	Noncommercial Registered	Agent	

Financial Information	
No Par Share Count: 0	Capital Amount: \$ 0
No stock records found for this company	

Officers			☐ Include Inactive Officers
Managing Membe	er - SEAN S FAYEGHI		
Address 1:	1401 S. LAS VEGAS BLVD.	Address 2:	
City:	LAS VEGAS	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89104	Country:	<u>.</u>
Status:	Active	Email:	
Managing Membe	er - REZA ZANDIAN		
Address 1;	8350 W. SAHARA AVE.	Address 2:	
City;	LAS VEGAS	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89117	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	

Action Type:	Articles of Organization		
Document Number:	20050007640-04	# of Pages:	2
File Date:	2/03/2005	Effective Date:	
No notes for this action	1)		
Action Type:	Initial List		
Document Number:	20050007642-26	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	2/03/2005	Effective Date:	
No notes for this action	1)		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20050632605-29	# of Pages:	1

 $http://nvsos.gov/sosentitysearch/PrintCorp.aspx?lx8nvq=Nw7ToOaPkBUzH\%252fozGSF... \\ \ \, 6/20/2011$

		#800m	
File Date:	1/2005	Effective le:	
(No notes for this action)		·
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20070012183-14	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	1/04/2007	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action)		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20080097515-37	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	2/12/2008	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action)		
Action Type:	Annual List		
Document Number:	20080806151-81	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	12/10/2008	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action	1)		
Action Type:	Annual List	· !	
Document Number:	20100743512-65	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	10/01/2010	Effective Date:	
(No notes for this action	1)	i i	



siness Entity li	nformation		
Status:	Default	File Date:	5/18/2005
	Domestic Limited-Liability Company	Entity Number:	E0307202005-3
Qualifying State:	NV	List of Officers Due:	5/31/2011
Managed By:		Expiration Date:	
NV Business ID:		Business License Exp:	Exempt - 003

Name:	SEAN S. FAYEGHI	Address 1:	1401 LAS VEGAS BLVD SOUTH
Address 2:		City:	LAS VEGAS
State:	NV	Zip Code:	89104
Phone:		Fax:	
Mailing Address 1:		Mailing Address 2:	
Mailing City:		Mailing State:	
Mailing Zip Code:			
	Noncommercial Registered	Agent	

Financial Information	·
No Par Share Count: 0	Capital Amount: \$0
No stock records found for this company	

Officers			☐ Include Inactive Officers
Manager - SEAN S	FAYEGHI	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Address 1:	1401 LAS VEGAS BLVD. SOUTH	Address 2:	
City:	LAS VEGAS	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89104	Country:	
Status:		Email:	
Manager - SHAHF	ROKH REZAI		
Address 1:	7353 SINGING TREE ST.	Address 2:	
City:	LAS VEGAS	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89123	Country:	
Status:		Email:	
Manager - REZA	ZANDIAN		
Address 1:	8350 W. SAHARA AVE.	Address 2:	SUITE 150
City:	LAS VEGAS	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89117	Country:	
Status:		Email:	

4 - 15 - 1 T - 1 - 2	Add a second		
	Articles of Organization		,
Document Number:	20050184429-75	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	5/18/2005	Effective Date:	
to notes for this action	1)		
Action Type:	Initial List		

http://nvsos.gov/sosentitysearch/PrintCorp.aspx?lx8nvq=GKSLAI4rhGcQVXCkErH6IQ%... 6/20/2011

		arte.			
Document Number:	2 0184430-07	# of A ds:	1		
File Date:		Effective Date:		····	
No notes for this action					
Action Type:					
	20060282468-48	# of Pages:	1		
File Date:	5/03/2006	Effective Date:			
No notes for this action)				
Action Type:	Annual List				
Document Number:	20070385782-52	# of Pages:	1		
File Date:	5/31/2007	Effective Date:			
(No notes for this action)			<u> </u>	
Action Type:	Annual List				
Document Number:	20080380264-03	# of Pages:	1		
File Date:	6/02/2008	Effective Date:	<u> </u>		
08/09					
Action Type:	Annual List				
Document Number:	20090396017-67	# of Pages:	1		
File Date:	4/30/2009	Effective Date:			
(No notes for this action	1)				
Action Type:	Annual List				
Document Number:	20100743576-25	# of Pages:	1		
File Date:	10/01/2010	Effective Date:			
(No notes for this action	1)				
·	<u> </u>				

©NO HIGHWAY PLAZA .L.C.

Business Entity I	nformation		
Status:	Revoked	File Date:	6/05/2006
	Domestic Limited-Liability Company	Entity Number:	E0416572006-9
Qualifying State:	NV	List of Officers Due:	6/30/2007
Managed By:	Managers	Explration Date:	
NV Business ID:	NV20061046071	Business License Exp:	

egistered Agent	Information	;	
Name:	SEAN S. FEYEGHI	Address 1:	5945 ROBERT HAMPTON ROAD
Address 2:		City:	LAS VEGAS
State:	NV	Zip Code:	89120
Phone:		Fax:	
Mailing Address 1:	1401 SOUTH LAS VEGAS BLVD	Mailing Address 2:	
Mailing City:	LAS VEGAS	Mailing State:	NV
Mailing Zip Code:	89104	:	
Agent Type:	Noncommercial Registered Agent	:	

Financial Information		
No Par Share Count: 0	Capital Amount: \$0	
No stock records found for this company		·

Officers		□ Include Inactive Officers	
Manager - SEAN S	S FAYEGH!	····	
Address 1:	1401 SOUTH LAS VEGAS BLVD	Address 2:	
City:	LAS VEGAS	State:	NV
Zip Code:	89104	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	
Manager - REZA	ZANDIAN	<u></u>	
Address 1;	8775 CASTA VERDE BLVD	Address 2:	SUITE 1416
City:	SAN DIEGO	State:	CA
Zip Code:	92122	Country:	
Status:	Active	Email:	

Actions\Amendm	ents		
Action Type:	Articles of Organization		
Document Number:	20060359719-12	# of Pages:	2
File Date:	6/05/2006	Effective Date:	·
(No notes for this action	1)		
Action Type:	Initial List	:	
Document Number:	20060359720-44	# of Pages:	1
File Date:	6/05/2006	Effective Date:	
No notes for this action	1)		

http://nvsos.gov/sosentitysearch/PrintCorp.aspx?lx&nvq=hVQl%252bOpY%252bbaJV2lH... 6/20/2011

7/1/11

REC'D & FILED ROPP 1 JOHN PETER LEE, LTD. 2011 JUL -5 AM 11: 15 2 JOHN PETER LEE, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 001768 ALAN GLOVER 3 JOHN C. COURTNEY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 011092 830 Las Vegas Boulevard South 4 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 (702) 382-4044 Fax: (702) 383-9950 5 e-mail: info@johnpeterlee.com Attorneys for Defendant Reza Zandian 6 IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 7 IN AND FOR CARSON CITY 8 090C00579 Case No.: JED MARGOLIN, an individual; 9 Dept. No.: 10 Plaintiff, 11 VS. REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, 12 ON A SPECIAL a California corporation, OPTIMA Telecopier (702) 383-9950 APPEARANCE TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada 13 coporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka **GÔLAMREZA** ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI AKA G. REZA JAZI aka GHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, 16 an individual, DOE Companites 17 1-10; DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30, 18 Defendants. 19 1334.023382-tam 20 COMES NOW Defendant Reza Zandian by and through his counsel John Peter Lee, Ltd., 21 and hereby files his REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS ON A SPECIAL 22 23 APPEARANCE. This Reply is made and based upon all of the pleadings and papers on file herein, exhibits 24 attached hereto, the attached Memorandum of Points and Authorities, and oral argument, if required 25 26 by the Court. 27 28

