From: "Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center" <haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 10:47 AM

Subject: Receipt of request - Acknowledgement Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center

Dear Jed Margolin,

For the request submitted by you, Case Number 2010-04191-F is allotted against the Temporary Case Number 3986. Quote this number for reference in your future correspondence with the agency about the request.

Regards,

From: "Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center" <haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 10:47 AM

Subject: Receipt of request - Acknowledgement Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center

Dear Jed Margolin,

For the request submitted by you, Case Number 2010-04186-F is allotted against the Temporary Case Number 3981. Quote this number for reference in your future correspondence with the agency about the request.

Regards,

From: "Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center" <haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 10:47 AM

Subject: Receipt of request - Acknowledgement Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center

Dear Jed Margolin,

For the request submitted by you, Case Number 2010-04187-F is allotted against the Temporary Case Number 3982. Quote this number for reference in your future correspondence with the agency about the request.

Regards,

From: "Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center" <haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 10:47 AM

Subject: Receipt of request - Acknowledgement Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center

Dear Jed Margolin,

For the request submitted by you, Case Number 2010-04184-F is allotted against the Temporary Case Number 3978. Quote this number for reference in your future correspondence with the agency about the request.

Regards,

From: "Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center" <haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 10:47 AM

Subject: Receipt of request - Acknowledgement Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center

Dear Jed Margolin,

For the request submitted by you, Case Number 2010-04189-F is allotted against the Temporary Case Number 3984. Quote this number for reference in your future correspondence with the agency about the request.

Regards,

From: "Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center" <haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 10:47 AM

Subject: Receipt of request - Acknowledgement Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center

Dear Jed Margolin,

For the request submitted by you, Case Number 2010-04185-F is allotted against the Temporary Case Number 3979. Quote this number for reference in your future correspondence with the agency about the request.

Regards,

From: "Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center" <haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 10:47 AM

Subject: Receipt of request - Acknowledgement Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center

Dear Jed Margolin,

For the request submitted by you, Case Number 2010-04192-F is allotted against the Temporary Case Number 3987. Quote this number for reference in your future correspondence with the agency about the request.

Regards,

From: "Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center" <haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 10:47 AM

Subject: Receipt of request - Acknowledgement Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center

Dear Jed Margolin,

For the request submitted by you, Case Number 2010-04190-F is allotted against the Temporary Case Number 3985. Quote this number for reference in your future correspondence with the agency about the request.

Regards,

From: "Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center" <haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 10:47 AM

Subject: Receipt of request - Acknowledgement Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center

Dear Jed Margolin,

For the request submitted by you, Case Number 2010-04193-F is allotted against the Temporary Case Number 3988. Quote this number for reference in your future correspondence with the agency about the request.

Regards,

From: "Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center" <haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 10:47 AM

Subject: Receipt of request - Acknowledgement Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center

Dear Jed Margolin,

For the request submitted by you, Case Number 2010-04188-F is allotted against the Temporary Case Number 3983. Quote this number for reference in your future correspondence with the agency about the request.

Regards,

From: "Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center" <haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 11:15 AM

Subject: Receipt of request - Acknowledgement Air Force FOIA Requester Service Center

Dear Jed Margolin,

For the request submitted by you, Case Number 2010-04194-F is allotted against the Temporary Case Number 3991. Quote this number for reference in your future correspondence with the agency about the request.

Regards,



From: "AFSPC FOIA" <afspc.foia@peterson.af.mil>

Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 11:44 AM

Subject: AFSPC FOIA Request

Mr. Margolin,

I received three identical FOIA requests for Patrick AFB and I just want to confirm that there should only be one request. I will forward one request (2010-04191-F) onto Patrick AFB for processing and assume the other two (2010-04192-F & 2010-04193-F) are duplicates and close them out on 28 April, if I don't hear otherwise from you.

Thank you, Sherrie Crochunis AFSPC FOIA & Privacy Act Manager AFSPC/A6NK DSN 692-2503 COMM 719-554-2503



From: "Booher, Darrin L Civ USAF AFMC 88 CG/SCOKI" < Darrin.Booher@WPAFB.AF.MIL>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2010 4:44 AM Subject: Ref: FOIA request 2010-04185-F ST3

Mr. Margolin,

We received you FOIA request, dated 26 Apr 10, in our office at Wright-Patterson AFB, OH on 26 Apr 10. The control number assigned to your request is 2010-04185-F ST3. I am the analyst assigned to your request, please contact me if you have any questions. In your request you had requested a Fee Waiver, after consideration from our FOIA Manager, the following information is supplied:

"There is no contribution to an understanding of the subject by the general public, but rather a small segment of interested persons. Requestor doesn't appear to have the capability to, or intention to, disseminate this information to the general public. Furthermore, there is no significant contribution to the public if it were widely released. Therefore, the request for a waiver of fees is denied."

With that, DOD 5400.7/AF Supplement 1, states that a willingness to pay statement must be included:

Fee Issue: Request must indicate a willingness to pay fees associated with the processing of your request. As described in DoD Regulation 5400.7/Air Force Supplement, Paragraph C1.4.2. DoD FOIA Program, "Requestors should indicate a willingness to pay fees associated with the processing of their request or, in the alternative, why a waiver of fees may be appropriate." You will be contacted if the cost to provide the requested document(s) exceeds the amount authorized by you.

There are three fee categories:

- 1. Commercial: Search and review time is chargeable at \$44.00 per hour and reproduction is chargeable at fifteen cents per page.
- 2. Educational or Noncommercial Scientific Institution or News Media, or Union: The first 100 copies are free, additional copies are fifteen cents per page. No charge for search or review. News media must be able to justify that they are actively gathering news for an entity that is organized and operated to publish or broadcast news to the public, such as a publication contract.
- 3. All Others: The first 2 hours of search and review time are free. The remaining search and review time beyond 2 hours is chargeable at \$44.00 per hour. The first 100 pages are free. Reproduction beyond 100 pages is chargeable at fifteen cents per page.

Please respond to this correspondence indicating which fee category is appropriate for you and the dollar amount that you are willing to pay by 30 Apr 10, if you do not respond by this date, we will consider your request closed. In some instances (cost to provide requested documents

exceed \$250.00 or the requester has no prior payment history), payment may be requested before any documents are released to you.

DARRIN BOOHER, CIV, DAF FOIA Analyst 88 CS/SCOKIF 3810 Communications Blvd. Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433 (937) 257-2719 FAX:(937) 522-3096

DSN: 787-2719



From: "Jed Margolin" <jm@jmargolin.com>
To: <chris.kennerly@pentagon.af.mil>
Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2010 12:06 PM

Subject: Fw: Mr. Booher's email Dear Tech Sergeant Kennerly,

Thank you for the very nice conversation we had yesterday.

I received an email this morning from Mr. Darrin L. Booher at Wright Patterson this morning.

It was not so nice.

He wrote:

"There is no contribution to an understanding of the subject by the general public, but rather a small segment of interested persons. Requestor doesn't appear to have the capability to, or intention to, disseminate this information to the general public. Furthermore, there is no significant contribution to the public if it were widely released. Therefore, the request for a waiver of fees is denied."

He hasn't seen my article "How the United States Air Force Treats Independent Inventors." I have only now started writing it.

His statement, "Requestor doesn't appear to have the capability to, or intention to, disseminate this information to the general public[,]" suggests he hasn't seen my web site (www.jmargolin.com), and in particular, my article on NASA (How NASA Treats Independent Inventors).

Either that or he has decided to deliberately insult me.

I recommend he also visit my other Web sites: www.cordevistahoa.org and www.storeycountywindfarms.org

I set up these Web sites to address issues that are important to my County (Storey County, NV).

<u>www.cordevistahoa.org</u> documents how a Developer came into my County (population 4,600) and proposed to build housing for 50,000 people.

One of the issues was water. Water is always an issue here in the West, especially in Nevada. We don't have much. The Developer refused to say where he was going to get water for 50,000 people. He also was not honest about the issue of soil contamination from the site's previous owners, which included Curtis Wright and Aeroiet.

The Storey County Planning Commission publicly thanked me for putting up the Web site, saying it had helped them make their decision. (A grassroots movement against the project also helped.)

The Planning Commission turned down the Developer's application. The Storey County Commissioners accepted the Planning Commission's recommendations. The Developer sued Storey County. The Developer lost. The Developer appealed to the Nevada Supreme Court. Before the case was heard the Developer settled with the County. The Developer agreed to follow the existing zoning and the County agreed to allow for green energy development.

www.storeycountywindfarms.org contains the documents for a BLM project with Great Basin Wind to put up a wind farm of 69 enormous wind turbines. Some of them would be less than 1 mile from Virginia City.

(The entire town of Virginia City is a National Historic Landmark.)

Some of the towers would be less than 1 mile from my neighborhood, which Great Basin Wind left off their map.

The project appears to be stalled:

http://www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/carson city field/blm programs/planning/new comstock wind.html

Part of the reason for this may be because I showed that Great Basin Wind lied on their project application.

For Mr. Booher to question my abilities, my intentions, or my resolve is a mistake.

Mr. Booher's email follows.

Regards,

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: "Booher, Darrin L Civ USAF AFMC 88 CG/SCOKI" < Darrin.Booher@WPAFB.AF.MIL>

To: < im@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2010 4:44 AM

Subject: Ref: FOIA request 2010-04185-F ST3

Mr. Margolin,

We received you FOIA request, dated 26 Apr 10, in our office at Wright-Patterson AFB, OH on 26 Apr 10. The control number assigned to your request is 2010-04185-F ST3. I am the analyst assigned to your request, please contact me if you have any questions. In your request you had requested a Fee Waiver, after consideration from our FOIA Manager, the following information is supplied:

"There is no contribution to an understanding of the subject by the general public, but rather a small segment of interested persons. Requestor doesn't appear to have the capability to, or intention to, disseminate this information to the general public. Furthermore, there is no significant contribution to the public if it were widely released. Therefore, the request for a waiver of fees is denied."

With that, DOD 5400.7/AF Supplement 1, states that a willingness to pay statement must be included:

Fee Issue: Request must indicate a willingness to pay fees associated with the processing of your request. As described in DoD Regulation 5400.7/Air Force Supplement, Paragraph C1.4.2. DoD FOIA Program, "Requestors should indicate a willingness to pay fees associated with the processing of their request or, in the alternative, why a waiver of fees may be appropriate." You will be contacted if the cost to provide the requested document(s) exceeds the amount authorized by you.

There are three fee categories:

- 1. Commercial: Search and review time is chargeable at \$44.00 per hour and reproduction is chargeable at fifteen cents per page.
- 2. Educational or Noncommercial Scientific Institution or News Media, or Union: The first 100 copies are free, additional copies are fifteen

cents per page. No charge for search or review. News media must be able to justify that they are actively gathering news for an entity that is organized and operated to publish or broadcast news to the public, such as a publication contract.

3. All Others: The first 2 hours of search and review time are free. The remaining search and review time beyond 2 hours is chargeable at \$44.00 per hour. The first 100 pages are free. Reproduction beyond 100 pages is chargeable at fifteen cents per page.

Please respond to this correspondence indicating which fee category is appropriate for you and the dollar amount that you are willing to pay by 30 Apr 10, if you do not respond by this date, we will consider your request closed. In some instances (cost to provide requested documents exceed \$250.00 or the requester has no prior payment history), payment may be requested before any documents are released to you.

DARRIN BOOHER, CIV, DAF FOIA Analyst 88 CS/SCOKIF 3810 Communications Blvd. Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433 (937) 257-2719 FAX:(937) 522-3096 DSN: 787-2719



From: "Valliere, Shelly L Civ USAF AFSPC 45 SCS/SCX" <Shelly.Valliere@patrick.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Wednesday, April 28, 2010 4:28 AM

Attach: Ack Ltr.doc

Subject: FOIA Request - Case No. 2010-04191-F

Dear Mr. Margolin,

This memo is acknowledgement of receipt of your FOIA request (see attached memo).

Your request was received on 27 Apr 10. Your case has been assigned No 2010-04191-F. We will respond to your request by 24 May 10. Your fee waiver has been granted.

If you have any questions concerning your request, you may contact me at (321)494-2341. Please reference your assigned case number when making inquiries.

v/r

Shelly Valliere Wing FOIA/PA Manager 45 SCS/SCX (321)494-2341

TATES OF MISS

DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE 45TH SPACE WING (AFSPC)

28 Apr 10

45 SCS/SCX (FOIA) 1225 Jupiter Street, MS 8200 Patrick AFB FL 32925-3341

Mr. Jed Margolin 1981 Empire Road Reno NV 89521-7430

Dear Mr. Margolin,

This letter is an acknowledgement of receipt of your Freedom of Information Request for a copy of all documents relating to the use of Synthetic Vision in the project being reported as the X-37B and/or the X-40A; documents relating to AF's discussion of U.S. Patent 5,566,073, Pilot Aid Using a Synthetic Environment; documents relating to AF's discussion of U.S. Patent 5,904,724, Method and Apparatus for Remotely Piloting an Aircraft; documents relating to AF's discussion of pending U.S. Patent Application Publication Number 20080033604 (Serial Number 11/736,356), System and Method For Safely Flying Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in Civilian Airspace; documents relating to AF's discussion of Provisional U.S. Patent Application Serial Number 61/256,765, System and Method For Sensing Aircraft and Other Objects.