830 LAS VEGAS BLVD. SOUTH Pelecopier (702) 383-9950 1

2

3

4

5

б

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

ZANDIAN HAS NOT BEEN SERVED IN THE INSTANT ACTION

Zandian's position, as stated in the instant motion to dismiss, is that he was never served with process in the instant action. Mtn. to Dismiss, p. 3, ll 10-11. The Declaration of the process server attached to Plaintiff's Opposition as Exhibit "2" clearly states that the unidentified man served by Plaintiff's process server told the server that Zandian did not reside at the home where service was tried. See Opposition, Ex. 2, Il. 18-28. This is the only proof that Plaintiff has submitted to evidence that Zandian was served at his place of residence, however, the Plaintiff submitted a statement indicating that the residence was not Zandian's. Thus, Plaintiff has not met its burden of proof demonstrating that Zandian was served at his residence or any other place.

Plaintiff also relies on letter attached to Plaintiff's Opposition as Exhibit "3" as proof that Zandian was served. See Opposition, p. 5, ll. 2-5. This letter was sent to the offices of John Peter Lee, Ltd., not to Zandian. At the time that this letter was sent, John Peter Lee, Ltd. was not the legal counsel for Zandian in the instant action. There is no legal authority whatsoever which would indicate that this is a form of proper service. Therefore, this letter is irrelevant as to whether or not Zandian was served with a summons and complaint in the instant action.

As previously stated in the instant motion to dismiss, the Complaint alleges that Zandian lives in San Diego, California or Las Vegas, Nevada. The motion also states, "Although Margolin alleged that Zandian resides in Las Vegas or San Diego, Margolin did not attempt service on Zandian at his alleged residence, but instead attempted service on Zandian in an entirely different city, Fair Oaks, California." The instant opposition does not address this issue so that Zandian has never been given a reason why service was never attempted at his alleged places of residences, but instead served to someone who reported to the server that Zandian did not reside at the residence where service was allegedly effectuated. Moreover, in the Complaint, Plaintiff implies that venue is properly before First District Court because Zandian may be a resident of Storey County. Compl., p. 3, Il. 1-3. There is no evidence that service was ever attempted on Zandian in Storey County either. In fact, all of the evidence presented suggest that Plaintiff may have intentionally served

Zandian at a location that Plaintiff either knew or believed was not Zandian's residence in order to take a default. Thus, Plaintiff cannot meet his burden of proof demonstrating that Zandian was afforded his constitutional due process right of notice as prescribed by the clear an unambiguous rules regarding service.

П.

PLAINTIFF'S COUNTERMOTION TO STRIKE ZANDIAN'S MOTION AND TO PROVIDE A MORE DEFINITE STATEMENT MUST BE DENIED.

N.R.C.P. 12(f) provides the basis for a motion to strike:

Upon motion made by a party before responding to a pleading or, if no responsive pleading is permitted by these rules, upon motion made by a party within 20 days after the service of the pleading upon the party or upon the court's own initiative at any time, the court may order stricken from any pleading any insufficient defense or any redundant, immaterial, impertinent, or scandalous matter.

The Plaintiff's motion meets none of the requirements and must be denied.

Furthermore, Plaintiff's countermotion to strike the instant motion to dismiss is without merit. Plaintiff suggest that Zandian waived the right to object to insufficiencies with respect to service and personal jurisdiction because Zandian did not respond in a timely manner. Zandian, however, could not have been expected to respond to Plaintiff's Complaint before he was given proper notice thereof. Thus, Plaintiff's countermotion in this regard must be denied.

The Complaint cannot be save now, considering that it was filed 2009, and was not served within the time prescribed by NRCP 4(i) (stating in pertinent part, "If a service of the summons and complaint is not made upon a defendant within 120 days after the filing of the complaint, the action shall be dismissed as to that defendant without prejudice upon the court's own initiative with notice to such party or upon motion"). Thus Plaintiff's countermotion in this regard must be denied.

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Telecopier (702) 383-9950

٧.

CONCLUSION.

For the above stated reasons, Zandian's Motion to Dismiss Plaintiff's Complaint must be granted, and Plaintiff's countermotions to strike Zandian's objection and to permit Plaintiff to provide a more definite statement must be denied.

DATED this 1st day of July, 2011.

JOHN PETER LEE, LTD.

Wevada Bar No. 001768 JOHN C. COURTNEY, ESQ. Nevada Bar No. 011092

830 Las Vegas Boulevard South Las Vegas, Nevada 89101

Ph: (702) 382-4044/Fax: (702) 383-9950 Attorneys for Defendant Reza Zandian

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on the 1st day of July, 2011, a copy of the foregoing REPLY TO OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO DISMISS ON A SPECIAL APPEARANCE was served on the following parties by mailing a copy thereof, first class mail, postage prepaid, addressed to:

Adam McMillen, Esq. Watson Rounds 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511

An employee of

JOHN PETER LEE, LTD.

-4-

REC'D & FILEIT Case No. 09 OC 00579 1B 1 2011 AUG -3 AM 8: 14 2 Dept. No. Ι **ALAN GLOVER** 3 4 5 IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA 6 IN AND FOR CARSON CITY 7 8 JED MARGOLIN, an individual, Plaintiff, 10 ORDER SETTING ASIDE VS. DEFAULT, DENYING MOTION TO 11 OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, A DISMISS AND GRANTING EXTENSION OF TIME FOR California corporation, OPTIMA 12 TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada **SERVICE** corporation, REZA ZANDIAN 13 aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZA 15 aka GHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20 and DOE Individuals 21-30, 16 Defendants. 17 18 This matter comes before the Court pursuant to Defendant Reza Zandian's 19 (hereinafter "Zandian" or "Defendant") Motion to Dismiss on a Special Appearance filed 20 on June 9, 2011. On June 22, 2011, Plaintiff filed his Opposition to Motion to Dismiss and 21 Countermotion to Strike and for Leave to Amend Complaint. Defendant filed his Reply to 22 Opposition to Motion to Dismiss on a Special Appearance on July 5, 2011. 23 The Court deeming itself fully advised of the matter, hereby enters its Order as 24 follows: 25 In his Motion, Defendant argues primarily that service of the summons and 26 complaint was never effectuated upon Defendant. Defendant further argues that Nevada 27

does not have personal jurisdiction over Defendant in the instant action.

2:4

In Opposition, Plaintiff argues that service was effectuated upon Defendant as evidenced by the fact that the summons and complaint were mailed to Defendant's attorney and that Defendant was personally served with the summons on February 2, 2010. Plaintiff additionally argues that this Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendant in this action, Defendant cannot meet the standard for his Motion to Dismiss, and Defendant cannot meet the standard for his Motion to Set Aside. Finally, Plaintiff also asserts that Defendant's Motion to Dismiss should be stricken as he had previously waived his objections to personal jurisdiction, process and service of process. In the event that the Court either dismisses the compliant or sets aside the default, Plaintiff requests leave to amend the complaint to include proper reference to Defendant's actions in the related Arizona case and to re-serve Defendant in a proper manner.

A review of the affidavit of Plaintiff's process server, Robert Toth, indicates that service of process was never effectuated upon Defendant. The elderly man with whom the process server left the summons and complaint informed the process server that Defendant did not reside there. Accordingly, Defendant was not properly served. Furthermore, Plaintiff's mailing the summons and complaint to Defendant's attorney did not constitute proper service of process upon Defendant.

Having found that service was never effectuated, the Default Judgment entered against Defendant on March 1, 2011 shall be set aside. However, the Court declines to Dismiss the Complaint based on service of process, process or personal jurisdiction at this time. Finally, given Plaintiff's attempts at effectuating service and the difficulty that Plaintiff has faced in serving Defendant, Plaintiff shall be given additional time to effectuate proper service upon Defendant.

Therefore, good cause appearing,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Default Judgment entered against Defendant on March 1, 2011 shall be set aside.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that the Defendant's Motion to Dismiss on a Special Appearance is DENIED without prejudice.

	ļ
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	

28

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff shall have ninety (90) days from the date of this Order to properly effectuate service of the Complaint and Summons and/or an Amended Complaint upon Defendant in accordance with NRCP Rule 4, the Hague convention or any other lawful means of service.

DATED this 3rd day of August, 2011.

JAMES T. RUSSELL District Court Judge

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE I hereby certify that on the 3th day of August, 2011, I placed a copy of the foregoing Order in the United States Mail, postage prepaid, addressed as follows: Matthew D. Francis, Esq. 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511 John Peter Lee, Esq. 830 Las Vegas Blvd. South Las Vegas, NV 89101 Law Clerk, Department One

The state of the s

1 Matthew D. Francis (6978)
Adam P. McMillen (10678)
WATSON ROUNDS
5371 Kietzke Lane
Reno, NV 89511
Telephone: 775-324-4100
Facsimile: 775-333-8171
Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin

In The First Judicia

REC'D & FILED .

2011 AUG 11 PM 4: 05

ALAN GLOVER

CLER

In The First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada

In and for Carson City

JED MARGOLIN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

VS.

Case No.: 090C00579 1B

Dept. No.: 1

OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation, OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZI aka GHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30,

AMENDED COMPLAINT

(Exemption From Arbitration Requested)

Defendants.

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

Plaintiff, JED MARGOLIN ("Mr. Margolin"), by and through his counsel of record,

WATSON ROUNDS, and for his Complaint against Defendants, hereby alleges and complains

as follows:

The Parties

- 1. Plaintiff Mr. Margolin is an individual residing in Storey County, Nevada.
- On information and belief, Defendant Optima Technology Corporation is a
 California corporation with its principal place of business in Irvine, California.

≟1≑

- On information and belief, Defendant Optima Technology Corporation is a Nevada corporation with its principal place of business in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 4. On information and belief, Defendant Reza Zandian, aka Golamreza Zandianjazi, aka Golamreza Zandianjazi, aka Golamreza Zandianjazi, aka Gholam Reza Zandian, aka Reza Jazi, aka J. Reza Jazi, aka G. Reza Jazi, aka Ghononreza Zandian Jazi (collectively "Zandian"), is an individual who at all relevant times resided in Las Vegas, Nevada.
- 5. On information and belief, Defendant Optima Technology Corporation, the Nevada corporation ("OTC—Nevada") is a wholly owned subsidiary of Optima Technology Corporation, the California corporation ("OTC—California"), and Defendant Zandian at all relevant times served as an officer of OTC—California and OTC—Nevada.
- 6. Mr. Margolin believes, and therefore alleges, that at all times herein mentioned, each Defendant was the agent, servant or employee of each of the other Defendants and at all times was acting within the course and scope of said agency and/or employment and that each Defendant is liable to Mr. Margolin for the reasons and the facts herein alleged. Relief is sought herein against each and all of the Defendants jointly and severally, as well as its or their agents, assistants, successors, employees and all persons acting in concert or cooperation with them or at their direction. Mr. Margolin will amend his Complaint when such additional persons acting in concert or cooperation are ascertained.

Jurisdiction and Venue

- 7. Pursuant to the Nevada Constitution, Article 6, Section 6, the district courts of the State of Nevada have original jurisdiction in all cases excluded by law from the original jurisdiction of the justice courts. This case involves tort claims in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional limitation of the justice courts and, accordingly, jurisdiction is proper in the district court.
- 8. Venue is based upon the provisions of N.R.S. § 13.010, et seq., inasmuch as the Defendants at all times herein mentioned has been and/or is residing or currently doing business in and/or are responsible for the actions complained of herein in Storey County.

- 9. Plaintiff Mr. Margolin is the named inventor on numerous patents and patent applications, including United States Patent No. 5,566,073 ("the '073 Patent"), United States Patent No. 5,904,724 ("the '724 Patent"), United States Patent No. 5,978,488 ("the '488 Patent") and United States Patent No. 6,377,436 ("the '436 Patent") (collectively "the Patents").
- Mr. Margolin is the legal owner and owner of record for the '488 and '436
 Patents, and has never assigned those patents.
- 11. In July 2004, Mr. Margolin granted to Optima Technology Group ("OTG"), a Cayman Islands Corporation specializing in aerospace technology, a Power of Attorney regarding the '073 and '724 Patents. In exchange for the Power of Attorney, OTG agreed to pay Mr. Margolin royalties based on OTG's licensing of the '073 and '724 Patents.
- 12. In May 2006, OTG and Mr. Margolin licensed the '073 and '724 Patents to Geneva Aerospace, Inc., and Mr. Margolin received a royalty payment pursuant to the royalty agreement between Mr. Margolin and OTG.
- 13. On about July 20, 2004, Mr. Margolin assigned the '073 and '724 Patents to OTG.
- 14. In about November 2007, OTG licensed the '073 Patent to Honeywell International, Inc., and Mr. Margolin received a royalty payment pursuant to the royalty agreement between Mr. Margolin and OTG.
- 15. In December 2007, Defendant Zandian filed with the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office ("USPTO") fraudulent assignment documents allegedly assigning all four of the Patents to Optima Technology Corporation.
- 16. Upon discovery of the fraudulent filing, Mr. Margolin: (a) filed a report with the Storey County Sheriff's Department; (b) took action to regain record title to the '488 and '436 Patents that he legally owned; and (c) assisted OTG in regaining record title of the '073 and '724 Patents that it legally owned and upon which it contracted with Mr. Margolin for royalties.
- 17. Shortly before this, Mr. Margolin and OTG had been named as defendants in an action for declaratory relief regarding non-infringement of the '073 and '724 Patents in the

 United States District Court for the District of Arizona, in a case titled: *Universal Avionics Systems Corporation v. Optima Technology Group, Inc.*, No. CV 07-588-TUC-RCC (the "Arizona Action"). In the Arizona Action, Mr. Margolin and OTG filed a cross-claim for declaratory relief against Optima Technology Corporation (Zandian) in order to obtain legal title to their respective patents.