Your request was received on 27 Apr 10. Your case has been assigned Case No. 2010-04191-F. We will respond to your request by 24 May 10.

If you have any questions concerning your request, you may contact me at (321) 494-2341. Please reference your assigned case number when making inquiries.

Sincerely

//Signed// SHELLY L. VALLIERE Wing FOIA/PA Manager



From: "Jed Margolin" < jm@jmargolin.com>

To: "AFSPC FOIA" <afspc.foia@peterson.af.mil>

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 1:08 AM

Subject: Re: AFSPC FOIA Request

Dear Ms. Crochunis,

Short Version: The second request to AFMC Patrick AFB was because I lost my place on my list and submitted it twice.

The third request to Patrick is for AFNIC Patrick AFB which may be a separate facility requiring a separate FOIA Request. If it does, then there are two FOIA Requests.

Longer Version:

After I registered to file a FOIA Request on Sunday I found that the USAF FOIA Web site requires making a separate request to each Command.

There are 27 Commands to choose from, with no explanation of what they are: HAF, AFMC, AFRC, AFSOC, AFSPC, AMC, AFDW, AFOTEC, ACC, USAFE, AETC, PACAF,

USAFA, AFAA, AFCEE, AFNIC, AFHRA, AFISR, AFOSI, AFPC, AFSVA, AFTAC, ANG,

AFSC, USAFCENT, NASIC, AFGSC.

Perhaps you know what they are, but I am a civilian and I had to look up each one.

The ones I identified as likely commands that might have had something to do with the X-37B were HAF, AFMC, AFAA, AFNIC, AFHRA, and AFISR.

Then I discovered that if I filled in the form by cutting and pasting from a Microsoft Word document, editing the result -even a little bit- deleted large portions of text. Thus I did both parts of the form with attachments.

And then I discovered that, not only did I have to specify which Command, I had to specify which facility. By my count there are 129 separate facilities plus 101 ANG units. I felt it was unlikely that the X-37B was developed by the Air National Guard so that left only 129 facilities to look up. I looked up a number of them on Sunday.

After I chose the first one I clicked on Save, and got the error message: "Internal Error Occurred, Close the browser and open new browser."

I tried that and got the same result.

After an email to <u>af.foia@pentagon.af.mi</u> (on Sunday the mailto: link was improperly formed) I was informed that the site worked only with Microsoft Internet Explorer and I needed to turn the pop-up blocker completely off.

On Monday morning I looked up the remaining 129 facilities and narrowed my list down to ten facilities.

After my first submission to AFMC Patrick I lost my place on the list and submitted a second once.

Then when I tried to submit the FOIA Request to AFNIC Patrick I discovered there is no AFNIC Patrick on the Web site list so I submitted it to AFMC Patrick again.

If AFNIC Patrick requires a separate request please send it to them.

Sincerely yours,

Jed Margolin

BTW -

- 1. Both Firefox and MSIE report a problem with your Web site's Security Certificate. It reports: "The security certificate presented by this website was not issued by a trusted certificate authority." I proceeded anyway.
- 2. I was not able to determine where AFRL is. One Web site says ROME NY but I don't see it on the list. http://www.wpafb.af.mil/news/story.asp?id=123198513
- 3. Several of the FOIA Requests I submitted have been closed. I assume it is because in my conversation with TSgt Kennerly he said that the Pentagon would be handling it.

---- Original Message -----

From: "AFSPC FOIA" <afspc.foia@peterson.af.mil>

Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 11:44 AM

Subject: AFSPC FOIA Request

> Mr. Margolin,

>

- > I received three identical FOIA requests for Patrick AFB and I just want
- > 10
- > confirm that there should only be one request. I will forward one request

```
> (2010-04191-F) onto Patrick AFB for processing and assume the other two
> (2010-04192-F & 2010-04193-F) are duplicates and close them out on 28
> April,
> if I don't hear otherwise from you.
>
> Thank you,
> Sherrie Crochunis
> AFSPC FOIA & Privacy Act Manager
> AFSPC/A6NK
> DSN 692-2503
> COMM 719-554-2503
>
```

>



From: "AFSPC FOIA" <afspc.foia@peterson.af.mil>

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:17 AM Subject: RE: AFSPC FOIA Request

Mr. Margolin,

I can definitely understand your confusion and frustration if you're not familiar with the AF structure.

AFNIC is at Scott AFB in Illinois, so I'm a little confused when you say AFNIC Patrick, because Patrick AFB is in Florida. AFNIC belongs to AF Space Command (AFSPC) and Patrick AFB belongs to AF Space Command (AFSPC), but I believe what you are looking for might be found at Patrick AFB, that would be my best guess between the two bases. I have already forwarded your original request to Patrick AFB and they are already in the process of working the request. If you concur we will just process the one request at Patrick AFB and close the other two. Please confirm.

Thank you, Sherrie Crochunis AFSPC FOIA & Privacy Act Manager AFSPC/A6NK DSN 692-2503 COMM 719-554-2503

-----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 2:09 AM

To: AFSPC FOIA

Subject: Re: AFSPC FOIA Request

Dear Ms. Crochunis,

Short Version: The second request to AFMC Patrick AFB was because I lost my place on my list and submitted it twice.

The third request to Patrick is for AFNIC Patrick AFB which may be a separate facility requiring a separate FOIA Request. If it does, then there are two FOIA Requests.

Longer Version:

After I registered to file a FOIA Request on Sunday I found that the USAF FOIA Web site requires making a separate request to each Command.

There are 27 Commands to choose from, with no explanation of what they are:

HAF, AFMC, AFRC, AFSOC, AFSPC, AMC, AFDW, AFOTEC, ACC, USAFE, AETC, PACAF, USAFA, AFAA, AFCEE, AFNIC, AFHRA, AFISR, AFOSI, AFPC, AFSVA, AFTAC, ANG, AFSC, USAFCENT, NASIC, AFGSC.

Perhaps you know what they are, but I am a civilian and I had to look up each one.

The ones I identified as likely commands that might have had something to do

with the X-37B were HAF, AFMC, AFAA, AFNIC, AFHRA, and AFISR.

Then I discovered that if I filled in the form by cutting and pasting from a

Microsoft Word document, editing the result -even a little bit- deleted large portions of text. Thus I did both parts of the form with attachments.

And then I discovered that, not only did I have to specify which Command, I had to specify which facility. By my count there are 129 separate facilities

plus 101 ANG units. I felt it was unlikely that the X-37B was developed by the Air National Guard so that left only 129 facilities to look up. I looked

up a number of them on Sunday.

After I chose the first one I clicked on Save, and got the error message: "Internal Error Occurred, Close the browser and open new browser."

I tried that and got the same result.

After an email to af.foia@pentagon.af.mi (on Sunday the mailto: link was improperly formed) I was informed that the site worked only with Microsoft Internet Explorer and I needed to turn the pop-up blocker completely off.

On Monday morning I looked up the remaining 129 facilities and narrowed my list down to ten facilities.

After my first submission to AFMC Patrick I lost my place on the list and submitted a second once.

Then when I tried to submit the FOIA Request to AFNIC Patrick I discovered there is no AFNIC Patrick on the Web site list so I submitted it to AFMC Patrick again.

If AFNIC Patrick requires a separate request please send it to them.

Sincerely yours,

Jed Margolin

BTW -

1. Both Firefox and MSIE report a problem with your Web site's Security Certificate. It reports: "The security certificate presented by this website

was not issued by a trusted certificate authority." I proceeded anyway.

2. I was not able to determine where AFRL is. One Web site says ROME NY but

I don't see it on the list. http://www.wpafb.af.mil/news/story.asp?id=123198513

3. Several of the FOIA Requests I submitted have been closed. I assume it is because in my conversation with TSgt Kennerly he said that the Pentagon would be handling it.

_____ ---- Original Message -----From: "AFSPC FOIA" <afspc.foia@peterson.af.mil> Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 11:44 AM Subject: AFSPC FOIA Request > Mr. Margolin, > I received three identical FOIA requests for Patrick AFB and I just want > confirm that there should only be one request. I will forward one request > (2010-04191-F) onto Patrick AFB for processing and assume the other two > (2010-04192-F & 2010-04193-F) are duplicates and close them out on 28 > April. > if I don't hear otherwise from you. > > Thank you, > Sherrie Crochunis > AFSPC FOIA & Privacy Act Manager > AFSPC/A6NK > DSN 692-2503 > COMM 719-554-2503



From: "Jed Margolin" <jm@jmargolin.com>

To: "AFSPC FOIA" <afspc.foia@peterson.af.mil>

Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 9:07 AM Subject: Re: AFSPC FOIA Request

Ms. Crochunis.

> I have already forwarded your original request to Patrick AFB and they are already

- > in the process of working the request. If you concur we will just process the one
- > request at Patrick AFB and close the other two. Please confirm.

Yes, I concur.

When I went to AFNIC's web site http://www.afnic.af.mil/ Air Force Network Integration Center, I learned from http://www.afnic.af.mil/main/welcome.asp

Directs the integration of systems onto the Air Force network to achieve integrated and interoperable Air Force concepts of operation capabilities.

Provides seamless connectivity for the command and control of air and space forces. Drives innovative information superiority solutions for Air Force warfighters by generating progressive standards, architectures, and force structure policies and guidance.

Advocates Air Force-wide communications and information planning, resourcing, testing, training, implementation, and sustainment.

The home page has the article: <u>Air Force officials launch Atlas V carrying X-37B Orbital</u> Test Vehicle

That looked promising.

I see now that it was under the heading: AFSPC News.

Oops.

When I went to the Patrick AFB Web site (http://www.patrick.af.mil/) I also saw the article (under Media Center)

45th Space Wing Launches Atlas V Carrying X-37B Orbital Test Vehicle
So I sent a request to them (I think.)

When I went to the Peterson AFB Web site (http://www.peterson.af.mil/) I again saw the article Air Force officials launch Atlas V carrying X-37B Orbital Test Vehicle | VIDEO

And I see now that it was also under the heading: AFSPC News. Oops again. Thank you for your patience. Regards, Jed Margolin ---- Original Message -----From: "AFSPC FOIA" <afspc.foia@peterson.af.mil> Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 6:17 AM Subject: RE: AFSPC FOIA Request > Mr. Margolin, > I can definitely understand your confusion and frustration if you're not > familiar with the AF structure. > AFNIC is at Scott AFB in Illinois, so I'm a little confused when you say > AFNIC Patrick, because Patrick AFB is in Florida. AFNIC belongs to AF Space > Command (AFSPC) and Patrick AFB belongs to AF Space Command (AFSPC), but I > believe what you are looking for might be found at Patrick AFB, that would > be my best guess between the two bases. I have already forwarded your > original request to Patrick AFB and they are already in the process of > working the request. If you concur we will just process the one request at > Patrick AFB and close the other two. Please confirm. > > Thank you, > Sherrie Crochunis > AFSPC FOIA & Privacy Act Manager > AFSPC/A6NK > DSN 692-2503 > COMM 719-554-2503 > ----Original Message-----> From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com] > Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2010 2:09 AM > To: AFSPC FOIA > Subject: Re: AFSPC FOIA Request > Dear Ms. Crochunis, > Short Version: The second request to AFMC Patrick AFB was because I lost my > place on my list and submitted it twice. > The third request to Patrick is for AFNIC Patrick AFB which may be a > separate facility requiring a separate FOIA Request. If it does, then there > are two FOIA Requests.

```
> Longer Version:
> After I registered to file a FOIA Request on Sunday I found that the USAF
> FOIA Web site requires making a separate request to each Command.
> There are 27 Commands to choose from, with no explanation of what they are:
> HAF, AFMC, AFRC, AFSOC, AFSPC, AMC, AFDW, AFOTEC, ACC, USAFE, AETC, PACAF,
> USAFA, AFAA, AFCEE, AFNIC, AFHRA, AFISR, AFOSI, AFPC, AFSVA, AFTAC, ANG,
> AFSC, USAFCENT, NASIC, AFGSC.
> Perhaps you know what they are, but I am a civilian and I had to look up
> each one.
> The ones I identified as likely commands that might have had something to do
> with the X-37B were HAF, AFMC, AFAA, AFNIC, AFHRA, and AFISR.
> Then I discovered that if I filled in the form by cutting and pasting from a
> Microsoft Word document, editing the result -even a little bit- deleted
> large portions of text. Thus I did both parts of the form with attachments.
> And then I discovered that, not only did I have to specify which Command, I
> had to specify which facility. By my count there are 129 separate facilities
> plus 101 ANG units. I felt it was unlikely that the X-37B was developed by
> the Air National Guard so that left only 129 facilities to look up. I looked
> up a number of them on Sunday.
> After I chose the first one I clicked on Save, and got the error message:
> "Internal Error Occurred, Close the browser and open new browser."
> I tried that and got the same result.
> After an email to af.foia@pentagon.af.mi (on Sunday the mailto: link was
> improperly formed) I was informed that the site worked only with Microsoft
> Internet Explorer and I needed to turn the pop-up blocker completely off.
> On Monday morning I looked up the remaining 129 facilities and narrowed my
> list down to ten facilities.
> After my first submission to AFMC Patrick I lost my place on the list and
> submitted a second once.
> Then when I tried to submit the FOIA Request to AFNIC Patrick I discovered
> there is no AFNIC Patrick on the Web site list so I submitted it to AFMC
> Patrick again.
> If AFNIC Patrick requires a separate request please send it to them.
>
> Sincerely yours,
> Jed Margolin
> BTW -
```

```
> 1. Both Firefox and MSIE report a problem with your Web site's Security
> Certificate. It reports: "The security certificate presented by this website
> was not issued by a trusted certificate authority." I proceeded anyway.
> 2. I was not able to determine where AFRL is. One Web site says ROME NY but
> I don't see it on the list.
> http://www.wpafb.af.mil/news/story.asp?id=123198513
> 3. Several of the FOIA Requests I submitted have been closed. I assume it
> is because in my conversation with TSgt Kennerly he said that the Pentagon
> would be handling it.
>
> ---- Original Message -----
> From: "AFSPC FOIA" <afspc.foia@peterson.af.mil>
> Sent: Monday, April 26, 2010 11:44 AM
> Subject: AFSPC FOIA Request
>> Mr. Margolin,
>> I received three identical FOIA requests for Patrick AFB and I just want
>> confirm that there should only be one request. I will forward one request
>> (2010-04191-F) onto Patrick AFB for processing and assume the other two
>> (2010-04192-F & 2010-04193-F) are duplicates and close them out on 28
>> April,
>> if I don't hear otherwise from you.
>>
>>
>> Thank you,
>> Sherrie Crochunis
>> AFSPC FOIA & Privacy Act Manager
>> AFSPC/A6NK
>> DSN 692-2503
>> COMM 719-554-2503
>>
>>
>>
```