- 18. On August 18, 2008, the United States District Court for the District of Arizona entered a final judgment in favor of Mr. Margolin and OTG on their declaratory relief action, and ordered that OTC—California and OTC—Nevada had no interest in the '073 or '724 Patents, that the assignment documents filed by Zandian with the USPTO were "forged, invalid, void, of no force and effect," that the USPTO was to correct its records with respect to any claim by OTC to the Patents and/or the Power of Attorney, and that OTC was enjoined from asserting further rights or interests in the Patents and/or Power of Attorney. Attached as Exhibit A is a copy of the Order from the United States District Court in the Arizona Action.
- 19. Due to Defendants' fraudulent acts, title to the Patents was clouded and interfered with Plaintiff's and OTG's ability to license the Patents.
- 20. During the period of time Mr. Margolin worked to correct record title of the Patents in the Arizona Action and with the USPTO, he incurred significant litigation and other costs associated with those efforts.

<u>Claim 1--Conversion</u> (Against All Defendants)

- 21. Paragraphs 1-20 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.
- 22. Through the fraudulent acts described above, Defendants wrongfully exerted dominion over the Patents, thereby depriving Mr. Margolin of the use of such property.
- 23. The Patents and the royalties due Mr. Margolin under the Patents were the personal property of Mr. Margolin.
- 24. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' conversion, Mr. Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), entitling him to the relief set

forth below.

Claim 2-Tortious Interference With Contract (Against All Defendants)

- 25. Paragraphs 1-24 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.
- 26. Mr. Margolin was a party to a valid contract with OTG for the payment of royalties based on the license of the '073 and '724 Patents.
 - 27. Defendants were aware of Mr. Margolin's contract with OTG.
- 28. Defendants committed intentional acts intended and designed to disrupt and interfere with the contractual relationship between Mr. Margolin and OTG.
- 29. As a result of the acts of Defendants, Mr. Margolin's contract with OTG was actually interfered with and disrupted.
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' tortious interference with contract, Mr. Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), entitling him to the relief set forth below.

Claim 3 Intentional Interference with Prospective Economic Advantage (Against All Defendants)

- 31. Paragraphs 1-30 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.
- 32. Defendants were aware of Mr. Margolin's prospective business relations with licensees of the Patents.
- 33. Defendants purposely, willfully and improperly attempted to induce Mr.
 Margolin's prospective licensees to refrain from engaging in business with Mr. Margolin.
- 34. The foregoing actions by Defendants interfered with the business relationships of Mr. Margolin, and were done intentionally and occurred without consent or authority of Mr. Margolin.
- 35. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' tortious interference, Mr. Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), entitling him to the relief set forth below.

Claim 4—Unjust Enrichment (Against All Defendants)

- 36. Paragraphs 1-35 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.
 - 37. Defendants wrongfully obtained record title to the Patents.
- 38. Defendants were aware that record title to the Patents was valuable, and were aware of the benefit derived from having record title.
- 39. Defendants unjustly benefitted from the use of Mr. Margolin's property without compensation to Mr. Margolin.
- 40. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' aforementioned acts, Mr. Margolin is entitled to equitable relief.

Claim 5—Unfair and Deceptive Trade Practices (Against All Defendants)

- 41. Paragraphs 1-40 of the Complaint set forth above are incorporated herein by reference.
- 42. The Defendants, engaging in the acts and conduct described above, have knowingly and willfully committed unfair and deceptive trace practices under NRS 598.0915 by making false representations.
- 43. As a direct and proximate result of the Defendants' unfair and deceptive trade practices, Mr. Margolin has suffered damages in excess of ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), entitling him to the relief set forth below.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff Jed Margolin, prays for judgment against the Defendants as follows:

- 1. That Plaintiff be awarded damages for Defendants' tortious conduct;
- 2. That Plaintiff be awarded damages for Defendants' unjust enrichment;
- That Plaintiff be awarded damages for Defendants' commission of unfair and deceptive trade practices, in an amount to be proven at trial, with said damages being trebled pursuant to NRS 598.0999;

- 4. That Plaintiff be awarded actual, consequential, future, and punitive damages of whatever type or nature;
 - 5. That the Court award all such further relief that it deems just and proper.

AFFIRMATION

Pursuant to NRS 239B.030, the undersigned does hereby affirm that the preceding document, filed in District Court, does not contain the social security number of any person.

DATED: August 11, 2011

WATSON ROUNDS

Matthew D. Francis (6978)
Adam P. McMillen (10678)
WATSON ROUNDS
5371 Kietzke Lane
Reno, NV 89511
Telephone: 775-324-4100

Facsimile: 775-333-8171

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of Watson Rounds, and that on
this date, I deposited for mailing, in a sealed envelope, with first-class postage prepaid, a true
and correct copy of the foregoing document, AMENDED COMPLAINT (Exemption From
Arbitration Requested), addressed as follows:

John Peter Lee John Peter Lee, Ltd. 830 Las Vegas Blvd. South Las Vegas, NV 89101

Dated: August 11, 2011

Carla Ousby

REC'D & FILED

No. <u>090C00579 1B</u>

Dept No. <u>I</u>

ALAN GLOYER

BY DEPLITY

In the First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for Carson City

JED MARGOLIN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

٧.

SUMMONS ON

amended Complaint

OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation, OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI, aka G.REZA JAZI aka CHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30,

Defendants.

THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO: OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada corporation

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THIS ACTION IS BROUGHT TO RECOVER DAMAGES AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANTS' FRAUDULENT ASSIGNMENT OF DOCUMENTS RELATING TO PATENT NO.'S 5,566,073, 5,904,724 AND 5,978,488 AS MORE FULLY STATED IN THE COMPLAINT. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil complaint or petition has been filed by the plaintiff(s) against you.

- 1. If you wish to defend this lawsuit, you must, within 20 days after this summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, file with the Clerk of the Court a written pleading in response to this Complaint,
- 2. Unless you respond, a default will be entered upon application of the plaintiff(s) and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the complaint*, which could result in the taking of money or property or the relief requested in the Complaint.
- 3. If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.
- 4. You are required to serve your response upon plaintiffs attorney, whose address is

Matthew D. Francis Adam McMillen Watson Rounds 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, Nevada 89511

. 20 \\.

ALAN GLOVER
Clerk of Court

Deputy Clerk

*Note - When served by publication, insert a brief statement of the object of the action. See Rule 4.

RETURN OF SERVICE ON REVERSE SIDE

AFFP DISTRICT COURT Clark County, Nevada

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF NEVADA) COUNTY OF CLARK) SS:

Stacey M. Lewis, being 1st duly sworn, deposes and says: That she is the Legal Clerk for the Las Vegas Review-Journal and the Las Vegas Sun, daily newspapers regularly issued, published and circulated in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and that the advertisement, a true copy attached for,

WATSON ROUNDS

3983802WAT

7489602

was continuously published in said Las Vegas Review-Journal and / or Las Vegas Sun in 4 edition(s) of said newspaper issued from 10/07/2011 to 10/28/2011, on the following days:

> 10/07/2011 10/14/2011 10/21/2011 10/28/2011

NO. 090C00579.18 DEPT. NO. J
In the First Judicial District Court of
the State of Nevada in and for
Carson City
JED MARGOLIN, an individual,
Plaintiff v. OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY
CORPORATION, a California
COPPORATION, a California
COPPORATION, a Nevada
COPPORATION, a Nevada
GOLAMREZA ZANDIAN aka
ZANDIAN
JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI, aka G. REZA
JAZI aka CHONONREZA ZANDIAN
JAZI, anäindividual DOE Companies
L-10. DOE KOPPORTORE COMPORTORE
CON AMENDED. COMPLAINT
THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS

THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO: OPTIMA: TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION. a Nevada corporation NoTICE YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THIS ACTION IS BROUGHT TO RECOVER DAMAGES AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANTS FRAUDULENT ASSIGNMENT OF DOCUMENTS RELATING TO PATENT NO. 'S 5.556,073', 5.904,724 AND 5.978,488 AS MORE FULLY STATED IN THE COMPLAINT. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A CIVIL COMPLAINT: A CIVIL COMPLAINT SENDING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A CIVIL COMPLAINT SENDING HEARD YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A CIVIL COMPLAINT SENDING HEARD YOU WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A CIVIL COMPLAINT SENDING HEARD YOU.

1. If you wish to defend this lawsuit, you must, within 20 days after this Summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, file with the Clerk of the Court a written pleading in response to this Complaint. 2 Unless you respond, a default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and this Court may enter a Judgment against you for the relief requested in the complaint. 3. If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time. 4. You are required to serve your response upon plaintiff's Adam McMillen.

Watson Rounds, 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, Nevada 89511.

Date August 11, 2011.

ALAN GLOVER Clerk of Court. By C. COOPER, Deputy Clerk PUB: October 7, 14, 21, 28, 2011

LY Review-Journal

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME THIS, THE

2011.

Notary Public

MARY A. LEE Notary Public State of Nevada No. 09-8941-1 My appt. exp. Nov. 13, 2012

RENO NEWSPAPERS INC

Publishers of

Reno Gazette-Journal

955 Kuenzli St • P.O. Box 22,000 • Reno, NV 89520 • 775.788.6200 Legal Advertising Office 775.788.6394

WATSON ROUNDS 5371 KIETZKE LN RENO NV 89511-2083 Customer Acct# 310361 PO# ADD'L SUMMONS ON AME Ad# 1000763513 Legal Ad Cost \$442.24

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF WASHOE

Being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That as the legal clerk of the Reno Gazette-Journal, a daily newspaper of general circulation published in Reno, Washoe County, State of Nevada, that the notice referenced below has published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper between the dates: 09/16/2011 - 10/07/2011, for exact publication dates please see last line of Proof of Publication below.

Subscribed and sworn to before me

Signed:

OCT 0 7 2011

Notar Appoint No: 09-

STACEY GOMES
Notary Public - State of Nevada

Appointment Recorded in Washoe County
No: 09-10505-2 - Expires July 22, 2013

Proof of Publication

SUMMONS On Amended Complaint IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR CARSON CITY No. 090C00579 1 B Dept No. 1 JED MARGOLIN, an individual, Plaintiff, v. OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation, OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZAN DIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI, aka G.REZA JAZI aka CHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11 -20, and DOE Individuals 21-30, Defendants, THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO: OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada corporation NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THIS ACTION IS BROUGHT TO RECOVER DAMAGES AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANTS' FRAUDULENT ASSIGNMENT OF DOCUMENTS RELATING TO PATENT NO, 'S 5,566,073, 5,904,724 AND 5,978,488 AS MORE FULLY STATED IN THE COMPLAINT, THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS, READ THE INFORMATION BELOW. TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil complaint or petition has been filed by the plaintiff(s) against you. 1, If you wish to defend this lawsuit, you must, within 20 days after this summons is served on you,

Ad Number: 1000763513

Page 1 of 2

exclusive of the day of service, file with the Clerk of the Court a written pleading in response to this Complaint. 2, Unless you respond, a default will be entered upon application of the plaintiff(s) and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the complaint', which could result in the taking of money or property or the relief requested in the Complaint. 3, If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time, 4, You are required to serve your response upon plaintiffs attorney, whose address is: Matthew D. Francis Adam McMillen Watson Rounds 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, Nevada 89511 Date: August 11, 2011 /s/ALAN GLOVER Clerk of Court Deputy Clerk No. 763513 Sept 16, 23, 30, Oct 7, 2011

SUMMONS On Amended Complaint In the first Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada In and for Carson City

No. 090C00579 1 B Dept No. 1

JED MARGOLIN, an individual,

v.
OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation, OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIAN AKI AKA REZA JAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZAN DIAN aka REZA JAZI aka I. REZA JAZI, aka GREZA JAZI aka CHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30,

THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO: OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, A Nevada corporation NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED, THIS ACTION IS BROUGHT. TO. RECOVER DAMAGES AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANTS' FRAUDULENT ASSIGNMENT OF DOCUMENTS RELATING. TO PATENT NO.'S 5,566,073, 5,904,724 AND 5,978,488 AS MORE FULLY STATED IN THE COMPLAINT, THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR. BEING HEARD UNLESS. YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS, READ THE HOFOMATION BELOW. THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO: OPTIMA

TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil complaint or petition has

been filed by the plaintiff(s) against you.

If you wish to defend this lawsuit, you must, within 20 days after this summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, file with the Clerk of the Court a written pleading in response to this Complaint.

I hales you respond a default will be entered upon an

2. Unless you respond, a default will be entered upon application of the plaintiff(s) and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the complaint', which could result in the taking of money or prop-erty or the relief requested in the Complaint, 3, If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this

matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time,
4. You are required to serve your response upon plaintiffs

attorney, whose address is:

Matthew D. Francis
Adam McMillen
Watson Rounds Adam McMillen
Watson Rounds
5371 Kietzke Lane
Reno, Nevada 89511
Date: August 11, 2011
/s/ALAN GLOVER
Clerk of Court
Deputy Clerk

Ad Number: 1000763513

No. 763513 Sept 16, 23, 30, 0ct 7, 2011

The San Diego



Summons on Amended Complaint

No. 090C00579 18 Dept No. 1

In the First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for Carson City

JED MARGOLIN, an individual, Plaintiff,

DA TINGS

Matthew D. Francis Adam McMillen Watson Rounds \$371 Kletzke Lane Reno, Nevada 8951

ALAN GLOVER Clerk of Court

By C. Cooper, Deputy Clark

Date August 11, 2011

Union-Tribune. SIGNON

P.O. Box 120191, San Diego, CA 92112-0191

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

WATSON ROUNDS 5371 KIETZKE LANE RENO, NV 89511

STATE OF CALIFORNIA ss. County of San Diego}

The Undersigned, declares under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California: That she is a resident of the County of San Diego. That she is and at all times herein mentioned was a citizen of the United States, over the age of twenty-one years, and that she is not a party to, nor interested in the above entitled matter; that she is Chief Clerk for the publisher of

The San Diego Union-Tribune

a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, and which newspaper is published for the dissemination of local news and intelligence of a general character, and which newspaper at all the times herein mentioned had and still has a bona fide subscription list of paying subscribers, and which newspaper has been established, printed and published at regular intervals in the said City of San Diego, County of San Diego, for a period exceeding one year next preceding the date of publication of the notice hereinafter referred to, and which newspaper is not devoted to nor published for the interests, entertainment or instruction of a particular class, profession, trade, calling, race, or denomination, or any number of same; that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in said newspaper in accordance with the instructions of the person(s) requesting publication, and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

Sep 23, 2011, Sep 30, 2011, Oct 7, 2011, Oct 14, 2011

Affidavit of Publication of

Legal Advertisement Ad# 0010554081 ORDERED BY: CARLA OUSBY

REC'D & FILED

No. <u>090C00579 1B</u>

Dept No. <u>I</u>

2011 NOV -7 PH 12: 48

ALAN GLOVER
BY STEERN

In the First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for Carson City

JED MARGOLIN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

٧.