From: "Booher, Darrin L Civ USAF AFMC 88 CG/SCOKI" < Darrin.Booher@WPAFB.AF.MIL>

"Jed Margolin" <margolin@jmargolin.com> To:

Monday, May 03, 2010 3:58 AM Sent:

RE: Read: FOIA request 2010-04185-F ST3 Subject:

Mr. Margolin,

Please consider this request closed.

DARRIN BOOHER, CIV, DAF FOIA Analyst 88 CS/SCOKIF 3810 Communications Blvd. Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433 (937) 257-2719 FAX:(937) 522-3096

DSN: 787-2719

----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:margolin@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2010 11:39 AM

To: Booher, Darrin L Civ USAF AFMC 88 CG/SCOKI Subject: Read: FOIA request 2010-04185-F ST3

This is a receipt for the mail you sent to < im@jmargolin.com > at 4/27/2010 4:44 AM

This receipt verifies that the message has been displayed on the recipient's computer at 4/27/2010 8:38 AM



From: "Carlo, Pennie L Civ USAF AFMC AFRL/RIOI" < Pennie.Carlo@rl.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 12:24 PM **Subject:** FOIA REQUEST 2010-04190-F

Good Afternoon Mr. Margolin,

I have reviewed the request you sent regarding the information below:

I would like all documents relating to the use of Synthetic Vision in the project being reported as the X-37B and/or the X-40A

I have been unable to find records responsive to your request. I have sent the request forward to legal, contracting and the mission divisions for evaluation. Unless you can send me a contract #, the name of a program manager, or some other defining information, we will be forced to close this request as no records found.

Please advise.

Thank you for your assistance. Pennie

Pennie Carlo, AFRL/RIOI Pubs & Forms Manager (315) 330-7786; DSN 587-7786



From: "Jed Margolin" < jm@jmargolin.com>

To: "Carlo, Pennie L Civ USAF AFMC AFRL/RIOI" < Pennie.Carlo@rl.af.mil>

Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 3:30 PM
Attach: jm_ris_dod.pdf; jm_ris_summary_ris.pdf
Subject: Re: FOIA REQUEST 2010-04190-F

> I have been unable to find records responsive to your request. I have sent the request forward to legal, contracting and the mission divisions for evaluation. Unless you can send me a contract #, the name of a program manager, or some other defining information, we will be forced to close this request as no records found.

Dear Pennie Carlo AFRL/RIOI,

The company *Rapid Imaging Software* produced the Synthetic Vision software for the X-38 when it was a NASA project. It is likely that Rapid Imaging Software would have also done Synthetic Vision for the X-37 / X37B / X-40.

The database at http://www.fedspending.org produces a number of results for the company "Rapid Imaging Software."

One is for a DOD project in FY2004. See attached file *jm_ris_dod.pdf*

I do not know which number is the contract number.

Since the X-37B was a black project the contracting may have been done through NASA or maybe even the Department of Transportation. See attached file **im ris summary.pdf**.

Or, since it was a black project, you may not have access to the information.

The existence of the project is not a secret anymore (http://latimesblogs.latimes.com/technology/robots/), only its purpose.

Synthetic Vision is not a secret. Synthetic Vision is already used on UAVs like the Shadow 200, as well as on commercial aircraft with the pilot onboard. See https://buy.garmin.com/shop/shop.do?pID=37630.

Mike Abernathy of Rapid Imaging Software has collaborated with AFRL on several papers relating to Synthetic Vision. For example,

from the paper **Synthetic vision system for improving unmanned aerial vehicle operator situation awareness**, page 3:

(http://www.dtic.mil/cgi-bin/GetTRDoc?

AD=ADA436272&Location=U2&doc=GetTRDoc.pdf):

2. COLLABORATION ON CANDIDATE UAV SYNTHETIC VISION SYSTEM

The Air Force Research Laboratory's Warfighter Interface Division has engaged in an undertaking to design

and evaluate the military utility of conformal interactive synthetic vision overlay concepts tailored to UAV operations.

Using detailed knowledge of current Air Force UAV operations along with established human factors design practices,

several initial interface concepts have been generated and supporting hardware architecture developed. In parallel,

Rapid Imaging Software, Inc., under a NASA research contract, has developed a synthetic vision product called the

SmartCam3D System (SCS) to improve the situation awareness of NASA UAV operators. The SCS has been

engineered to be tested in operational UAV environments and has been evaluated by operators during multiple flights of

the NASA X-38 UAV and the Army Shadow UAV. The present collaboration brings these two resources together to

design and evaluate tailored synthetic visual overlays for various Air Force UAV and C2 applications, including those

involving teleoperated and small UAV applications.

Did he do that for free?

Regards,

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: Carlo, Pennie L Civ USAF AFMC AFRL/RIOI

To: <u>jm@jmargolin.com</u>

Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 12:24 PM **Subject:** FOIA REQUEST 2010-04190-F

Good Afternoon Mr. Margolin,

I have reviewed the request you sent regarding the information below:

I would like all documents relating to the use of Synthetic Vision in the project being reported as the X-37B and/or the X-40A

I have been unable to find records responsive to your request. I have sent the request forward to legal, contracting and the mission divisions for evaluation. Unless you can send me a contract #, the name of a program manager, or some other defining information, we will be forced to close this request as no records found.

Please advise.

Thank you for your assistance.

Pennie

Pennie Carlo, AFRL/RIOI

Pubs & Forms Manager (315) 330-7786; DSN 587-7786



DoD Claimant Program Code	C9E
Principal NAICS Code	541710: Research and Development in the Physical, Engineering, and Life Sciences
Govt. Furnished Equipment or Property	No
Use of EPA Designated Products	Not Required
Sea Transportation	No
Contract Bundling Reason	Not a bundled requirement
Consolidated Contract	No

Record Information (Award) ?

Record Information (Award)				
Identifying Agency ID	9700: DEFENSE, Department of			
Procurement Instrument ID	FA865004M6553			
Modification Number	0			
Transaction Number	0			
Fiscal Year	2004			
XML Schema Version	1.2.1			

Competition Information (Award) ? (Award

Competition Information (Award) (Aw	aru #1)
Competition Category	Not competed
Extent Competed	C: Not Competed
Number of Offers Received	1
Pre-award Synopsis Requirement	Yes
Synopsis Waiver Exception	No
Alternative Advertising	No
Commercial Item Acquisition Procedures	No
Commercial Item Test Program	No
Small Business Compet. Demonstration Program	No
A-76 (FAIR Act) Action	No
Solicitation Procedures	SP1: Simplified Acquisition
Type of Set Aside	NONE: No set aside used.

Contract Marketing Data (Award) ?

Fee Paid For Use of IDV \$0

Contractor	Characteristics	(Award)	?	

Number of Employees	0
Annual Revenue	\$0
Small Business	Yes
8A Firm	No
Hist. Underutilized Business Zone (HUBZone) Firm	No
Small Disadvantaged Business	No
Sheltered Workshop (JWOD Provider)	No
Historically Black College or University	No
Educational Institution	No
Woman Owned Business	Yes
Veteran Owned Business	No
Service Disabled Veteran Owned Firm	No
Local Government	No
Minority Institution	No
American Indian Owned Business	No
State Government	No
Federal Government	No
Minority Owned Business	No
Asian-Pacific American Owned Business	No
Tribal Government	No
Black American Owned Business	No
Native American Owned Business	No
Subcontinent Asian (Asian-Indian) American Owned Business	No
Nonprofit Organization	No
Hispanic American Owned Business	No
Emerging Small Business	No
Hospital	No

Legislative Mandates (Award) (Award #1) Walsh-Healy Act No


Service Contract Act No Davis-Bacon Act No Clinger-Cohen Act No

Preference Programs (Award) ?

Business Size Determination	Small Business
Subcontracting Plan	Plan Not Required
Price Evaluation Percent Difference	0
Reason Not Awarded to Small Disadvantaged Business	Other Reason

Total transactions for fiscal year 2004: ${f 1}$


Total funding (within this search) for the year: \$88,141





Publishers, Inc. Eagle Eye also provided identification of parent companies and other data improvements.

ABOUT OMB WATCH | ABOUT THIS SITE | SITE MAP | CONTACT US



List of Individual Transaction for FY 2008

Total transactions for fiscal year 2008: 4

Total funding (within this search) for the year: $\$337,\!500$

Full and open competition \$0

Full and open competition, but only one bid \$0

Competition after exclusion of sources \$337,500

Follow-on contract \$0

Not available for competition \$0

Not competed \$0

Unknown \$0

List of Individual Transaction for FY 2007




List of Individual Transaction for FY 2006

Total transactions for fiscal year 2006: 1

Total funding (within this search) for the year: \$248,000

Competition summary for entire search for fiscal year 2006:



List of Individual Transaction for FY 2005


You can click on the column headers below to re-sort the search.

Amount	Parent Company Name	Major Agency	Product or Service	Date
\$117,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2005-07-26
\$75,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2004-12-16
\$0	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2005-05-06
\$0	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2005-02-11

Total transactions for fiscal year 2005: 4

Total funding (within this search) for the year: \$192,000

Competition summary for entire search for fiscal year 2005:



Not available for competition	\$0
Not competed	\$0
Unknown	\$0

List of Individual Transaction for FY 2004


You can click on the column headers below to re-sort the search.

Amount	Parent Company Name	Major Agency	Product or Service	Date
\$105,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2003-10-01
\$88,141	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	Dept. of Defense	Research and development	2004-09-10
\$70,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2004-01-14
\$60,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2003-10-01
\$60,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2003-10-01

Total transactions for fiscal year 2004: 5

Total funding (within this search) for the year: \$383,141

Competition summary for entire search for fiscal year 2004:



List of Individual Transaction for FY 2003


You can click on the column headers below to re-sort the search.

	Amount	Parent Company Name	Major Agency	Product or Service	Date
5	\$100,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2003-02-15
	\$100,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2003-04-15
	\$95,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2003-08-15

Total transactions for fiscal year 2003: 3

Total funding (within this search) for the year: \$295,000

 $\label{lem:competition} \mbox{Competition summary for entire search for fiscal year 2003:} \\$



List of Individual Transaction for FY 2002


You can click on the column headers below to re-sort the search.

Amount	Parent Company Name	Major Agency	Product or Service	Date
\$80,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2002-09-15
\$70,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2001-12-15

Total transactions for fiscal year 2002: 2

Total funding (within this search) for the year: \$150,000

Competition summary for entire search for fiscal year 2002:



List of Individual Transaction for FY 2001


You can click on the column headers below to re-sort the search.

Amount	Parent Company Name	Major Agency	Product or Service	Date
\$215,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2000-11-15
\$129,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2001-01-15
\$53,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2001-09-15

Total transactions for fiscal year 2001: 3

Total funding (within this search) for the year: \$397,000

Competition summary for entire search for fiscal year 2001:



List of Individual Transaction for FY 2000


You can click on the column headers below to re-sort the search.

Amount	Parent Company Name	Major Agency	Product or Service	Date
\$155,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	2000-02-15
\$101,000	RAPID IMAGING SOFTWARE IN	NASA	Research and development	1999-11-15

Total transactions for fiscal year 2000: 2

Total funding (within this search) for the year: \$256,000

Competition summary for entire search for fiscal year 2000:



END OF REPORT

This search was done on May 3, 2010.

The contracts database is compiled from government data last released on 05/28/2009 Federal Fiscal Year ALL GO Assigned Parent Company ID 286355 Sort By Dollar Amount of Transaction Number of records Only the first 500 for each year High (list of transactions) GO Level of Detail HTML Output

Search Criteria Used

▼ GO

This search result was produced as a project of **OMB Watch**. The data was obtained from

the Federal Procurement Data System (FPDS) - Next Generation and other federal government sources through Eagle Eye Publishers, Inc. Eagle Eye also provided identification of parent companies and other data improvements.

ABOUT OMB WATCH | ABOUT THIS SITE | SITE MAP | CONTACT US



From: "Valliere, Shelly L Civ USAF AFSPC 45 SCS/SCX" <Shelly.Valliere@patrick.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 8:20 AM Subject: Closure of FOIA Request 2010-04191-F

Dear Mr. Margolin,

The SAF FOIA office at the Pentagon will respond to your FOIA request (reference: Case No. 2010-04186-F). Therefore, we have closed Case No. 2010-04191-F here at Patrick AFB. All future inquiries/correspondence concerning Case No. 2010-04186-F should come from that office.

The FOIA Manager can be reached at (703)696-4929. There are no fees associated with Case No. 2010-04191-F.

If you have any questions, please call me at (321)494-2341.

v/r

Shelly Valliere Wing FOIA/PA Manager 45 SCS/SCX COMM: (321)494-2341

----Original Message-----

From: Valliere, Shelly L Civ USAF AFSPC 45 SCS/SCX

Sent: Wednesday, April 28, 2010 7:29 AM

To: 'im@imargolin.com'

Subject: FOIA Request - Case No. 2010-04191-F

Dear Mr. Margolin,

This memo is acknowledgement of receipt of your FOIA request (see attached memo).

Your request was received on 27 Apr 10. Your case has been assigned No 2010-04191-F. We will respond to your request by 24 May 10. Your fee waiver has been granted.

If you have any questions concerning your request, you may contact me at (321)494-2341. Please reference your assigned case number when making inquiries.

v/r

Shelly Valliere Wing FOIA/PA Manager 45 SCS/SCX (321)494-2341



From: "Carlo, Pennie L Civ USAF AFMC AFRL/RIOI" < Pennie.Carlo@rl.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Monday, May 24, 2010 11:40 AM

Subject: FOIA Request Good Afternoon Jed,

Thank you for taking the time to clarify your request. Could you please forward the documents we discussed, so that I can properly forward your request to the 711th at Wright-Patterson AFB. If instead you decide to specify your request into smaller more succinct pieces, please let me know as soon as possible that you would like to withdraw this request at this time.

Thank you for your patience. v/r
Pennie

Pennie Carlo, AFRL/RIOI Pubs & Forms Manager (315) 330-7786; DSN 587-7786



From: "Jed Margolin" < jm@jmargolin.com>

To: "Carlo, Pennie L Civ USAF AFMC AFRL/RIOI" < Pennie.Carlo@rl.af.mil>

Sent: Monday, May 24, 2010 12:04 PM

Attach: jm_patrickafb.pdf; jm_uspto_lr.pdf; jm_wpafb_booher1.pdf; jm_wpafb_booher2.pdf;

jm_wpafb_booher3.pdf; jm_ris_attorneys.pdf

Subject: Re: FOIA REQUEST 2010-04190-F

Dear Ms. Carlo,

It was a pleasure talking to you today.

I have attached the following emails for your files.

1. *jm_patrickafb.pdf*

Email from Shell Valliere, Patrick AFB, explaining that since the SAF FOIA office will be responding to my FOIA request she has closed the case at Patrick AFB.

2. *jm_uspto_lr.pdf*

The USPTO letter from the Department of Licensing and Review showing that patent application Serial Number 11726356 (Publication Number 20080033604) **System and Method For Safely Flying Unmanned Aerial Vehicles in Civilian Airspace** was sent to several agencies for a Security Review.

One of them was J.B. Schroeder at AFRL/VA.

3. *jm_wpafb_booher1.pdf*

Insulting email from Darrin Booher ((Booher, Darrin L Civ USAF AFMC 88 CG/SCOKI Darrin.Booher@WPAFB.AF.MIL) where he stated:

"There is no contribution to an understanding of the subject by the general public, but rather a small segment of interested persons. Requestor doesn't appear to have the capability to, or intention to, disseminate this information to the general public. Furthermore, there is no significant contribution to the public if it were widely released. Therefore, the request for a waiver of fees is denied."

4. *jm_wpafb_booher2.pdf*

My response to Mr. Booher.

5. *jm_wpafb_booher3.pdf*

Although Mr. Booher read my email he did not respond to it and closed my FOIA case at WPAFB.

6. I have brought a legal action against NASA for their refusal to comply with the Freedom of Information Act. My Second Amended Complaint may be instructive because it shows Mike Abernathy's (Rapid Imaging Software) involvement with NASA.

```
see doc016.pdf Motion For Leave to File Amended Complaint
see doc016-1.pdf Second Amended Complaint
see doc016-2.pdf Appendix Volume 1
see doc016-3.pdf Appendix Volume 2_1
see doc016-4.pdf Appendix Volume 2_2
see doc016-5.pdf Appendix Volume 3
```

For the past several years Mr. Abernathy has also been involved with AFRL. He listed AFRL researchers Mark Draper and Gloria Calhoun as coauthors in the article **Synthetic Vision Technology for Unmanned Systems: Looking Back and Looking Forward** which appeared in the December 2008 issue of AUVSI's Unmanned Systems magazine. The article is a spurious history of Synthetic Vision.

The AUVSI article and my response are available at http://www.jmargolin.com/svr/auvsi response index.htm

7. *jm_ris_attorneys.pdf*

AFRL may be interested in a letter that Mr. Abernathy's attorneys sent to Optima Technology Group in October 2006.

In it he says:

As you know. RIS refuses to allow its products to be used as a pilot aid, and RIS product licenses specifically prohibit use for piloting. None of RIS's customers use its software for piloting, for very good reason. Serious military regulations control placement of anything - synthetic vision included in a pilot workstation. Before anything can be placed on the display in front of a pilot, it has to have met stringent criteria (MIL-STD 1787C, DO-178B, etc.), it must have been thoroughly ground tested, and it must have been fully flight tested. RIS software has never been through this process, and thus is prohibited. from use for piloting. Accordingly, UAV manufacturers have purchased RIS products for use on the sensor operator console, but none for the pilot console. This is a matter of Army doctrine and applies to Shadow, Warrior and Hunter.

If AF is using Mr. Abernathy's software you might want to ask him if he has rewritten it to meet the standards mentioned in the letter.

Sincerely yours,

Jed Margolin 1981 Empire Rd. Reno, NV

775-847-7845

From: "Valliere, Shelly L Civ USAF AFSPC 45 SCS/SCX" <Shelly.Valliere@patrick.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 8:20 AM Subject: Closure of FOIA Request 2010-04191-F

Dear Mr. Margolin,

The SAF FOIA office at the Pentagon will respond to your FOIA request (reference: Case No. 2010-04186-F). Therefore, we have closed Case No. 2010-04191-F here at Patrick AFB. All future inquiries/correspondence concerning Case No. 2010-04186-F should come from that office.

The FOIA Manager can be reached at (703)696-4929. There are no fees associated with Case No. 2010-04191-F.

If you have any questions, please call me at (321)494-2341.

v/r

Shelly Valliere Wing FOIA/PA Manager 45 SCS/SCX COMM: (321)494-2341

----Original Message-----

From: Valliere, Shelly L Civ USAF AFSPC 45 SCS/SCX

Sent: Wednesday, April 28, 2010 7:29 AM

To: 'im@imargolin.com'

Subject: FOIA Request - Case No. 2010-04191-F

Dear Mr. Margolin,

This memo is acknowledgement of receipt of your FOIA request (see attached memo).

Your request was received on 27 Apr 10. Your case has been assigned No 2010-04191-F. We will respond to your request by 24 May 10. Your fee waiver has been granted.

If you have any questions concerning your request, you may contact me at (321)494-2341. Please reference your assigned case number when making inquiries.

v/r

Shelly Valliere Wing FOIA/PA Manager 45 SCS/SCX (321)494-2341

SUTIN THAYER BROWNE

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION LAWYERS

IRWIN S. MOISE (1906-1984)
LEWIS R. SUTIN (1908-1992)
FRANKILIN JONES (1913-1994)
RAYMOND W. SCHOWERS (1948-1995)
GRAHAM BROWNE (1935-2003)
BENJAMIN ALLISON
C. SHANNON BACON
PAUL BARDACKE
CHRISTINA BISSIAS
ANNE P. BROWNE
SUZANNE WOOD BRUCKNER
CRISTY J. CARBON-GAUL
MARK CHAIKEN
SUSAN G. CHAPPELL
GERMAINE R. CHAPPELLE
MARIA MONTOYA CHAVEZ
SAUL COHEN

MICHAEL J. GOLDEN
GAIL GOTTLIEB
SUSAN M. HAPKA
HELEN HECHT
JAY D. HERTZ
ROBERT G. HEYMAN
CHRISTOPHER A. HOLLAND
HENRY A. KELLY
KERRY C. KIERNAN
PETER S. KIERST
RACHEL S. KING
TWILA B. LARKIN
DEREK V. LARSON
STEVAN DOUGLAS LOONEY
ELIZABETH J. MEDINA
VICTOR P. MONTOYA
JEAN C. MOORE

SARITA NAIR
TONYA M. OLIVER
MICHELLE K. OSTRYE
JULIA L. PETERS
JAY D. ROSENBLUM
FRANK C. SALAZAR
RONALD SEGEL
RAY H. SHOLLENBARGER
ANDREW J. SIMONS
JEANNE Y. SOHN
MICHAEL G. SUTIN
NORMAN S. THAYER
BENJAMIN E. THOMAS
ROBERT J. WERNER
CHRISTINA S. WEST

TWO PARK SQUARE, SUITE 1000 6565 AMERICAS PARKWAY, N.E ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87110 POST OFFICE BOX 1945 ALBUQUERQUE, NEW MEXICO 87103 505-883-2500 FAX 505-888-6565

317 PASEO DE PERALTA SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87501 POST OFFICE BOX 2187 SANTA FE, NEW MEXICO 87504 505-988-5521 FAX 505-982-5297

WWW.SUTINFIRM.COM

October 13, 2006

Robert Adams, CEO Optima Technology Group 2222-1830 Michelson Dr. Irvine, CA 92612

Margolin Patent Nos. 5,566,073 and 5,904,724

Dear Mr. Adams:

We represent Rapid Imaging Software, Inc. (RIS), which has referred to us your letter of September 19, 2006. At the outset, we are unable to discern that Optima has an interest in the patents it attempts to assert. Assuming that it does, however, and has merely neglected to record its interests, RIS does not infringe the Margolin patents.

As you know, RIS creates computer software, and does not use or manufacture UAV systems or ground control stations. RIS software is used in UAVs to provide situation awareness for sensor operators. It is not used for piloting air vehicles. The sensor operator does not pilot the aircraft, and instead sits at a separate workstation operating a payload containing one or more cameras, which may be controlled using a joystick to point the camera package during search or tracking operations.

As you know, RIS refuses to allow its products to be used as a pilot aid, and RIS product licenses specifically prohibit use for piloting. None of RIS's customers use its software for piloting, for very good reason. Serious military regulations control placement of anything—synthetic vision included—on a pilot workstation. Before anything can be placed on the display in front of a pilot, it has to have met stringent criteria (MIL-STD 1787C, DO-178B, etc.), it must have been thoroughly ground tested, and it must have been fully flight tested. RIS software has never been through this process, and thus is prohibited from use for piloting. Accordingly, UAV manufacturers have purchased RIS products for use on the sensor operator console, but none for the pilot console. This is a matter of Army doctrine and applies to Shadow, Warrior and Hunter.

SUTIN THAYER W BROWNE

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION LAWYERS

Robert Adams, CEO October 13, 2006 Page 2

Nor does RIS have its software in a form that would make it marketable for piloting. RIS software products are all based on the Microsoft Windows operating system. This offers many advantages, but is inappropriate to piloting aircraft because it is a not a POSIX compliant real-time operating system. POSIX compliance is required by flight safety regulations. To create such a version would entail a one- to two-year conversion program in which RIS has not invested.

It is important to realize that the market for RIS products is quite different from the relaxed civilian world. If a military pilot chose to use synthetic vision in spite of military regulations or in defiance of a software license agreement, his career would be damaged or destroyed. Military pilots cherish their wings and would not consider risking them on something like synthetic version.