SUMMONS on Amended Comp

OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation, OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI, aka G. REZA JAZI aka CHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30,

Defendants.

THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO: OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THIS ACTION IS BROUGHT TO RECOVER DAMAGES AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANTS' FRAUDULENT ASSIGNMENT OF DOCUMENTS RELATING TO PATENT NO.'S 5,566,073, 5,904,724 AND 5,978,488 AS MORE FULLY STATED IN THE COMPLAINT. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil complaint or petition has been filed by the plaintiff(s) against you.

1. If you wish to defend this lawsuit, you must, within 20 days after this summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, file with the Clerk of the Court a written pleading in response to this Complaint.

2. Unless you respond, a default will be entered upon application of the plaintiff(s) and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the complaint*, which could result in the taking of money or property or the relief requested in the Complaint.

3. If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.

4. You are required to serve your response upon plaintiff's attorney, whose address is

Matthew D. Francis Adam McMillen Watson Rounds 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, Nevada 89511

Clerk of Court

Deputy Clerk

____, 20___.

*Note - When served by publication, insert a brief statement of the object of the action. See Rule 4.

RETURN OF SERVICE ON REVERSE SIDE

AFFP DISTRICT COURT Clark County, Nevada

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF NEVADA) COUNTY OF CLARK)

Stacey M. Lewis, being 1st duly sworn, deposes and says: That she is the Legal Clerk for the Las Vegas Review-Journal and the Las Vegas Sun, daily newspapers regularly issued, published and circulated in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and that the advertisement, a true copy attached for,

WATSON ROUNDS

3983802WAT

7491280

was continuously published in said Las Vegas Review-Journal and / or Las Vegas Sun in 4 edition(s) of said newspaper issued from 10/07/2011 to 10/28/2011, on the following days:

> 10/07/2011 10/14/2011 10/21/2011 10/28/2011

THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO: OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION.

a Callfornia corporation
NOTICE: YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THIS ACTION IS BROUGHT TO RECOVER DAMAGES AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANTS FRAUDULENT ASSIGNMENT OR DOCUMENTS RELATING TO PATENT NO.'S 5,566,073, 5904,724 AND 5,978,488 AS MORE FULLY STATED IN THE COMPLAINT. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BEIOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil Complaint has been filed by the Plaintiff(s) against you.

1. If you wish to defend this lawsuit, you must, within 20 days after this Summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, file with the Clerk of the Court a written pleading in response to this Complaint. 2. Unless you respond, a default will be entered upon application of the Plaintiff(s) and this Court may enter a judgment, against you for the relief demanded in the complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or other relief requested in the Complaint. 3. If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response upon plaintiff's attorney, whose address is Matthew D. Francis.

Adam McMillen.

Watson Rounds, 5371 Kletzke Lane Reno, Nevada 89511.

Date August 11, 2011

ALAN GLOVER Clerk of Court By C. Cooper, Deputy Clerk PUB: October 7, 14, 21, 28, 2011

LV Review-Journal

BEFORE ME THIS, THE UBSCRIBED AND SWORN

2011.

Notary Public

MARY A. LEE Notary Public State of Nevada No. 09-8941-1 My appt exp. Nov. 13, 2012

RENO NEWSPAPERS INC

Publishers of

Reno Gazette-Journal

955 Kuenzli St • P.O. Box 22,000 • Reno, NV 89520 • 775.788.6200 Legal Advertising Office 775.788.6394

WATSON ROUNDS 5371 KIETZKE LN RENO NV 89511-2083 Customer Acct# 310361 PO# NO. 5457.01 Ad# 1000763508 Legal Ad Cost \$442.24

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF WASHOE

Being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That as the legal clerk of the Reno Gazette-Journal, a daily newspaper of general circulation published in Reno, Washoe County, State of Nevada, that the notice referenced below has published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper between the dates: 09/16/2011 - 10/07/2011, for exact publication dates please see last line of Proof of Publication below.

Subscribed and sworn to before me

Signed:

OCT 0 7 2011

STACEY GOMES
Notary Public - State of Nevada
Appointment Recorded in Washoe County
No: 09-10505-2 - Expires July 22, 2013

Proof of Publication

Add'l SUMMONS on Amended Comp In the First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for Carson City No. 090C00579 1 B Dept No. 1 JED MARGOLIN, an individual, Plaintiff, v. OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation, OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, Nevada corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZAN DIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI, aka G. REZA JAZI aka CHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30, Defendants. THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO: OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THIS ACTION IS BROUGHT TO RECOVER DAMAGES AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANTS' FRAUDULENT ASSIGNMENT OF DOCUMENTS RELATING TO PATENT NO. 'S 5,566,073, 5,904,724 AND 5,978,488 AS MORE FULLY STATED IN THE COMPLAINT. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW. TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil complaint or petition has been filed by the plaintiff(s) against you. 1. If you wish to defend this lawsuit, you must, within 20 days after this summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of

Ad Number: 1000763508

service, file with the Clerk of the Court a written pleading in response to this Complaint. 2. Unless you respond, a default will be entered upon application of the plaintiff(s) and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the complaint', which could result in the taking of money or property or the relief requested in the Complaint. 3. If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time. 4. You are required to serve your response upon plaintiffs attorney, whose address is: Matthew D. Francis Adam McMillen Watson Rounds 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, Nevada 89511 Date August 11, 2011 /s/ALAN GLOVER Clerk of Court Deputy Clerk No. 763508 Sept 16, 23, 30, Oct 7, 2011

> Add'I SUMMONS on Amended Comp In the First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada in and for Carson City

Dept No. 1

JED MARGOLIN, an individual,

v. Optima technology corporation, a California corporation, OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, Nevada corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZAN DIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI, aka G. REZA JAZI aka CHONONRE-ZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30,

THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO: OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation

NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THIS ACTION IS NOTICEL YOU ARVE BEEN SOED. THIS ACTION IS BROUGHT TO RECOVER DAMAGES AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANTS' FRAUDULENT ASSIGNMENT OF DOCUMENTS. RELATING TO PATENT NO.S. 5,566,073,5904,724 AND 5,978,488 AS MORE FULLY. STATED IN THE COMPLEXITY THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT, YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS, READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil complaint or petition has been filed by the plaintiff(s) against you.

1. If you wish to defend this lawsuit, you must, within 20 days after this summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, file with the Clerk of the Court a written pleading in response to this Complaint.

2. Unless you respond, a default will be entered upon ap-Dication of the plaintiff(s) and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the complaint, which could result in the taking of money or property or the relief requested in the Complaint.