Finally, it appears from your correspondence that you regard research activities like NASA's X-38 prototypes (before the program was cancelled in 2002) as infringing the Margolin patents. This was not the case because of the claim limitations of the Margolin patents. However all RIS work for government agencies, including NASA, was authorized and consented to by the U.S. Government, and is protected under 28 U.S.C. § 1498(a). As you are aware, any remedies you may have are against the government and are circumscribed by that statute and related law.

Although we need not discuss the invalidity of the Margolin patents given the above circumstances, you should be aware that both patents were anticipated by profound prior art dating back to 1977. If it should ever become necessary, we are confident that both would be held invalid.

Very truly yours.

Benjamin Allison Santa Fe Office

BA:gmr Enclosures 841473

cc: Mike Abernathy

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE ACCESS ACKNOWLEDGEMENT / SECRECY ORDER RECOMMENDATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

Application Serial No: DP11736356

Filing Date:

Date Referred: 05/02/2007

I hereby acknowledge that the Department of Defense reviewers has inspected this application in administration of 35 USC 181 on befalf of the Agencies/Commands specified below. DoD reviewers will not divulge any information from this application for any purpose other than administration of 35 USC 181.

Defense Agency	Recommendation	Reviewer Name	Date Reviewed
Army	Secrecy Not Recommended	Alan Klein	09/04/2007
Air Force	Secrecy Not Recommended	Richard Lambert	10/10/2007
AFRL/VA		J.B. Schroeder	
Navy	Secrecy Not Recommended	Lawana Brady	08/24/2007

Type of Recommendations:	SNR: Secrecy Not Recommended
•	SR: Secrecy Recommended
	NC: No Comment

Instructions to Reviewers:

- 1. All DoD personnel reviewering this application will be listed on this form regardless of whether they are making a secrecy order recommendation.
- 2. This form will be forwarded to USPTO once all assigned DoD entities have provided their secrecy order recommendation.

Time for Completion of Review:

Pursuant to 35 USC 184, the subject matter of this application may be filed in a foreign country for the purposed of filing a patent application without a license anytime after the expriation of six (6) months from filing date unless the application becomes the subject of a secrecy order.

The USPTO publishes patent application at 18 months from the earliest claimed filing date. The USPTO will delay the publication of a patent application made available to a defense agency under 35 USC 181 until no earlier than 6 months from the filing date or 90 days from the date of referral to that agency. This application will be cleared for publication 6 months from the filing date or 90 days from the above Date Referred, whichever is later, unless a response is is provided to the USPTO regarding the necessary recommendations as to the imposition of a secrecy order.

DoD Completion of Review: Final

Forwarded to USPTO: 10/11/2007 By: Luis Marrero

From: "Booher, Darrin L Civ USAF AFMC 88 CG/SCOKI" < Darrin.Booher@WPAFB.AF.MIL>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2010 4:44 AM Subject: Ref: FOIA request 2010-04185-F ST3

Mr. Margolin,

We received you FOIA request, dated 26 Apr 10, in our office at Wright-Patterson AFB, OH on 26 Apr 10. The control number assigned to your request is 2010-04185-F ST3. I am the analyst assigned to your request, please contact me if you have any questions. In your request you had requested a Fee Waiver, after consideration from our FOIA Manager, the following information is supplied:

"There is no contribution to an understanding of the subject by the general public, but rather a small segment of interested persons. Requestor doesn't appear to have the capability to, or intention to, disseminate this information to the general public. Furthermore, there is no significant contribution to the public if it were widely released. Therefore, the request for a waiver of fees is denied."

With that, DOD 5400.7/AF Supplement 1, states that a willingness to pay statement must be included:

Fee Issue: Request must indicate a willingness to pay fees associated with the processing of your request. As described in DoD Regulation 5400.7/Air Force Supplement, Paragraph C1.4.2. DoD FOIA Program, "Requestors should indicate a willingness to pay fees associated with the processing of their request or, in the alternative, why a waiver of fees may be appropriate." You will be contacted if the cost to provide the requested document(s) exceeds the amount authorized by you.

There are three fee categories:

- 1. Commercial: Search and review time is chargeable at \$44.00 per hour and reproduction is chargeable at fifteen cents per page.
- 2. Educational or Noncommercial Scientific Institution or News Media, or Union: The first 100 copies are free, additional copies are fifteen cents per page. No charge for search or review. News media must be able to justify that they are actively gathering news for an entity that is organized and operated to publish or broadcast news to the public, such as a publication contract.
- 3. All Others: The first 2 hours of search and review time are free. The remaining search and review time beyond 2 hours is chargeable at \$44.00 per hour. The first 100 pages are free. Reproduction beyond 100 pages is chargeable at fifteen cents per page.

Please respond to this correspondence indicating which fee category is appropriate for you and the dollar amount that you are willing to pay by 30 Apr 10, if you do not respond by this date, we will consider your request closed. In some instances (cost to provide requested documents

exceed \$250.00 or the requester has no prior payment history), payment may be requested before any documents are released to you.

DARRIN BOOHER, CIV, DAF FOIA Analyst 88 CS/SCOKIF 3810 Communications Blvd. Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433 (937) 257-2719 FAX:(937) 522-3096

DSN: 787-2719

From: "Jed Margolin" < jm@jmargolin.com>

To: "Booher, Darrin L Civ USAF AFMC 88 CG/SCOKI" < Darrin.Booher@WPAFB.AF.MIL>

Cc: <chris.kennerly@pentagon.af.mil>
Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 7:50 AM

Subject: Re: Read: FOIA request 2010-04185-F ST3

---- Original Message -----

From: "Booher, Darrin L Civ USAF AFMC 88 CG/SCOKI" < Darrin.Booher@WPAFB.AF.MIL>

To: "Jed Margolin" < margolin@jmargolin.com >

Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 3:58 AM

Subject: RE: Read: FOIA request 2010-04185-F ST3

> Mr. Margolin,

> Please consider this request closed.

>

> DARRIN BOOHER, CIV, DAF

> FOIA Analyst > 88 CS/SCOKIF

> 3810 Communications Blvd.

> Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433

> (937) 257-2719

> FAX:(937) 522-3096

> DSN: 787-2719

>

Dear Mr. Booher.

I suggest you ask TSgt Kennerly if you really want to close my FOIA Request.

Jed Margolin

P.S. - Considering the amount of coverage the national media gave to the X-37B launch your statement that the subject appeals only to a "small segment of interested persons" is obviously wrong. See this article in the LA Times. The article was published even before the X-37B was launched. http://articles.latimes.com/2010/apr/21/business/la-fi-0422-space-plane-20100421

---- Original Message -----From: Jed Margolin

To: chris.kennerly@pentagon.af.mil Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2010 12:06 PM

Subject: Fw: Mr. Booher's email

Dear Tech Sergeant Kennerly,

Thank you for the very nice conversation we had yesterday.

I received an email this morning from Mr. Darrin L. Booher at Wright Patterson this morning.

It was not so nice.

He wrote:

"There is no contribution to an understanding of the subject by the general public, but rather a small segment of interested persons. Requestor doesn't appear to have the capability to, or intention to, disseminate this information to the general public. Furthermore, there is no significant contribution to the public if it were widely released. Therefore, the request for a waiver of fees is denied."

He hasn't seen my article "How the United States Air Force Treats Independent Inventors." I have only now started writing it.

His statement, "Requestor doesn't appear to have the capability to, or intention to, disseminate this information to the general public[,]" suggests he hasn't seen my web site (www.jmargolin.com), and in particular, my article on NASA (How NASA Treats Independent Inventors).

Either that or he has decided to deliberately insult me.

I recommend he also visit my other Web sites: www.cordevistahoa.org and www.storeycountywindfarms.org

I set up these Web sites to address issues that are important to my County (Storey County, NV).

<u>www.cordevistahoa.org</u> documents how a Developer came into my County (population 4,600) and proposed to build housing for 50,000 people.

One of the issues was water. Water is always an issue here in the West, especially in Nevada. We don't have much. The Developer refused to say where he was going to get water for 50,000 people. He also was not honest about the issue of soil contamination from the site's previous owners, which included Curtis Wright and Aerojet.

The Storey County Planning Commission publicly thanked me for putting up the Web site, saying it had helped them make their decision. (A grassroots movement against the project also helped.)

The Planning Commission turned down the Developer's application. The Storey County Commissioners accepted the Planning Commission's recommendations. The Developer sued Storey County. The Developer lost. The Developer appealed to the Nevada Supreme Court. Before the case was heard the Developer settled with the County. The Developer agreed to follow the existing zoning and the County agreed to allow for green energy development.

<u>www.storeycountywindfarms.org</u> contains the documents for a BLM project with Great Basin Wind to put up a wind farm of 69 enormous wind turbines. Some of them would be less than 1 mile from Virginia City. (The entire town of Virginia City is a National Historic Landmark.)

Some of the towers would be less than 1 mile from my neighborhood, which Great Basin Wind left off their map.

The project appears to be stalled:

http://www.blm.gov/nv/st/en/fo/carson city field/blm programs/planning/new comstock wind.html

Part of the reason for this may be because I showed that Great Basin Wind lied on their project application.

For Mr. Booher to question my abilities, my intentions, or my resolve is a mistake.

Mr. Booher's email follows.

Regards,

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: "Booher, Darrin L Civ USAF AFMC 88 CG/SCOKI" < Darrin.Booher@WPAFB.AF.MIL>

To: < im@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, April 27, 2010 4:44 AM

Subject: Ref: FOIA request 2010-04185-F ST3

Mr. Margolin,

We received you FOIA request, dated 26 Apr 10, in our office at Wright-Patterson AFB, OH on 26 Apr 10. The control number assigned to your request is 2010-04185-F ST3. I am the analyst assigned to your request, please contact me if you have any questions. In your request you had requested a Fee Waiver, after consideration from our FOIA Manager, the following information is supplied:

"There is no contribution to an understanding of the subject by the general public, but rather a small segment of interested persons. Requestor doesn't appear to have the capability to, or intention to, disseminate this information to the general public. Furthermore, there is no significant contribution to the public if it were widely released. Therefore, the request for a waiver of fees is denied."

With that, DOD 5400.7/AF Supplement 1, states that a willingness to pay statement must be included:

Fee Issue: Request must indicate a willingness to pay fees associated with the processing of your request. As described in DoD Regulation 5400.7/Air Force Supplement, Paragraph C1.4.2. DoD FOIA Program, "Requestors should indicate a willingness to pay fees associated with the processing of their request or, in the alternative, why a waiver of fees may be appropriate." You will be contacted if the cost to provide the requested document(s) exceeds the amount authorized by you.

There are three fee categories:

- 1. Commercial: Search and review time is chargeable at \$44.00 per hour and reproduction is chargeable at fifteen cents per page.
- 2. Educational or Noncommercial Scientific Institution or News Media, or Union: The first 100 copies are free, additional copies are fifteen cents per page. No charge for search or review. News media must be able to justify that they are actively gathering news for an entity that is organized and operated to publish or broadcast news to the public, such as a publication contract.
- 3. All Others: The first 2 hours of search and review time are free. The remaining search and review time beyond 2 hours is chargeable at \$44.00 per hour. The first 100 pages are free. Reproduction beyond 100 pages is chargeable at fifteen cents per page.

Please respond to this correspondence indicating which fee category is appropriate for you and the dollar amount that you are willing to pay by 30 Apr 10, if you do not respond by this date, we will consider your request closed. In some instances (cost to provide requested documents exceed \$250.00 or the requester has no prior payment history), payment

may be requested before any documents are released to you.

DARRIN BOOHER, CIV, DAF FOIA Analyst 88 CS/SCOKIF 3810 Communications Blvd. Wright-Patterson AFB OH 45433 (937) 257-2719 FAX:(937) 522-3096 DSN: 787-2719

From: "Booher, Darrin L Civ USAF AFMC 88 CG/SCOKI" < Darrin.Booher@WPAFB.AF.MIL>

Sent: Monday, May 03, 2010 7:53 AM

Attach: ATT14220.txt

Subject: Read: Read: FOIA request 2010-04185-F ST3

Your message

To: Booher, Darrin L Civ USAF AFMC 88 CG/SCOKI

Cc: Kennerly, Chris TSgt HAF/IMIO

Subject: Re: Read: FOIA request 2010-04185-F ST3

Sent: Mon, 3 May 2010 10:50:01 -0400

was read on Mon, 3 May 2010 10:53:32 -0400



From: "Jed Margolin" < jm@jmargolin.com>

To: "Carlo, Pennie L Civ USAF AFMC AFRL/RIOI" < Pennie.Carlo@rl.af.mil>

Sent: Tuesday, May 25, 2010 8:54 AM

Subject: Re: FOIA Request

Dear Pennie.

> If instead you decide to specify your request into smaller more succinct pieces, please let me know as soon as possible that you would like to withdraw this request at this time.

I would like to keep it as is. Breaking it in smaller pieces would only make more work for everyone.

Sincerely yours,

Jed

==========

---- Original Message -----

From: Carlo, Pennie L Civ USAF AFMC AFRL/RIOI

To: <u>im@jmargolin.com</u>

Sent: Monday, May 24, 2010 11:40 AM

Subject: FOIA Request

Good Afternoon Jed,

Thank you for taking the time to clarify your request. Could you please forward the documents we discussed, so that I can properly forward your request to the 711th at Wright-Patterson AFB. If instead you decide to specify your request into smaller more succinct pieces, please let me know as soon as possible that you would like to withdraw this request at this time.

Thank you for your patience.

v/r Pennie

Pennie Carlo, AFRL/RIOI Pubs & Forms Manager (315) 330-7786; DSN 587-7786



From: "Valliere, Shelly L Civ USAF AFSPC 45 SCS/SCX" <Shelly.Valliere@patrick.af.mil>

To: "jm@jmargolin.com" <'jm@jmargolin.com'>
Sent: Thursday, May 27, 2010 10:17 AM
Subject: Correction: FOIA Request 2010-04191-F

Mr. Margolin,

Mr. John Espinal, HAF/IMIO, is the Action Officer for case #2010-04186-F. He can be reached at (703)696-4929 if you have any questions. Sorry for the confusion.

v/r

Shelly Valliere Wing FOIA/PA Manager 45 SCS/SCX COMM (321) 494-2341

----Original Message-----

From: Valliere, Shelly L Civ USAF AFSPC 45 SCS/SCX

Sent: Wednesday, May 12, 2010 11:21 AM

To: 'jm@jmargolin.com'

Subject: Closure of FOIA Request 2010-04191-F

Dear Mr. Margolin,

The SAF FOIA office at the Pentagon will respond to your FOIA request (reference: Case No. 2010-04186-F). Therefore, we have closed Case No. 2010-04191-F here at Patrick AFB. All future inquiries/correspondence concerning Case No. 2010-04186-F should come from that office.

The FOIA Manager can be reached at (703)696-4929. There are no fees associated with Case No. 2010-04191-F.

If you have any questions, please call me at (321)494-2341.

v/r

Shelly Valliere Wing FOIA/PA Manager 45 SCS/SCX COMM: (321)494-2341

----Original Message----

From: Valliere, Shelly L Civ USAF AFSPC 45 SCS/SCX

Sent: Wednesday, April 28, 2010 7:29 AM

To: 'im@imargolin.com'

Subject: FOIA Request - Case No. 2010-04191-F

Dear Mr. Margolin,

This memo is acknowledgement of receipt of your FOIA request (see attached memo).

Your request was received on 27 Apr 10. Your case has been assigned No 2010-04191-F. We will respond to your request by 24 May 10. Your fee waiver has been granted.

If you have any questions concerning your request, you may contact me at (321)494-2341. Please reference your assigned case number when making inquiries.

v/r

Shelly Valliere Wing FOIA/PA Manager 45 SCS/SCX (321)494-2341



From: "Carlo, Pennie L Civ USAF AFMC AFRL/RIOI" < Pennie.Carlo@rl.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Friday, May 28, 2010 9:05 AM
Subject: FOIA case 2010-01490-F CLOSURE

Dear Mr. Margolin:

Upon transfer of your case #2010-04190-F, we were informed that this is a duplicate of case #2010-04842-F which is already being handled by HAF/IMIO. For this reason we will close case #2010-04190-F at the Rome FOIA office.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, call this office at (315) 330-7786 or e-mail us at the above address. Reference FOIA number 2010-04190-F when making inquiries.

Sincerely,

Pennie L. Carlo Freedom of Information Act Manager AFRL/RIOI

FOIA Case No: 2010-04190-F

Pennie Carlo, AFRL/RIOI Pubs & Forms Manager (315) 330-7786; DSN 587-7786



From: "Jed Margolin" <jm@jmargolin.com>

To: "Carlo, Pennie L'Civ USAF AFMC AFRL/RIOI" < Pennie. Carlo@rl.af.mil>

Sent: Friday, May 28, 2010 6:53 PM

Subject: Re: FOIA case 2010-01490-F CLOSURE

Dear Pennie.

- 1. I hope this doesn't end up with everyone thinking that someone else is handling it. :-
- 2. What does IMIO stand for?

Thank you for your help.

Regards,

Jed

---- Original Message ----

From: Carlo, Pennie L Civ USAF AFMC AFRL/RIOI

To: jm@jmargolin.com

Sent: Friday, May 28, 2010 9:05 AM

Subject: FOIA case 2010-01490-F CLOSURE

Dear Mr. Margolin:

Upon transfer of your case #2010-04190-F, we were informed that this is a duplicate of case #2010-04842-F which is already being handled by HAF/IMIO. For this reason we will close case #2010-04190-F at the Rome FOIA office.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, call this office at (315) 330-7786 or email us at the above address. Reference FOIA number 2010-04190-F when making inquiries.

Sincerely,

Pennie L. Carlo Freedom of Information Act Manager

AFRL/RIOI

FOIA Case No: 2010-04190-F

Pennie Carlo, AFRL/RIOI Pubs & Forms Manager (315) 330-7786; DSN 587-7786



From: "Carlo, Pennie L Civ USAF AFMC AFRL/RIOI" < Pennie.Carlo@rl.af.mil>

To: "Jed Margolin" <jm@jmargolin.com>
Sent: Tuesday, June 01, 2010 5:50 AM

Subject: RE: FOIA case 2010-01490-F CLOSURE

Dear Jed,

No it shouldn't mean that at all. The system is not designed to handle multiple identical requests. It creates duplication of services and serious government waste (something our citizens tend to frown upon).

The IMIO is just a branch designation at the HAF level. I would assume it's an Information Management branch.

I hope you find what you are looking for. Respectfully, Pennie

Pennie Carlo, AFRL/RIOI FOIA Manager (315) 330-7786; DSN 587-7786

-----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Friday, May 28, 2010 9:54 PM

To: Carlo, Pennie L Civ USAF AFMC AFRL/RIOI Subject: Re: FOIA case 2010-01490-F CLOSURE

Dear Pennie.

- 1. I hope this doesn't end up with everyone thinking that someone else is handling it. :-(
- 2. What does IMIO stand for?

Thank you for your help.

Regards,

Jed

----- Original Message -----

From: Carlo, Pennie L Civ USAF AFMC AFRL/RIOI

To: jm@jmargolin.com

Sent: Friday, May 28, 2010 9:05 AM

Subject: FOIA case 2010-01490-F CLOSURE

Dear Mr. Margolin:

Upon transfer of your case #2010-04190-F, we were informed that this is a duplicate of case #2010-04842-F which is already being handled by HAF/IMIO. For this reason we will close case #2010-04190-F at the Rome FOIA office.

If you have any questions concerning this matter, call this office at (315) 330-7786 or e-mail us at the above address. Reference FOIA number 2010-04190-F when making inquiries.

Sincerely,

Pennie L. Carlo Freedom of Information Act Manager

AFRL/RIOI

FOIA Case No: 2010-04190-F

Pennie Carlo, AFRL/RIOI Pubs & Forms Manager (315) 330-7786; DSN 587-7786



From:

To:

"Espinal, John" <haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil> <jm@jmargolin.com> Thursday, June 10, 2010 4:55 AM 2010-04186-F - Response Letter.pdf 2010-04186-F - Response Letter Sent: Attach: Subject:



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS, UNITED STATES AIR FORCE WASHINGTON, DC

10 June 2010

HAF/IMIO (FOIA) 1000 Air Force Pentagon Washington DC 20330-0100

Mr. Jed Margolin 1981 Empire Rd Reno NV 89521-7430

Dear Mr. Margolin

This is in response to your April 26, 2010, Freedom of Information Act request for information relating to the use of Synthetic Vision and various U.S. Patents.

The Office of The General Counsel, Intellectual Property Office conducted a proper search for records relating to Items 2 and 5 of your request; however, no information was found. Therefore, a no records determination was made.

Should you decide that an appeal to this decision is necessary, you must write to the Secretary of the Air Force, Thru: HAF/IMIO (FOIA), 1000 Air Force Pentagon, Washington DC 20330-1000 within 60 calendar days from the date of this letter. Include in the appeal, your reasons for reconsideration, and attach a copy of this letter.

Additionally, we requested assistance from the Air Force Historical Research Agency (AFHRA) and the Air Force Flight Test Center for Item 1 with negative results. AFHRA suggest you try NASA, if you have not done so already.

Please contact the undersigned at (703) 693-2736 should you have any questions and refer to case #2010-04186-F. There are no fees associated with the processing of this request in this instance.

Sincerely

JOHN M. ESPINAL FOIA Disclosure Officer



Date: 06/30/2010

Jed Margolin:

The following is in response to your 06/29/2010 request for delivery information on your Express Mail(R) item number EB34 7240 700U S. The delivery record shows that this item was delivered on 06/30/2010 at 11:49 AM in WASHINGTON, DC 20310 to M ULLOA. The scanned image of the recipient information is provided below.

Signature of Recipient:

MIRWA. ULLOA

Address of Recipient:

PENT. MISC. 20310

Thank you for selecting the Postal Service for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office or postal representative.

Sincerely,

United States Postal Service



From: "U.S. Postal Service " < U.S. Postal Service@usps.com>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Wednesday, June 30, 2010 11:53 AM

Attach: EB347240700US.pdf

Subject: USPS Proof of Delivery Info for EB34 7240 700U S This is a post-only message. Please do not respond.

Label Number: EB34 7240 700U S

Service Type: Express Mail(R)

Thank you for requesting a Proof of Delivery letter on your shipment.

Your Proof of Delivery letter is included in a PDF file attached to this email. You will need Adobe Acrobat Reader software to view the PDF file. Download Adobe Acrobat Reader for free by going to http://www.adobe.com/products/acrobat/readstep2.html.

If you have additional questions on Track & Confirm services and features or if you have difficulties viewing the attached file, please visit the Frequently Asked Questions (FAQs) section of our Track and Confirm site at http://www.usps.com/shipping/trackandconfirmfaqs.htm for more information.

Attachment: Proof of Delivery letter (PDF)

Results provided by the U.S. Postal Service.



From: "Jed Margolin" <jm@jmargolin.com> **To:** "Espinal, John" <haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

Sent: Monday, July 19, 2010 10:24 AM Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

I filed an appeal which USPS delivered on June 30, 2010.

According to the USAF FOIA web site no appeal was filed.

Did you receive the appeal?

Jed Margolin

----- Original Message ----- From: Espinal, John To: jm@jmargolin.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2010 4:55 AM Subject: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter



From: "Jed Margolin" <jm@jmargolin.com>

To: <af.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

Sent: Monday, July 19, 2010 11:31 AM jm_espinal.pdf; jm_usps_xmail.pdf

Subject: FOIA Appeal

Dear USAF,

I filed an appeal in Case #2010-04186-F which USPS delivered on June 30, 2010.

According to the USAF FOIA Web site no appeal was filed.

Did you receive the appeal?

See attached files:

- 1. Rejection from USAF with instructions to mail an appeal to: Secretary of the Air Force, Thru: HAF/IMIO (FOIA), 1000 Air Force Pentagon, Washington DC 20330-1000
- 2. USPS proof of delivery to that address.

Regards,

Jed Margolin



DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS, UNITED STATES AIR FORCE WASHINGTON, DC

10 June 2010

HAF/IMIO (FOIA) 1000 Air Force Pentagon Washington DC 20330-0100

Mr. Jed Margolin 1981 Empire Rd Reno NV 89521-7430

Dear Mr. Margolin

This is in response to your April 26, 2010, Freedom of Information Act request for information relating to the use of Synthetic Vision and various U.S. Patents.

The Office of The General Counsel, Intellectual Property Office conducted a proper search for records relating to Items 2 and 5 of your request; however, no information was found. Therefore, a no records determination was made.

Should you decide that an appeal to this decision is necessary, you must write to the Secretary of the Air Force, Thru: HAF/IMIO (FOIA), 1000 Air Force Pentagon, Washington DC 20330-1000 within 60 calendar days from the date of this letter. Include in the appeal, your reasons for reconsideration, and attach a copy of this letter.

Additionally, we requested assistance from the Air Force Historical Research Agency (AFHRA) and the Air Force Flight Test Center for Item 1 with negative results. AFHRA suggest you try NASA, if you have not done so already.

Please contact the undersigned at (703) 693-2736 should you have any questions and refer to case #2010-04186-F. There are no fees associated with the processing of this request in this instance.