3. If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.

4. You are required to serve your response upon plaintiffs

attorney, whose address is:

Matthew D. Francis Adam McMillen Watson Rounds 5371 Kietzke Lane 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, Nevada 89511 Date August 11, 2011

/s/ALAN GLOVER-Clerk of Court Deputy Clerk

No. 763508 Sept 16, 23, 30, Oct 7, 2011

The San Diego

Union-Tribune. SIGNON



P.O. Box 120191, San Diego, CA 92112-0191

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

WATSON ROUNDS 5371 KIETZKE LANE RENO, NV 89511

STATE OF CALIFORNIA ss. County of San Diego}

The Undersigned, declares under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California: That she is a resident of the County of San Diego. That she is and at all times herein mentioned was a citizen of the United States, over the age of twenty-one years, and that she is not a party to, nor interested in the above entitled matter; that she is Chief Clerk for the publisher of

The San Diego Union-Tribune

a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, and which newspaper is published for the dissemination of local news and intelligence of a general character, and which newspaper at all the times herein mentioned had and still has a bona fide subscription list of paying subscribers, and which newspaper has been established, printed and published at regular intervals in the said City of San Diego, County of San Diego, for a period exceeding one year next preceding the date of publication of the notice hereinafter referred to, and which newspaper is not devoted to nor published for the interests, entertainment or instruction of a particular class, profession, trade, calling, race, or denomination, or any number of same; that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in said newspaper in accordance with the instructions of the person(s) requesting publication, and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

Sep 23, 2011, Sep 30, 2011, Oct 7, 2011, Oct 14, 2011

Chief Clerk for the Publisher

Affidavit of Publication of

Legal Advertisement Ad# 0010553922 ORDERED BY: CARLA OUSBY

Amended Complaint No. 090C00579 15

Dept No. 1

JED MARGOLIN, an individual, Plaintiff,

ALAN GLOVER Clerk of Court

By C. Cooper, Deputy Clerk

Date August 11, 2011

REC'D & FILED

No. <u>090C00579 1B</u>	2011 NOV -7 PM 12: 4
Dept No	
	ALAN GLOVER
In the First Judicial District	Court of the State of Nevada DEPUTY
	Carson City
JED MARGOLIN, an individual,	
Plaintiff,	47461
V.	SUMMONS OV
•	bebran4
OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation, OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a	Complaint
Nevada corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA	1471
aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZI aka CHONONREZA	
ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOI	=
Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30, Defendants.	
THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO: REZ	/ A ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM
	aka G. REZA JAZI aka CHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI
THE DEFENDANTS' FRAUDULENT ASSIGNMENT OF 15,904,724 AND 5,978,488 AS MORE FULLY STA	BROUGHT TO RECOVER DAMAGES AS A RESULT OF DOCUMENTS RELATING TO PATENT NO.'S 5,566,073, ATED IN THE COMPLAINT. THE COURT MAY DECIDE LESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE
service, file with the Clerk of the Court a written pleading in resp 2. Unless you respond, a default will be entered upon applicat	ys after this summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of
,	you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed or
time. 4. You are required to serve your response upon plaintiff's atto	whose address is
4. For the required to serve your response upon plantin s alto	mey, whose address is
Matthew D. Francis	ALAN GLOVER
Adam McMillen	Clerk of Court
Watson Rounds 5371 Kietzke Lane	By Sa
Reno, Nevada 89511	Deputy Clerk
Date	

*Note – When served by publication, insert a brief statement of the object of the action. See Rule 4.

RETURN OF SERVICE ON REVERSE SIDE

AFFP DISTRICT COURT Clark County, Nevada

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

STATE OF NEVADA) COUNTY OF CLARK)

Stacey M. Lewis, being 1st duly sworn, deposes and says: That she is the Legal Clerk for the Las Vegas Review-Journal and the Las Vegas Sun, daily newspapers regularly issued, published and circulated in the City of Las Vegas, County of Clark, State of Nevada, and that the advertisement, a true copy attached for,

WATSON ROUNDS

3983802WAT

7491351

was continuously published in said Las Vegas Review-Journal and / or Las Vegas Sun in 4 edition(s) of said newspaper issued from 10/07/2011 to 10/28/2011, on the following days:

> 10/07/2011 10/14/2011 10/21/2011 10/28/2011

NO. 090C00579 1B DEPT. NO. 1
In the First Judicial District Court
of the State of Newada
in and for Carson City
JED MARGOLIN, an individual,
Plaintiff 'v. OPTIMA' TECHNOLOGY
CORPORATION, a California
corporation, OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY
CORPORATION, a Newada
corporation, AEZA ZANDIAN AKA
GOLAMREZA ZANDIANIAZI AKA
GOLAMREZA ZANDIAN AKA
REZA ZANDIAN AKA
JAZI AKA J. REZA JAZI, AKA G. REZA
JAZI AKA J. REZA JAZI, AKA
JAZI AKA J. REZA JAZI, AKA
JAZI AKA J. REZA JAZI, AKA
G. LAMREZA ZANDIAN
THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS
GRETINGS TO: REZA ZANDIANI AKA
GOLAMREZA ZANDIANIANI AKA
GOLAMREZA ZANDIANIANI AKA
GOLAMREZA ZANDIANIANIA AKA
GOLAMREZA ZANDIANIANIA AKA
GOLAMREZA ZANDIANIANIA AKA
JAZI AKA JAZI AKA G. REZA
JAZI AKA CHONONREZA ZANDIANI
JAZI
NOTICE: YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THIS

JAZI AKA J. RECA JAAL STANDIAN
JAZI AKA CHONONREZA ZANDIAN
JAZI NOTICE: YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THIS
ACTION IS BROUGHT TO RECOVER
ACTION IS BROUGHT TO RECOVER
BAMAGES AS A RESULT OF THE
DEFENDANTS FRAUDULENT ASSIGN.
MENT OF DOCUMENTS RELATING TO
PATENT NO.'S 5.556.073" 5.904.724
AND 5.978.488" AS MORE FULLY
STATED, IN THE COMPLAINT. THE
COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST, YOU
WITHOUT YOUR BEINIS! HEARD
UNITES YOU RESPOND WITHIN 28

COURT MAY DICIBE AGAINST. YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNIES YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A CIVIL COMPORT HE INFORMATION IN THE INFO

SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN BEFORE ME THIS, THE

Notary Public

2611.

MARY A. LEE Notary Public State of Nevada No. 09-8941-1

My appt. exp. Nov. 13, 2012

RENO NEWSPAPERS INC

Publishers of

Reno Gazette-Journal

955 Kuenzli St • P.O. Box 22,000 • Reno, NV 89520 • 775.788.6200 Legal Advertising Office 775.788.6394

WATSON ROUNDS 5371 KIETZKE LN RENO NV 89511-2083 Customer Acct# 310361 PO# NO. 5457.01 Ad# 1000763515 Legal Ad Cost \$455.20

STATE OF NEVADA COUNTY OF WASHOE

Being first duly sworn, deposes and says: That as the legal clerk of the Reno Gazette-Journal, a daily newspaper of general circulation published in Reno, Washoe County, State of Nevada, that the notice referenced below has published in each regular and entire issue of said newspaper between the dates: 09/16/2011 - 10/07/2011, for exact publication dates please see last line of Proof of Publication below.