Sincerely

JOHN M. ESPINAL FOIA Disclosure Officer



	10, 20		sceipt Fee		Insurance Fee	₩.	Total Postage & Feren		Acceptance Emp. Initials	C X
	Postage	\$	Return Receipt Fee	₩.	COD Fee	₩	Total Post	\$	Acceptan	
(ATN)		Next 2nd 2nd Del. Dey \$	Scheduled pate of Delivery) Š	Scheduled Time of Delivery COD Fee	3 PM		3rd Day	try Code	
ORIGIN (POSTAL SERVICE USE ONLY)	Day of Delivery	ext 🗌 2nd 🛚	eduled Date	J 9	eduled Time	Noon	ary	2nd Day	Int'l Alpha Country Code	
SERVIC	Day	P	Sch	Month	8ch		Military	<u> </u>	Int	
STAL				7 ~	Year	₹ □		T TE		028.
GIN (PC	PO ZIP Code	1	,		, de	Time Accepted		Flat Rate or Weight		Ď.
ORI	8				Mo.	Time ,		Flat	-	

42	
847-	30
	# #
22	
PHONE (775	Jed Margolin 1981 Empire Ad. Heno, NV 89521-7430
£	Margolin Empire NV 895
6	034
PRIM	rrgo imp1
EASE	E .
	818
FROM: (PLEASE PRINT)	Jed 1 1981 Keno,
, 44-	

FOR PICKUP OR TRACKING

WIELD WWW. LISPS, COM

Call 1-800-222-1811

ESS		
KPR	7/7/	
N E	2	

Customer Co

UNITED STATES POSTAL SERVICE®

Post Office To Address


	DELIVERY (POSTAL USE ONLY)	AL USE	ONLY	
	Delivery Attempt	Time	□ AM	Employee Signature
	Mo. Day		<u>₹</u>	
	Delivery Attempt	Time	□ AM	Employee Signature
_	Mo. Day		□ PM	•
	Delivery Date	Time	W □	Employee Signature
	Mo. Day		_ Ma □	
Γ-	CUSTOMER USE DNLY	ATNC		
	PAYMENT BY ACCOUNT Express Mail Corporate Acct. No.	cct. No.		
Τ				
	Federal Agency Acct. No. or Postal Service Acct. No.	ğ		
	-			
	i			

TO: (PLEASE PRINT)

PHONE (

Secretary of the Air Force Thru: HAF/IMIO (FOIA)
1000 Air Force Pentagon Washington, DC 20330-1000

ZIP + 4 (U.S. ADDRESSES ONLY. DO NOT USE FOR FOREIGN POSTAL CODES.)



FOR INTERNATIONAL DESTINATIONS, WRITE COUNTRY NAME BELOW

STEAMBOAT STATION RENO, Nevada 895119986 3148830680-0099

06/29/2010 (775)853-2615 02:34:20 PM

The filtr two day may the old the one of the case and the two case and the case and	Sales Receip	+
Product Description	Sale Unit Qty Price	Final

WASHINGTON DC 20330 Zone-8 Express Mail

PO-Add Flat Rate 1 lb. 5.70 oz.

Label #:EB347240700US Wed 06/30/10 12:00 PM -**Guaranteed Delivery** Signature Requested

Issue PVI:

\$18.30

\$18.30

Total:

_____ \$18.30

Paid by:

VISA

\$18.30

Account #:

XXXXXXXXXXXXX0928

Approval #:

03544C

Transaction #:

23903170439

986

Order stamps at USPS.com/shop or call 1-800-Stamp24. Go to USPS.com/clicknship to print shipping labels with postage. For other information call 1-800-ASK-USPS. *************

************ Get your mail when and where you want it with a secure Post Office Box. Sign up for a box online at

usps.com/poboxes.

*********** ************

Bill#:1000100515872

Clerk:17

All sales final on stamps and postage Refunds for guaranteed services only Thank you for your business

********** ***********

HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER

Go to:

https://postalexperience.com/Pos

TELL US ABOUT YOUR RECENT POSTAL EXPERIENCE

All sales final on stamps and postage Refunds for guaranteed services only Thank you for your business *********** ************* HELP US SERVE YOU BETTER

Go to: https://postalexperience.com/Pos

TELL US ABOUT YOUR RECENT POSTAL EXPERIENCE

YOUR OPINION COUNTS ************ ************

Customer Copy



Home | Help | Sign In

Track & Confirm FAQs

Track & Confirm

Search Results

Label/Receipt Number: EB34 7240 700U S

Guaranteed Delivery Date/Time: June 30, 2010, 12:00 PM

Class: Express Mail® Status: Delivered

Your item was delivered at 11:49 AM on June 30, 2010 in WASHINGTON, DC 20310 to PENT MISC 20310 R6 . The item was signed for by M ULLOA.

Track & Confirm Enter Label/Receipt Number. Go>

Detailed Results:

- Delivered, June 30, 2010, 11:49 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20310
- Arrival at Unit, June 30, 2010, 10:36 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20022
- Processed through Sort Facility, June 30, 2010, 7:54 am, WASHINGTON, DC 20074
- Processed through Sort Facility, June 29, 2010, 4:11 pm, RENO, NV 89510
- Acceptance, June 29, 2010, 2:31 pm, RENO, NV 89511

Notification Options

Track & Confirm by email

Get current event information or updates for your item sent to you or others by email. (60>)



Proof of Delivery

Verify who signed for your item by email, fax, or mail. (Go>)



Site Map Customer Service **Forms** **Gov't Services** Careers Privacy Policy

Terms of Use **Business Customer Gateway**

Copyright© 2010 USPS. All Rights Reserved. No FEAR Act EEO Data FOIA





Date: 06/30/2010

Jed Margolin:

The following is in response to your 06/29/2010 request for delivery information on your Express Mail(R) item number EB34 7240 700U S. The delivery record shows that this item was delivered on 06/30/2010 at 11:49 AM in WASHINGTON, DC 20310 to M ULLOA. The scanned image of the recipient information is provided below.

Signature of Recipient:

MIRWA. ULLOA

Address of Recipient:

PENT. MISC. 20310

Thank you for selecting the Postal Service for your mailing needs. If you require additional assistance, please contact your local Post Office or postal representative.

Sincerely,

United States Postal Service



From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" <org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 4:12 AM Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, we did receive your appeal; however, we need clarification as to what you

are actually appealing. Is it:

- Our "no records" response, if so, what is your justification

Or

- Are you filing a complaint on activities not listed in the Public Access Link?

Thanks, John

----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Monday, July 19, 2010 1:25 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

I filed an appeal which USPS delivered on June 30, 2010.

According to the USAF FOIA web site no appeal was filed.

Did you receive the appeal?

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: Espinal, John <mailto:haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: im@imargolin.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2010 4:55 AM Subject: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter



From: "Jed Margolin" < jm@jmargolin.com>

To: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" <org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 9:56 AM Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Please identify yourself.

Your email address (org.foia@pentagon.af.mi) is not the email address used by John Espinal on June 10 (haf.foia@pentagon.af.mi) or the email address of the FOIA Public Liaison (af.foia@pentagon.af.mi).

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)"

<org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>
To: <im@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 4:12 AM

Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, we did receive your appeal; however, we need clarification as to what you are actually appealing. Is it:

- Our "no records" response, if so, what is your justification

Or

- Are you filing a complaint on activities not listed in the Public Access Link?

Thanks, John

----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Monday, July 19, 2010 1:25 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

I filed an appeal which USPS delivered on June 30, 2010.

According to the USAF FOIA web site no appeal was filed.

Did you receive the appeal?

---- Original Message -----

From: Espinal, John < mailto:haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: jm@jmargolin.com
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2010 4:55 AM
Subject: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter



From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" <org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 4:32 AM **Subject:** RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter Mr. Margolin, I replied from our org box.

Thanks, John Espinal

----Original Message-----

From: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 7:30 AM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: FW: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

-----Original Message-----

From: Kennerly, Chris TSgt MIL USAF HAF/IMIO

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 9:01 PM To: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO

Subject: FW: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

FYA

CHRIS B. KENNERLY, TSgt, USAF HAF/IMIO, Freedom of Information Act, The Pentagon 703-693-2735

----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 12:57 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Please identify yourself.

Your email address (<u>org.foia@pentagon.af.mil</u>) is not the email address used by John Espinal on June 10 (<u>haf.foia@pentagon.af.mi</u>) or the email address of the FOIA Public Liaison (<u>af.foia@pentagon.af.mil</u>).

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)"

<org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: < im@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 4:12 AM

Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, we did receive your appeal; however, we need clarification as to what you are actually appealing. Is it:

- Our "no records" response, if so, what is your justification

Or

- Are you filing a complaint on activities not listed in the Public Access Link?

Thanks, John

----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Monday, July 19, 2010 1:25 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

I filed an appeal which USPS delivered on June 30, 2010.

According to the USAF FOIA web site no appeal was filed.

Did you receive the appeal?

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: Espinal, John < mailto:haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: jm@jmargolin.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2010 4:55 AM Subject: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter



From: "Jed Margolin" < im@imargolin.com>

To: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" <org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

Thursday, July 22, 2010 12:00 AM Sent: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter Subject:

Dear Mr. Espinol.

> Mr. Margolin, we did receive your appeal; however, we need clarification as to what you are actually appealing. Is it:

> - Our "no records" response, if so, what is your justification

> > Or

- > Are you filing a complaint on activities not listed in the Public Access Link?
- 1. My Appeal is clearly marked, "Appeal under the Freedom of Information Act to USAF Response dated June 10, 2010" so it is, indeed, an Appeal.

My Appeal further states:

This is an Appeal under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552 et seq.) to the USAF Response dated June 10, 2010 (Appendix at AFA3) in the above listed FOIA Requests filed April 26, 2010 (Appendix at AFA4) by Jed Margolin ("Margolin").

How can you interpret that as meaning anything else?

And what do you mean by "complaint on activities not listed in the Public Access Link?" What activities are you referring to? Are they supposed to be listed on the Public Access Link? And if they are not listed in the Public Access Link, and should be, why aren't they?

- 2. My Appeal is complete in itself and I will not repeat it or summarize it here. Perhaps you should read the Appeal.
- 3. The Freedom of Information Act is a Statute and takes precedence over a Department's/Agency's rules and regulations, especially when they are designed to frustrate the intent of the statute.
- 4. I want USAF to comply with the Freedom of Information Act. I want my FOIA Request honestly handled.
- Please confirm that the address at which USAF accepts Legal Service is:

Air Force/JACL 1501 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22209-2403

When I asked this question using OGC's FormMail I received a reply from someone who either does not understand the meaning of the term "Legal Service" or who intentionally misdirected me to the wrong departments. I had to spend time and energy finding this address. No one at USAF seems willing to

provide an honest direct answer to a simple question.

6. Thank you for confirming that USAF has received my Appeal. The clock is running.

Regards,

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" < org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: < im@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 4:32 AM Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, I replied from our org box.

Thanks, John Espinal

-----Original Message-----

From: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 7:30 AM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: FW: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

-----Original Message-----

From: Kennerly, Chris TSgt MIL USAF HAF/IMIO

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 9:01 PM To: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO

Subject: FW: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

FYA

CHRIS B. KENNERLY, TSgt, USAF HAF/IMIO, Freedom of Information Act, The Pentagon 703-693-2735

----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com] Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 12:57 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)

Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Please identify yourself.

Your email address (<u>org.foia@pentagon.af.mil</u>) is not the email address used by John Espinal on June 10 (<u>haf.foia@pentagon.af.mi</u>) or the email address of the FOIA Public Liaison (<u>af.foia@pentagon.af.mil</u>).

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)"

<org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>
To: <im@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 4:12 AM

Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, we did receive your appeal; however, we need clarification as to what you are actually appealing. Is it:

- Our "no records" response, if so, what is your justification

Or

- Are you filing a complaint on activities not listed in the Public Access Link?

Thanks, John

----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Monday, July 19, 2010 1:25 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

I filed an appeal which USPS delivered on June 30, 2010.

According to the USAF FOIA web site no appeal was filed.

Did you receive the appeal?

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: Espinal, John <mailto:haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: jm@jmargolin.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2010 4:55 AM Subject: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter



From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" <org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: <jm@jmargolin.com>

Cc: "AF FOIA/PA" <af.foia@pentagon.af.mil>
Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2010 8:50 AM
Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, we still need clarification on what exactly you are appealing. Is it the "no records" response to 2010-04186-F; however, you did not provide justification as to why another search should be conducted. Or are you complaining about the Public Access Link website?

No action can be taken at this time until we hear from you. Thanks for your time and assistance.

John

----Original Message-----

From: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO On Behalf Of ORG - HAF Freedom of

Information Act (FOIA)

Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 7:33 AM

To: <u>im@imargolin.com</u>

Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, I replied from our org box.

Thanks, John Espinal

-----Original Message-----

From: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 7:30 AM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: FW: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

-----Original Message-----

From: Kennerly, Chris TSgt MIL USAF HAF/IMIO

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 9:01 PM To: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO

Subject: FW: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

FYA

CHRIS B. KENNERLY, TSgt, USAF HAF/IMIO, Freedom of Information Act, The Pentagon 703-693-2735

-----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 12:57 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Please identify yourself.

Your email address (org.foia@pentagon.af.mil) is not the email address used by John Espinal on June 10 (haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil) or the email address of the FOIA Public Liaison (af.foia@pentagon.af.mil).

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message ----

From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)"

<org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>
To: <im@imargolin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 4:12 AM

Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, we did receive your appeal; however, we need clarification as to what you are actually appealing. Is it:

- Our "no records" response, if so, what is your justification

Or

- Are you filing a complaint on activities not listed in the Public Access Link?

Thanks, John

----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Monday, July 19, 2010 1:25 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

I filed an appeal which USPS delivered on June 30, 2010.

According to the USAF FOIA web site no appeal was filed.

Did you receive the appeal?

Jed Margolin

----- Original Message -----

From: Espinal, John <<u>mailto:haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil</u>>
To: <u>jm@jmargolin.com</u>
Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2010 4:55 AM
Subject: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter



From: "Jed Margolin" < jm@jmargolin.com>

To: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" <org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2010 9:53 AM

Attach: jm espinol1.pdf

Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Espinol.

I have already replied to your questions. See attached email. Perhaps you should read it this time.

Your correspondence makes it clear that you have no intention of complying with the Freedom of Information Act.

Please confirm that I have exhausted all the administrative remedies that USAF has to offer in this matter.

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message ----

From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)"

<org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>
To: <irg@jmargolin.com>

Cc: "AF FOIA/PA" <af.foia@pentagon.af.mil> Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2010 8:50 AM Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, we still need clarification on what exactly you are appealing. Is it the "no records" response to 2010-04186-F; however, you did not provide justification as to why another search should be conducted. Or are you complaining about the Public Access Link website?

No action can be taken at this time until we hear from you. Thanks for your time and assistance.

John

----Original Message-----

From: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO On Behalf Of ORG - HAF Freedom of

Information Act (FOIA)

Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 7:33 AM

To: im@imargolin.com

Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, I replied from our org box.

Thanks, John Espinal

-----Original Message-----

From: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 7:30 AM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: FW: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

-----Original Message-----

From: Kennerly, Chris TSgt MIL USAF HAF/IMIO

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 9:01 PM To: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO

Subject: FW: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

FYA

CHRIS B. KENNERLY, TSgt, USAF HAF/IMIO, Freedom of Information Act, The Pentagon 703-693-2735

----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 12:57 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Please identify yourself.

Your email address (org.foia@pentagon.af.mil) is not the email address used by John Espinal on June 10 (haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil) or the email address of the FOIA Public Liaison (af.foia@pentagon.af.mil).

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)"

<org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>
To: <im@imargolin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 4:12 AM

Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, we did receive your appeal; however, we need clarification as to what you are actually appealing. Is it:

- Our "no records" response, if so, what is your justification

Or

- Are you filing a complaint on activities not listed in the Public Access Link?

Thanks, John

----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Monday, July 19, 2010 1:25 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)

Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

I filed an appeal which USPS delivered on June 30, 2010.

According to the USAF FOIA web site no appeal was filed.

Did you receive the appeal?

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: Espinal, John < mailto:haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil >

To: <u>im@jmargolin.com</u>

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2010 4:55 AM Subject: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

From: "Jed Margolin" < im@imargolin.com>

To: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" <org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

Sent: Thursday, July 22, 2010 12:00 AM Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter Subject:

Dear Mr. Espinol.

> Mr. Margolin, we did receive your appeal; however, we need clarification as to what you are actually appealing. Is it:

> - Our "no records" response, if so, what is your justification

>

> Or

> - Are you filing a complaint on activities not listed in the Public Access Link?

1. My Appeal is clearly marked, "Appeal under the Freedom of Information Act to USAF Response dated June 10, 2010" so it is, indeed, an Appeal.

My Appeal further states:

This is an Appeal under the Freedom of Information Act (5 U.S.C. § 552 et seq.) to the USAF Response dated June 10, 2010 (Appendix at AFA3) in the above listed FOIA Requests filed April 26, 2010 (Appendix at AFA4) by Jed Margolin ("Margolin").

How can you interpret that as meaning anything else?

And what do you mean by "complaint on activities not listed in the Public Access Link?" What activities are you referring to? Are they supposed to be listed on the Public Access Link? And if they are not listed in the Public Access Link, and should be, why aren't they?

- 2. My Appeal is complete in itself and I will not repeat it or summarize it here. Perhaps you should read the Appeal.
- 3. The Freedom of Information Act is a Statute and takes precedence over a Department's/Agency's rules and regulations, especially when they are designed to frustrate the intent of the statute.
- 4. I want USAF to comply with the Freedom of Information Act. I want my FOIA Request honestly handled.
- Please confirm that the address at which USAF accepts Legal Service is:

Air Force/JACL 1501 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22209-2403

When I asked this question using OGC's FormMail I received a reply from someone who either does not understand the meaning of the term "Legal Service" or who intentionally misdirected me to the wrong departments. I had to spend time and energy finding this address. No one at USAF seems willing to

provide an honest direct answer to a simple question.

6. Thank you for confirming that USAF has received my Appeal. The clock is running.

Regards,

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" < org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: <im@imargolin.com>

Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 4:32 AM Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, I replied from our org box.

Thanks, John Espinal

----Original Message----

From: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 7:30 AM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: FW: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

-----Original Message-----

From: Kennerly, Chris TSgt MIL USAF HAF/IMIO

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 9:01 PM To: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO

Subject: FW: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

FYA

CHRIS B. KENNERLY, TSgt, USAF HAF/IMIO, Freedom of Information Act, The Pentagon 703-693-2735

----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com] Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 12:57 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)

Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Please identify yourself.

Your email address (org.foia@pentagon.af.mil) is not the email address used by John Espinal on June 10 (haf.foia@pentagon.af.mi) or the email address of the FOIA Public Liaison (af.foia@pentagon.af.mil).

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)"

<org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>
To: <im@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 4:12 AM

Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, we did receive your appeal; however, we need clarification as to what you are actually appealing. Is it:

- Our "no records" response, if so, what is your justification

Or

- Are you filing a complaint on activities not listed in the Public Access Link?

Thanks, John

----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Monday, July 19, 2010 1:25 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

I filed an appeal which USPS delivered on June 30, 2010.

According to the USAF FOIA web site no appeal was filed.

Did you receive the appeal?

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: Espinal, John <mailto:haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: jm@jmargolin.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2010 4:55 AM Subject: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter



From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" <org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: "Jed Margolin" < jm@jmargolin.com>

Cc: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" <org foia@pentagon.af.mil>; "AF FOIA/PA"

<af.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2010 10:44 AM Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, I believe there is a disconnect on what I was requesting from you. Again, we did get your appeal; however, it is not clear to us what you are appealing. You reference 2010-04186-F in your letter which was our no records response but you did not provide justification as to why another search should be conducted. In addition, you mentioned other case numbers that you had difficulty directing to the appropriate bases which sounds like a complaint. We are just trying to get clarification.

Thanks, John

-----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com] Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2010 12:54 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Espinol.

I have already replied to your questions. See attached email. Perhaps you should read it this time.

Your correspondence makes it clear that you have no intention of complying with the Freedom of Information Act.

Please confirm that I have exhausted all the administrative remedies that USAF has to offer in this matter.

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message ----

From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)"

<org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>
To: <im@imargolin.com>

Cc: "AF FOIA/PA" <af.foia@pentagon.af.mil> Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2010 8:50 AM Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, we still need clarification on what exactly you are appealing. Is it the "no records" response to 2010-04186-F; however, you did not provide justification as to why another search should be conducted. Or are

you complaining about the Public Access Link website?

No action can be taken at this time until we hear from you. Thanks for your time and assistance.

John

-----Original Message-----

From: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO On Behalf Of ORG - HAF Freedom of

Information Act (FOIA)

Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 7:33 AM

To: <u>im@jmargolin.com</u>

Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, I replied from our org box.

Thanks, John Espinal

-----Original Message-----

From: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 7:30 AM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: FW: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

----Original Message-----

From: Kennerly, Chris TSgt MIL USAF HAF/IMIO

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 9:01 PM To: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO

Subject: FW: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

FYA

CHRIS B. KENNERLY, TSgt, USAF HAF/IMIO, Freedom of Information Act, The Pentagon 703-693-2735

-----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 12:57 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Please identify yourself.

Your email address (org.foia@pentagon.af.mil) is not the email address used by John Espinal on June 10 (haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil) or the email address of the FOIA Public Liaison (af.foia@pentagon.af.mil).

---- Original Message -----

From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)"

<org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>
To: <irgo jmargolin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 4:12 AM

Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, we did receive your appeal; however, we need clarification as to what you are actually appealing. Is it:

- Our "no records" response, if so, what is your justification

Or

- Are you filing a complaint on activities not listed in the Public Access Link?

Thanks, John

----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Monday, July 19, 2010 1:25 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

I filed an appeal which USPS delivered on June 30, 2010.

According to the USAF FOIA web site no appeal was filed.

Did you receive the appeal?

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: Espinal, John <mailto:haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: im@imargolin.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2010 4:55 AM Subject: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter



From: "Jed Margolin" < jm@jmargolin.com>

To: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" <org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

Cc: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)" <org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>; "AF FOIA/PA"

<af.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

Sent: Tuesday, August 03, 2010 11:14 AM Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Espinal,

We do not have a "disconnnect."

We have an impasse which the Court will have to decide.

There is no point in sending multiple copies of my Appeal to all the locations, since the ones who did respond to my FOIA Request deferred to you. You are simply continuing to play the "Shell Game."

I have asked you to confirm the address at which USAF accepts Legal Service and you have ignored me.

When the time has passed for USAF to decide my Appeal (or USAF denies my Appeal) I will file suit in U.S. District Court for the District of Nevada and send a copy of the Summons and Complaint to:

Air Force/JACL 1501 Wilson Blvd. Arlington, VA 22209-2403

If, later on, USAF asserts that I served the wrong address I will inform the Court of your refusal to provide, or even confirm, the correct address and ask that USAF be sanctioned.

Regards,

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)"

<org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: "Jed Margolin" < im@jmargolin.com>

Cc: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)"

<org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>; "AF FOIA/PA" <af.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2010 10:44 AM Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, I believe there is a disconnect on what I was requesting from you. Again, we did get your appeal; however, it is not clear to us what you are appealing. You reference 2010-04186-F in your letter which was our no records response but you did not provide justification as to why another search should be conducted. In addition, you mentioned other case numbers that you had difficulty directing to the appropriate bases which sounds like a complaint. We are just trying to get clarification.

Thanks, John

----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com] Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2010 12:54 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Espinol.

I have already replied to your questions. See attached email. Perhaps you should read it this time.

Your correspondence makes it clear that you have no intention of complying with the Freedom of Information Act.

Please confirm that I have exhausted all the administrative remedies that USAF has to offer in this matter.

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)"

<org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>
To: <im@imargolin.com>

Cc: "AF FOIA/PA" <af.foia@pentagon.af.mil> Sent: Wednesday, July 28, 2010 8:50 AM Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, we still need clarification on what exactly you are appealing. Is it the "no records" response to 2010-04186-F; however, you did not provide justification as to why another search should be conducted. Or are you complaining about the Public Access Link website?

No action can be taken at this time until we hear from you. Thanks for your time and assistance.

John

----Original Message----

From: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO On Behalf Of ORG - HAF Freedom of

Information Act (FOIA)

Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 7:33 AM

To: jm@jmargolin.com

Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, I replied from our org box.

Thanks, John Espinal

-----Original Message-----

From: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO Sent: Wednesday, July 21, 2010 7:30 AM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: FW: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

----Original Message-----

From: Kennerly, Chris TSgt MIL USAF HAF/IMIO

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 9:01 PM To: Espinal, John Civ USAF HAF/IMIO

Subject: FW: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

FYA

CHRIS B. KENNERLY, TSgt, USAF HAF/IMIO, Freedom of Information Act, The Pentagon 703-693-2735

-----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 12:57 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Please identify yourself.

Your email address (org.foia@pentagon.af.mi) is not the email address used by John Espinal on June 10 (haf.foia@pentagon.af.mi) or the email address of the FOIA Public Liaison (af.foia@pentagon.af.mi).

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: "ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA)"

<org.foia@pentagon.af.mil>
To: <irg@jmargolin.com>

Sent: Tuesday, July 20, 2010 4:12 AM

Subject: RE: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

Mr. Margolin, we did receive your appeal; however, we need clarification as to what you are actually appealing. Is it:

- Our "no records" response, if so, what is your justification

Or

- Are you filing a complaint on activities not listed in the Public Access Link?

Thanks, John

----Original Message-----

From: Jed Margolin [mailto:jm@jmargolin.com]

Sent: Monday, July 19, 2010 1:25 PM

To: ORG - HAF Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Subject: Re: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter

I filed an appeal which USPS delivered on June 30, 2010.

According to the USAF FOIA web site no appeal was filed.

Did you receive the appeal?

Jed Margolin

---- Original Message -----

From: Espinal, John <mailto:haf.foia@pentagon.af.mil>

To: jm@jmargolin.com

Sent: Thursday, June 10, 2010 4:55 AM Subject: 2010-04186-F - Response Letter