Signed:

OCT 0 7 2011

Subscribed and sworn to before me STACEY GOMES

Notary Public - State of Nevada

Appointment Recorded in Washoe County

No: 09-10505-2 - Expires July 22, 2013

Proof of Publication

Additional SUMMONS on Amended Complaint IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR CARSON CITY No. 090C00579 1 B Dept No. 1 JED MARGOLIN, an individual, Plaintiff, v. OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation, OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZAN DIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZI aka CHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30, Defendants. THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO: REZA ZAN DIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZI aka CHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI NOTICE! YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THIS ACTION IS BROUGHT TO RECOVER DAMAGES AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANTS' FRAUDULENT ASSIGNMENT OF DOCUMENTS RELATING TO PATENT NO.'S 5,566,073, 5,904,724 AND 5,978,488 AS MORE FULLY STATED IN THE COMPLAINT. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHIN 20 DAYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW. TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil complaint or petition has been

Ad Number: 1000763515

Page 1 of 2

filed by the plainliff(s) against you. 1. If you wish to defend this lawsuit, you must, within 20 days after this summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, file with the Clerk of the Court a written pleading in response to this Complaint. 2. Unless you respond, a default will be entered upon application of the plaintiff(s) and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the complaint', which could result in the taking of money or property or the relief requested in the Complaint. 3. If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time. 4. You are required to serve your response upon plaintiffs attorney, whose address is: Matthew D. Francis Adam McMillen Watson Rounds 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, Nevada 89511 /s/ALAN GLOVER Clerk of Court Date: August 11, 2011 No. 763515 Sept 16, 23, 30, Oct 7, 2011

Additional SUMMONS on Amended Complaint IN THE FIRST JUDICIAL DISTRICT COURT OF THE STATE OF NEVADA IN AND FOR CARSON CITY

No. 090C00579 1 B Dept No. 1

JED MARGOLIN, an individual,

OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation, OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California Copporation, OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZAN DIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI aka GHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE individuals 21-30, Defendants Defendants.

THE STATE OF NEVADA SENDS GREETINGS TO: REZA ZAN DIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZI aka CHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI NOTICEI YOU HAVE BEEN SUED. THIS ACTION IS BROUGHT TO RECOVER DAMAGES AS A RESULT OF THE DEFENDANTS' FRAUDULENT ASSIGNMENT OF DOCUMENTS RELATING TO PATENT NO.'S 5,566,073, 5,904,724 AND 5,978,488 AS MORE FULLY STATED IN THE COMPLAINT. THE COURT MAY DECIDE AGAINST YOU WITHOUT YOUR BEING HEARD UNLESS YOU RESPOND WITHOUT NO AYS. READ THE INFORMATION BELOW.

TO THE DEFENDANT: A civil complaint or petition has been filed by the plainliff(s) against you.

1. If you wish to defend this lawsuit, you must, within 20

days after this summons is served on you, exclusive of the day of service, file with the Clerk of the Court a written pleading in response to this Complaint.

2. Unless you respond, a default will be entered upon ap-plication of the plaintiff(s) and this Court may enter a judgment against you for the relief demanded in the com-plaint, which could result in the taking of money or prop-erty or the relief requested in the Complaint.

3. If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed on time.

4. You are required to serve your response upon plaintiffs

attorney, whose address is:

Matthew D. Francis Adam McMillen Watson Rounds 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, Nevada 89511

/s/ALAN GLOVER Clerk of Court

Date: August 11, 2011

No. 763515 Sept 16, 23, 30, Oct 7, 2011

Ad Number: 1000763515

Union-Tribune.



Add'l Sommons on Amended Complaint

No. 090C00579 1 B

Dept No. 1

In the First Judicial TECS
District Court of the
State of Nevada
in and for Carson
City

JED MARGÖLIN, en individual, Plaintiff,

TO THE DEFEND-ANT: A civil com-plaint or petition has been filed by the plaintiff(s) against Court a wri pleading in respo to this Complaint 2. Unless you spond, a default

quested in the Complaint.
3. If you wish to seek the advice of an attorney in this matter, you should do so promptly so that your response may be filed an time.
4. You are required to serve your response company and the serve your response to the serve your response to serve your response to the server server that the server server that the server

sponse upon pron-tiffs attorney, whose address is

ALAN GLOVER Clerk of Court

The San Diego

P.O. Box 120191, San Diego, CA 92112-0191

AFFIDAVIT OF PUBLICATION

WATSON ROUNDS 5371 KIETZKE LANE RENO, NV 89511

STATE OF CALIFORNIA) ss. County of San Diego}

The Undersigned, declares under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California: That she is a resident of the County of San Diego. That she is and at all times herein mentioned was a citizen of the United States, over the age of twenty-one years, and that she is not a party to, nor interested in the above entitled matter; that she is Chief Clerk for the publisher of

The San Diego Union-Tribune

a newspaper of general circulation, printed and published daily in the City of San Diego, County of San Diego, and which newspaper is published for the dissemination of local news and intelligence of a general character, and which newspaper at all the times herein mentioned had and still has a bona fide subscription list of paying subscribers, and which newspaper has been established, printed and published at regular intervals in the said City of San Diego, County of San Diego, for a period exceeding one year next preceding the date of publication of the notice hereinafter referred to, and which newspaper is not devoted to nor published for the interests, entertainment or instruction of a particular class, profession, trade, calling, race, or denomination, or any number of same; that the notice of which the annexed is a printed copy, has been published in said newspaper in accordance with the instructions of the person(s) requesting publication, and not in any supplement thereof on the following dates, to wit:

Sep 23, 2011, Sep 30, 2011, Oct 7, 2011, Oct 14, 2011

Chief Clerk for the Publisher

Affidavit of Publication of

Legal Advertisement Ad# 0010554103 ORDERED BY: CARLA OUSBY

Matthew D. Francis (6978) 1 Adam P. McMillen (10678) 2 WATSON ROUNDS 5371 Kietzke Lane Reno, NV 89511 . 3 Telephone: 775-324-4100 4

Facsimile: 775-333-8171

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Attorneys for Plaintiff Jed Margolin

REC'D & FILED

2811 NOV -8 PM 1: 31

ALAN GLOVER

In The First Judicial District Court of the State of Nevada In and for Carson City

JED MARGOLIN, an individual,

Plaintiff,

vs.

OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California corporation, OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada corporation, REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZI aka GHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI, an individual, DOE Companies 1-10, DOE Corporations 11-20, and DOE Individuals 21-30,

Defendants.

Case No.: 090C00579 1B

Dept. No.: 1

AMENDED CERTIFICATE OF **SERVICE**

Pursuant to NRCP 5(b), I certify that I am an employee of Watson Rounds, and that on November 7, 2011, I deposited for mailing, in a sealed envelope, with first-class postage prepaid, a true and correct copy of each of the following documents: 1) Summons on Amended Complaint to OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a California Corporation with Affidavits of Service by Publication from the Las Vegas Review-Journal, the Reno Gazette-Journal, and the San Diego Union-Tribune; 2) Summons on Amended Complaint to OPTIMA TECHNOLOGY CORPORATION, a Nevada Corporation with

1	Affidavits of Service by Publication from the Las Vegas Review-Journal, the Reno Gazette-	
2	Journal, and the San Diego Union-Tribune; and 3) Summons on Amended Complaint to	
3	REZA ZANDIAN aka GOLAMREZA ZANDIANJAZI aka GHOLAM REZA ZANDIAN aka	
4	REZA JAZI aka J. REZA JAZI aka G. REZA JAZI aka CHONONREZA ZANDIAN JAZI	
5	with Affidavits of Service by Publication from the Las Vegas Review-Journal, the Reno	
6	Gazette-Journal, and the San Diego Union-Tribune to:	
7		
John Peter Lee John Peter Lee, Ltd. 830 Las Vegas Blvd. South Las Vegas, NV 89101	John Peter Lee, Ltd.	
	830 Las Vegas Blvd. South Las Vegas, NV 89101	
10		
11	Dated: November 7, 2011 Carla Ousby	
12	Caria Custy	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		